

#### Indiana Department of Environmental Management

We make Indiana a cleaner, healthier place to live.

Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr. Governor

Thomas W. Easterly Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 (317) 232-8603 (800) 451-6027 www.IN.gov/idem

TO: Interested Parties / Applicant

DATE: Jan. 24, 2011

Avery Dennison / 059-29471-00018 RE:

FROM: Matthew Stuckey, Deputy Branch Chief

> Permits Branch Office of Air Quality

#### Notice of Decision: Approval – Effective Immediately

Please be advised that on behalf of the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Management, I have issued a decision regarding the enclosed matter. Pursuant to IC 13-15-5-3, this permit is effective immediately, unless a petition for stay of effectiveness is filed and granted, and may be revoked or modified in accordance with the provisions of IC 13-15-7-1.

If you wish to challenge this decision, IC 4-21.5-3-7 and IC 13-15-6-1(b) or IC 13-15-6-1(a) require that you file a petition for administrative review. This petition may include a request for stay of effectiveness and must be submitted to the Office of Environmental Adjudication, 100 North Senate Avenue, Government Center North, Suite N 501E, Indianapolis, IN 46204.

For an initial Title V Operating Permit, a petition for administrative review must be submitted to the Office of Environmental Adjudication within thirty (30) days from the receipt of this notice provided under IC 13-15-5-3, pursuant to IC 13-15-6-1(b).

For a Title V Operating Permit renewal, a petition for administrative review must be submitted to the Office of Environmental Adjudication within fifteen (15) days from the receipt of this notice provided under IC 13-15-5-3, pursuant to IC 13-15-6-1(a).

The filing of a petition for administrative review is complete on the earliest of the following dates that apply to the filing:

- the date the document is delivered to the Office of Environmental Adjudication (OEA); (1)
- (2) the date of the postmark on the envelope containing the document, if the document is mailed to OEA by U.S. mail; or
- The date on which the document is deposited with a private carrier, as shown by receipt issued (3)by the carrier, if the document is sent to the OEA by private carrier.

The petition must include facts demonstrating that you are either the applicant, a person aggrieved or adversely affected by the decision or otherwise entitled to review by law. Please identify the permit, decision, or other order for which you seek review by permit number, name of the applicant, location, date of this notice and all of the following:



- (1) the name and address of the person making the request;
- (2) the interest of the person making the request;
- (3) identification of any persons represented by the person making the request;
- (4) the reasons, with particularity, for the request;
- (5) the issues, with particularity, proposed for considerations at any hearing; and
- identification of the terms and conditions which, in the judgment of the person making the request, would be appropriate in the case in question to satisfy the requirements of the law governing documents of the type issued by the Commissioner.

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-7-18(d), any person may petition the U.S. EPA to object to the issuance of an initial Title V operating permit, permit renewal, or modification within sixty (60) days of the end of the forty-five (45) day EPA review period. Such an objection must be based only on issues that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impractible to raise such issues, or if the grounds for such objection arose after the comment period.

To petition the U.S. EPA to object to the issuance of a Title V operating permit, contact:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street Washington, D.C. 20406

If you have technical questions regarding the enclosed documents, please contact the Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch at (317) 233-0178. Callers from within Indiana may call toll-free at 1-800-451-6027, ext. 3-0178.

# IDEM

#### INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr. Governor

Thomas W. Easterly Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 (317) 232-8603 Toll Free (800) 451-6027 www.idem.IN.gov

## Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

Avery Dennison 870 West Anderson Blvd. Greenfield, Indiana 46140

(herein known as the Permittee) is hereby authorized to operate subject to the conditions contained herein, the source described in Section A (Source Summary) of this permit.

The Permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Noncompliance with any provisions of this permit is grounds for enforcement action; permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application. Noncompliance with any provision of this permit, except any provision specifically designated as not federally enforceable, constitutes a violation of the Clean Air Act. It shall not be a defense for the Permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit. An emergency does constitute an affirmative defense in an enforcement action provided the Permittee complies with the applicable requirements set forth in Section B, Emergency Provisions.

This permit is issued in accordance with 326 IAC 2 and 40 CFR Part 70 Appendix A and contains the conditions and provisions specified in 326 IAC 2-7 as required by 42 U.S.C. 7401, et. seq. (Clean Air Act as amended by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments), 40 CFR Part 70.6, IC 13-15 and IC 13-17.

Operation Permit No.: T059-29471-00018	
Issued by:	Issuance Date:  Jan. 24, 2011  Expiration Date:
Chrystal A. Wagner, Section Chief Permits Branch	Jan. 24, 2016
Office of Air Quality	

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg Page 2 of 38 T059-29471-00018

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

A. SOURC	CE SUMMARY	5
A.1	General Information [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)][326 IAC 2-7-5(15)][326 IAC 2-7-1(22)]	
A.2	Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)(3)]	
	[326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]	
A.3	Specifically Regulated Insignificant Activities [326 IAC 2-7-1(21)][326 IAC 2-7-4(c)]	
	[326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]	
A.4	Part 70 Permit Applicability [326 IAC 2-7-2]	
		_
	RAL CONDITIONS	7
B.1	Definitions [326 IAC 2-7-1]	
B.2	Permit Term [326 IAC 2-7-5(2)][326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5][326 IAC 2-7-4(a)(1)(D)]	
	[IC 13-15-3-6(a)]	
B.3	Term of Conditions [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]	
B.4	Enforceability [326 IAC 2-7-7] [IC 13-17-12]	
B.5	Severability [326 IAC 2-7-5(5)]	
B.6	Property Rights or Exclusive Privilege [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(D)]	
B.7	Duty to Provide Information [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(E)]	
B.8	Certification [326 IAC 2-7-4(f)][326 IAC 2-7-6(1)][326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)]	
B.9	Annual Compliance Certification [326 IAC 2-7-6(5)]	
B.10	Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(1),(3) and (13)][326 IAC 2-7-6(1) and (6)]	
	[326 IAC 1-6-3]	
B.11	Emergency Provisions [326 IAC 2-7-16]	
B.12	Permit Shield [326 IAC 2-7-15][326 IAC 2-7-20][326 IAC 2-7-12]	
B.13	Prior Permits Superseded [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5][326 IAC 2-7-10.5]	
B.14	Termination of Right to Operate [326 IAC 2-7-10][326 IAC 2-7-4(a)]	
B.15	Permit Modification, Reopening, Revocation and Reissuance, or Termination	
	[326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(C)][326 IAC 2-7-8(a)][326 IAC 2-7-9]	
	Permit Renewal [326 IAC 2-7-3][326 IAC 2-7-4][326 IAC 2-7-8(e)]	
B.17		
B.18	Permit Revision Under Economic Incentives and Other Programs [326 IAC 2-7-5(8)]	
	[326 IAC 2-7-12(b)(2)]	
B.19	Operational Flexibility [326 IAC 2-7-20][326 IAC 2-7-10.5]	
B.20	Source Modification Requirement [326 IAC 2-7-10.5]	
B.21	Inspection and Entry [326 IAC 2-7-6][IC 13-14-2-2][IC 13-30-3-1][IC 13-17-3-2]	
B.22		
B.23	Annual Fee Payment [326 IAC 2-7-19] [326 IAC 2-7-5(7)][326 IAC 2-1.1-7]	
B.24	Credible Evidence [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)][326 IAC 2-7-6][62 FR 8314] [326 IAC 1-1-6]	
C SOURC	CE OPERATION CONDITIONS1	Q
o. ooone	COLENATION CONDITIONS	U
Emissic	on Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]	
C.1	Particulate Emission Limitations For Processes with Process Weight Rates	
	Less Than One Hundred (100) Pounds per Hour [326 IAC 6-3-2]	
C.2	Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]	
C.3	Open Burning [326 IAC 4-1] [IC 13-17-9]	
C.4	Incineration [326 IAC 4-2] [326 IAC 9-1-2]	
C.5	Fugitive Dust Emissions [326 IAC 6-4]	
C.6	Asbestos Abatement Projects [326 IAC 14-10] [326 IAC 18] [40 CFR 61, Subpart M]	
Testing	Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]	

C.7 Performance Testing [326 IAC 3-6]

Permit Reviewer:	Donald	McQuigg

		ance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]  Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]
	C.9	Ance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)][326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]  Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)][326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]  Instrument Specifications [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)]  [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]
	C.11 C.12 C.13	ive Actions and Response Steps [326 IAC 2-7-5][326 IAC 2-7-6]  Emergency Reduction Plans [326 IAC 1-5-2] [326 IAC 1-5-3]  Risk Management Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(12)] [40 CFR 68]  Response to Excursions or Exceedances [326 IAC 2-7-5] [326 IAC 2-7-6]  Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test [326 IAC 2-7-5] [326 IAC 2-7-6]
	C.15 C.16	Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-19] Emission Statement [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)(iii)][326 IAC 2-7-5(7)][326 IAC 2-7-19(c)] [326 IAC 2-6] General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-6] General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]
		oheric Ozone Protection Compliance with 40 CFR 82 and 326 IAC 22-1
D.1.	EMIS	SIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS24
	D.1.1 D.1.2	Pon Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)] PSD minor limit [326 IAC 2-2] Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) [326 IAC 8-2-5] Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(13)]
	D.1.4 D.1.5	volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) [326 IAC 8-1-2] [326 IAC 8-1-4] Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) [326 IAC 8-1-2] [326 IAC 8-1-4]
	D.1.7	Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-19] Record Keeping Requirement Reporting Requirement
D.2.	EMIS	SIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS27
		on Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)] Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) [326 IAC 8-2-12]
		ance Determination Requirements Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]
E.1.	EMIS	SIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS28
7-	<b>5(1)]</b> E.1.1	I Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) Requirements [326 IAC 2-General Provisions Relating to National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants under 40 CFR Part 63 [326 IAC 20-1][40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A] National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) [40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJ

Page 4 of 38 T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

E.2. EMIS	SION	S UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS	. 30
E.2.1	Gen [326 Star	Performance Standards (NSPS) Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)] eral Provisions Relating to New Source Performance Standards under 40 CFR Part 60 IAC 12-1][40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A] dards of Performance for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operation S [40 CFR Part 60, Subpart RR][326 IAC 12-1-1]	
E.3. EMIS	SION	S UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS	. 32
E.3.1	Gen [326 Star	Performance Standards (NSPS) Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)] eral Provisions Relating to New Source Performance Standards under 40 CFR Part 60 IAC 12-1][40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A] idards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating SNSPS [40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Dc][326 IAC 12-1-1]	
Emergency Quarterly F	y Oco Repo	currence Reportttion and Compliance Monitoring Report	. 34 . 36
Attachmen Attachmen Attachmen	t B:	NESHAP Subpart JJJJNational Emission Standards for Paper and Other Web Coatin NSPS Subpart RRStandards of Performance for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations NSPS Subpart Dc Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units	ng

Avery Dennison Page 5 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### **SECTION A**

#### **SOURCE SUMMARY**

This permit is based on information requested by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ). The information describing the source contained in conditions A.1 through A.3 is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions. However, the Permittee should be aware that a physical change or a change in the method of operation that may render this descriptive information obsolete or inaccurate may trigger requirements for the Permittee to obtain additional permits or seek modification of this permit pursuant to 326 IAC 2, or change other applicable requirements presented in the permit application.

A.1 General Information [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)][326 IAC 2-7-5(15)][326 IAC 2-7-1(22)]

The Permittee owns and operates a stationary pressure sensitive paper coating and laminating operation.

Source Address: 870 West Anderson Blvd., Greenfield, Indiana 46140

General Source Phone Number: (317) 467-6701

SIC Code: 2672 County Location: Hancock

Source Location Status: Attainment for all criteria pollutants
Source Status: Part 70 Operating Permit Program
Minor Source, under PSD Rules

Major Source, Section 112 of the Clean Air Act

Not 1 of 28 Source Categories

A.2 Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)(3)][326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]

This stationary source consists of the following emission units and pollution control devices:

- (a) One (1) pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-1, constructed in October 1994, with a maximum capacity of nine hundred ninety-three (993) billion square inches per year, exhausting to two (2) stacks (C-1 and D-1), consisting of the following equipment:
  - (1) One (1) flow coating operation and one (1) roll coating operation; and
  - (2) Two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens, with a total maximum heat input capacity of 48.7 MMBtu/hr.

Under NSPS Subpart RR, the coating line is considered an existing affected source. Under NESHAP Subpart JJJJ, the coating line is considered an existing affected source.

- (b) Two (2) natural gas-fired boilers, identified as B-01 and B-02, constructed in October 1994, with a maximum heat input capacity of 10.205 MMBtu/hr each, exhausting to two (2) stacks (B-01 and B-02).
  - Under NSPS Subpart Dc, the two (2) natural gas-fired boilers, identified as B-01 and B-02, are considered affected facilities.
- (c) One (1) pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-2, constructed in 2000 and modified in 2004, with a maximum capacity of 1,500 billion square inches per year, exhausting to three (3) stacks (E-1, F-1, and G-1), consisting of the following equipment:
  - (1) Two (2) flow coating operations, one (1) roll coating operation, and one (1) curtain coating operation;

Avery Dennison Page 6 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(2) Two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens with a total maximum heat input capacity of 67.86 MMBtu/hr; and

(3) One (1) natural gas-fired drying oven, with a maximum heat input capacity of 4.0 MMBtu/hr.

Under NSPS Subpart RR, the coating line is considered an existing affected source. Under NESHAP Subpart JJJJ, the coating line is considered an existing affected source.

(d) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, identified as B-03, constructed in June 2000, with a maximum heat input capacity of 10.205 MMBtu/hr, exhausting to one (1) stack (B-03)

Under 40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc, emission unit B-03 is considered an affected facility.

## A.3 Specifically Regulated Insignificant Activities [326 IAC 2-7-1(21)][326 IAC 2-7-4(c)][326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]

This stationary source does not currently have any insignificant activities, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(21), that have applicable requirements.

#### A.4 Part 70 Permit Applicability [326 IAC 2-7-2]

This stationary source is required to have a Part 70 permit by 326 IAC 2-7-2 (Applicability) because:

- (a) It is a major source, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(22);
- (b) It is a source in a source category designated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) under 40 CFR 70.3 (Part 70 Applicability).

Avery Dennison Page 7 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### **SECTION B**

#### **GENERAL CONDITIONS**

#### B.1 Definitions [326 IAC 2-7-1]

Terms in this permit shall have the definition assigned to such terms in the referenced regulation. In the absence of definitions in the referenced regulation, the applicable definitions found in the statutes or regulations (IC 13-11, 326 IAC 1-2 and 326 IAC 2-7) shall prevail.

#### B.2 Permit Term [326 IAC 2-7-5(2)][326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5][326 IAC 2-7-4(a)(1)(D)][IC 13-15-3-6(a)]

- (a) This permit, T059-29471-00018, is issued for a fixed term of five (5) years from the issuance date of this permit, as determined in accordance with IC 4-21.5-3-5(f) and IC 13-15-5-3. Subsequent revisions, modifications, or amendments of this permit do not affect the expiration date of this permit.
- (b) If IDEM, OAQ, upon receiving a timely and complete renewal permit application, fails to issue or deny the permit renewal prior to the expiration date of this permit, this existing permit shall not expire and all terms and conditions shall continue in effect, including any permit shield provided in 326 IAC 2-7-15, until the renewal permit has been issued or denied.

#### B.3 Term of Conditions [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]

Notwithstanding the permit term of a permit to construct, a permit to operate, or a permit modification, any condition established in a permit issued pursuant to a permitting program approved in the state implementation plan shall remain in effect until:

- (a) the condition is modified in a subsequent permit action pursuant to Title I of the Clean Air Act; or
- (b) the emission unit to which the condition pertains permanently ceases operation.

#### B.4 Enforceability [326 IAC 2-7-7] [IC 13-17-12]

Unless otherwise stated, all terms and conditions in this permit, including any provisions designed to limit the source's potential to emit, are enforceable by IDEM, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and by citizens in accordance with the Clean Air Act.

#### B.5 Severability [326 IAC 2-7-5(5)]

The provisions of this permit are severable; a determination that any portion of this permit is invalid shall not affect the validity of the remainder of the permit.

#### B.6 Property Rights or Exclusive Privilege [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(D)]

This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege.

#### B.7 Duty to Provide Information [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(E)]

- (a) The Permittee shall furnish to IDEM, OAQ, within a reasonable time, any information that IDEM, OAQ may request in writing to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. Upon request, the Permittee shall also furnish to IDEM, OAQ copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
- (b) For information furnished by the Permittee to IDEM, OAQ, the Permittee may include a claim of confidentiality in accordance with 326 IAC 17.1. When furnishing copies of requested records directly to U. S. EPA, the Permittee may assert a claim of confidentiality in accordance with 40 CFR 2, Subpart B.

#### B.8 Certification [326 IAC 2-7-4(f)][326 IAC 2-7-6(1)][326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)]

(a) A certification required by this permit meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) if:

Avery Dennison Page 8 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(1) it contains a certification by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34), and

- (2) the certification states that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the document are true, accurate, and complete.
- (b) The Permittee may use the attached Certification Form, or its equivalent with each submittal requiring certification. One (1) certification may cover multiple forms in one (1) submittal.
- (c) A "responsible official" is defined at 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

#### B.9 Annual Compliance Certification [326 IAC 2-7-6(5)]

(a) The Permittee shall annually submit a compliance certification report which addresses the status of the source's compliance with the terms and conditions contained in this permit, including emission limitations, standards, or work practices. All certifications shall cover the time period from January 1 to December 31 of the previous year, and shall be submitted no later than July 1 of each year to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

and

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region V Air and Radiation Division, Air Enforcement Branch - Indiana (AE-17J) 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

- (b) The annual compliance certification report required by this permit shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ on or before the date it is due.
- (c) The annual compliance certification report shall include the following:
  - (1) The appropriate identification of each term or condition of this permit that is the basis of the certification;
  - (2) The compliance status;
  - (3) Whether compliance was continuous or intermittent;
  - (4) The methods used for determining the compliance status of the source, currently and over the reporting period consistent with 326 IAC 2-7-5(3); and
  - (5) Such other facts, as specified in Sections D of this permit, as IDEM, OAQ may require to determine the compliance status of the source.

Avery Dennison Page 9 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

The submittal by the Permittee does require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

## B.10 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(1),(3) and (13)][326 IAC 2-7-6(1) and (6)][326 IAC 1-6-3]

- (a) A Preventive Maintenance Plan meets the requirements of 326 IAC 1-6-3 if it includes, at a minimum:
  - (1) Identification of the individual(s) responsible for inspecting, maintaining, and repairing emission control devices;
  - (2) A description of the items or conditions that will be inspected and the inspection schedule for said items or conditions; and
  - (3) Identification and quantification of the replacement parts that will be maintained in inventory for quick replacement.

The Permittee shall implement the PMPs.

- (b) If required by specific condition(s) in Section D of this permit where no PMP was previously required, the Permittee shall prepare and maintain Preventive Maintenance Plans (PMPs) no later than ninety (90) days after issuance of this permit or ninety (90) days after initial start-up, whichever is later, including the following information on each facility:
  - (1) Identification of the individual(s) responsible for inspecting, maintaining, and repairing emission control devices;
  - (2) A description of the items or conditions that will be inspected and the inspection schedule for said items or conditions; and
  - (3) Identification and quantification of the replacement parts that will be maintained in inventory for quick replacement.

If, due to circumstances beyond the Permittee's control, the PMPs cannot be prepared and maintained within the above time frame, the Permittee may extend the date an additional ninety (90) days provided the Permittee notifies:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

The PMP extension notification does not require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

The Permittee shall implement the PMPs.

(c) A copy of the PMPs shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ upon request and within a reasonable time, and shall be subject to review and approval by IDEM, OAQ. IDEM, OAQ may require the Permittee to revise its PMPs whenever lack of proper maintenance causes or is the primary contributor to an exceedance of any limitation on emissions. The PMPs and their submittal do not require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

Avery Dennison Page 10 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(d) To the extent the Permittee is required by 40 CFR Part 60/63 to have an Operation Maintenance, and Monitoring (OMM) Plan for a unit, such Plan is deemed to satisfy the PMP requirements of 326 IAC 1-6-3 for that unit.

#### B.11 Emergency Provisions [326 IAC 2-7-16]

- (a) An emergency, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12), is not an affirmative defense for an action brought for noncompliance with a federal or state health-based emission limitation.
- (b) An emergency, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12), constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with a technology-based emission limitation if the affirmative defense of an emergency is demonstrated through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that describe the following:
  - (1) An emergency occurred and the Permittee can, to the extent possible, identify the causes of the emergency;
  - (2) The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;
  - (3) During the period of an emergency, the Permittee took all reasonable steps to minimize levels of emissions that exceeded the emission standards or other requirements in this permit;
  - (4) For each emergency lasting one (1) hour or more, the Permittee notified IDEM, OAQ, within four (4) daytime business hours after the beginning of the emergency, or after the emergency was discovered or reasonably should have been discovered;

Telephone Number: 1-800-451-6027 (ask for Office of Air Quality,

Compliance and Enforcement Branch), or

Telephone Number: 317-233-0178 (ask for Office of Air Quality,

Compliance and Enforcement Branch) Facsimile Number: 317-233-6865

(5) For each emergency lasting one (1) hour or more, the Permittee submitted the attached Emergency Occurrence Report Form or its equivalent, either by mail or facsimile to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

within two (2) working days of the time when emission limitations were exceeded due to the emergency.

The notice fulfills the requirement of 326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)(ii) and must contain the following:

- (A) A description of the emergency;
- (B) Any steps taken to mitigate the emissions; and
- (C) Corrective actions taken.

Page 11 of 38 T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

The notification which shall be submitted by the Permittee does not require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (6) The Permittee immediately took all reasonable steps to correct the emergency.
- (c) In any enforcement proceeding, the Permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an emergency has the burden of proof.
- (d) This emergency provision supersedes 326 IAC 1-6 (Malfunctions). This permit condition is in addition to any emergency or upset provision contained in any applicable requirement.
- (e) The Permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an emergency shall make records available upon request to ensure that failure to implement a PMP did not cause or contribute to an exceedance of any limitations on emissions. However, IDEM, OAQ may require that the Preventive Maintenance Plans required under 326 IAC 2-7-4(c)(9) be revised in response to an emergency.
- (f) Failure to notify IDEM, OAQ by telephone or facsimile of an emergency lasting more than one (1) hour in accordance with (b)(4) and (5) of this condition shall constitute a violation of 326 IAC 2-7 and any other applicable rules.
- (g) If the emergency situation causes a deviation from a technology-based limit, the Permittee may continue to operate the affected emitting facilities during the emergency provided the Permittee immediately takes all reasonable steps to correct the emergency and minimize emissions.

#### B.12 Permit Shield [326 IAC 2-7-15][326 IAC 2-7-20][326 IAC 2-7-12]

(a) Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-7-15, the Permittee has been granted a permit shield. The permit shield provides that compliance with the conditions of this permit shall be deemed compliance with any applicable requirements as of the date of permit issuance, provided that either the applicable requirements are included and specifically identified in this permit or the permit contains an explicit determination or concise summary of a determination that other specifically identified requirements are not applicable. The Indiana statutes from IC 13 and rules from 326 IAC, referenced in conditions in this permit, are those applicable at the time the permit was issued. The issuance or possession of this permit shall not alone constitute a defense against an alleged violation of any law, regulation or standard, except for the requirement to obtain a Part 70 permit under 326 IAC 2-7 or for applicable requirements for which a permit shield has been granted.

This permit shield does not extend to applicable requirements which are promulgated after the date of issuance of this permit unless this permit has been modified to reflect such new requirements.

- (b) If, after issuance of this permit, it is determined that the permit is in nonconformance with an applicable requirement that applied to the source on the date of permit issuance, IDEM, OAQ, shall immediately take steps to reopen and revise this permit and issue a compliance order to the Permittee to ensure expeditious compliance with the applicable requirement until the permit is reissued. The permit shield shall continue in effect so long as the Permittee is in compliance with the compliance order.
- (c) No permit shield shall apply to any permit term or condition that is determined after issuance of this permit to have been based on erroneous information supplied in the permit application. Erroneous information means information that the Permittee knew to

Avery Dennison Page 12 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

be false, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have been known to be false, at the time the information was submitted.

- (d) Nothing in 326 IAC 2-7-15 or in this permit shall alter or affect the following:
  - (1) The provisions of Section 303 of the Clean Air Act (emergency orders), including the authority of the U.S. EPA under Section 303 of the Clean Air Act;
  - (2) The liability of the Permittee for any violation of applicable requirements prior to or at the time of this permit's issuance;
  - (3) The applicable requirements of the acid rain program, consistent with Section 408(a) of the Clean Air Act; and
  - (4) The ability of U.S. EPA to obtain information from the Permittee under Section 114 of the Clean Air Act.
- (e) This permit shield is not applicable to any change made under 326 IAC 2-7-20(b)(2) (Sections 502(b)(10) of the Clean Air Act changes) and 326 IAC 2-7-20(c)(2) (trading based on State Implementation Plan (SIP) provisions).
- (f) This permit shield is not applicable to modifications eligible for group processing until after IDEM, OAQ, has issued the modifications. [326 IAC 2-7-12(c)(7)]
- (g) This permit shield is not applicable to minor Part 70 permit modifications until after IDEM, OAQ, has issued the modification. [326 IAC 2-7-12(b)(8)]

#### B.13 Prior Permits Superseded [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5][326 IAC 2-7-10.5]

- (a) All terms and conditions of permits established prior to T059-29471-00018 and issued pursuant to permitting programs approved into the state implementation plan have been either:
  - (1) incorporated as originally stated,
  - (2) revised under 326 IAC 2-7-10.5, or
  - (3) deleted under 326 IAC 2-7-10.5.
- (b) Provided that all terms and conditions are accurately reflected in this permit, all previous registrations and permits are superseded by this Part 70 operating permit.

#### B.14 Termination of Right to Operate [326 IAC 2-7-10][326 IAC 2-7-4(a)]

The Permittee's right to operate this source terminates with the expiration of this permit unless a timely and complete renewal application is submitted at least nine (9) months prior to the date of expiration of the source's existing permit, consistent with 326 IAC 2-7-3 and 326 IAC 2-7-4(a).

- B.15 Permit Modification, Reopening, Revocation and Reissuance, or Termination [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(C)][326 IAC 2-7-8(a)][326 IAC 2-7-9]
  - (a) This permit may be modified, reopened, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the Permittee for a Part 70 Operating Permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or of a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any condition of this permit.

    [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(C)] The notification by the Permittee does require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

Avery Dennison Page 13 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(b) This permit shall be reopened and revised under any of the circumstances listed in IC 13-15-7-2 or if IDEM, OAQ determines any of the following:

- (1) That this permit contains a material mistake.
- (2) That inaccurate statements were made in establishing the emissions standards or other terms or conditions.
- (3) That this permit must be revised or revoked to assure compliance with an applicable requirement. [326 IAC 2-7-9(a)(3)]
- (c) Proceedings by IDEM, OAQ to reopen and revise this permit shall follow the same procedures as apply to initial permit issuance and shall affect only those parts of this permit for which cause to reopen exists. Such reopening and revision shall be made as expeditiously as practicable. [326 IAC 2-7-9(b)]
- (d) The reopening and revision of this permit, under 326 IAC 2-7-9(a), shall not be initiated before notice of such intent is provided to the Permittee by IDEM, OAQ at least thirty (30) days in advance of the date this permit is to be reopened, except that IDEM, OAQ may provide a shorter time period in the case of an emergency. [326 IAC 2-7-9(c)]

#### B.16 Permit Renewal [326 IAC 2-7-3][326 IAC 2-7-4][326 IAC 2-7-8(e)]

(a) The application for renewal shall be submitted using the application form or forms prescribed by IDEM, OAQ and shall include the information specified in 326 IAC 2-7-4. Such information shall be included in the application for each emission unit at this source, except those emission units included on the trivial or insignificant activities list contained in 326 IAC 2-7-1(21) and 326 IAC 2-7-1(40). The renewal application does require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

Request for renewal shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Permit Administration and Support Section, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

- (b) A timely renewal application is one that is:
  - (1) Submitted at least nine (9) months prior to the date of the expiration of this permit; and
  - (2) If the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ on or before the date it is due.
- (c) If the Permittee submits a timely and complete application for renewal of this permit, the source's failure to have a permit is not a violation of 326 IAC 2-7 until IDEM, OAQ takes final action on the renewal application, except that this protection shall cease to apply if, subsequent to the completeness determination, the Permittee fails to submit by the deadline specified, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-7-4(a)(2)(D), in writing by IDEM, OAQ any additional information identified as being needed to process the application.

Avery Dennison Page 14 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### B.17 Permit Amendment or Modification [326 IAC 2-7-11][326 IAC 2-7-12]

(a) Permit amendments and modifications are governed by the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-11 or 326 IAC 2-7-12 whenever the Permittee seeks to amend or modify this permit.

(b) Any application requesting an amendment or modification of this permit shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Permit Administration and Support Section, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

Any such application does require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

(c) The Permittee may implement administrative amendment changes addressed in the request for an administrative amendment immediately upon submittal of the request. [326 IAC 2-7-11(c)(3)]

## B.18 Permit Revision Under Economic Incentives and Other Programs [326 IAC 2-7-5(8)][326 IAC 2-7-12(b)(2)]

- (a) No Part 70 permit revision or notice shall be required under any approved economic incentives, marketable Part 70 permits, emissions trading, and other similar programs or processes for changes that are provided for in a Part 70 permit.
- (b) Notwithstanding 326 IAC 2-7-12(b)(1) and 326 IAC 2-7-12(c)(1), minor Part 70 permit modification procedures may be used for Part 70 modifications involving the use of economic incentives, marketable Part 70 permits, emissions trading, and other similar approaches to the extent that such minor Part 70 permit modification procedures are explicitly provided for in the applicable State Implementation Plan (SIP) or in applicable requirements promulgated or approved by the U.S. EPA.

#### B.19 Operational Flexibility [326 IAC 2-7-20][326 IAC 2-7-10.5]

- (a) The Permittee may make any change or changes at the source that are described in 326 IAC 2-7-20(b),(c), or (e) without a prior permit revision, if each of the following conditions is met:
  - (1) The changes are not modifications under any provision of Title I of the Clean Air Act:
  - (2) Any preconstruction approval required by 326 IAC 2-7-10.5 has been obtained;
  - (3) The changes do not result in emissions which exceed the limitations provided in this permit (whether expressed herein as a rate of emissions or in terms of total emissions);
  - (4) The Permittee notifies the:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Permit Administration and Support Section, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251 Greenfield, Indiana Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

and

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region V Air and Radiation Division, Regulation Development Branch - Indiana (AR-18J) 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

in advance of the change by written notification at least ten (10) days in advance of the proposed change. The Permittee shall attach every such notice to the Permittee's copy of this permit; and

(5) The Permittee maintains records on-site, on a rolling five (5) year basis, which document all such changes and emission trades that are subject to 326 IAC 2-7-20(b),(c), or (e). The Permittee shall make such records available, upon reasonable request, for public review.

Such records shall consist of all information required to be submitted to IDEM, OAQ in the notices specified in 326 IAC 2-7-20(b)(1), (c)(1), and (e)(2).

- The Permittee may make Section 502(b)(10) of the Clean Air Act changes (this term is (b) defined at 326 IAC 2-7-1(36)) without a permit revision, subject to the constraint of 326 IAC 2-7-20(a). For each such Section 502(b)(10) of the Clean Air Act change, the required written notification shall include the following:
  - (1) A brief description of the change within the source;
  - The date on which the change will occur; (2)
  - (3)Any change in emissions; and
  - (4) Any permit term or condition that is no longer applicable as a result of the change.

The notification which shall be submitted is not considered an application form, report or compliance certification. Therefore, the notification by the Permittee does not require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (c) Emission Trades [326 IAC 2-7-20(c)] The Permittee may trade emissions increases and decreases at the source, where the applicable SIP provides for such emission trades without requiring a permit revision, subject to the constraints of Section (a) of this condition and those in 326 IAC 2-7-20(c).
- (d) Alternative Operating Scenarios [326 IAC 2-7-20(d)] The Permittee may make changes at the source within the range of alternative operating scenarios that are described in the terms and conditions of this permit in accordance with 326 IAC 2-7-5(9). No prior notification of IDEM, OAQ, or U.S. EPA is required.
- (e) Backup fuel switches specifically addressed in, and limited under, Section D of this permit shall not be considered alternative operating scenarios. Therefore, the notification requirements of part (a) of this condition do not apply.

#### Source Modification Requirement [326 IAC 2-7-10.5] B.20

Page 16 of 38 T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### B.21 Inspection and Entry [326 IAC 2-7-6][IC 13-14-2-2][IC 13-30-3-1][IC 13-17-3-2]

Upon presentation of proper identification cards, credentials, and other documents as may be required by law, and subject to the Permittee's right under all applicable laws and regulations to assert that the information collected by the agency is confidential and entitled to be treated as such, the Permittee shall allow IDEM, OAQ, U.S. EPA, or an authorized representative to perform the following:

- (a) Enter upon the Permittee's premises where a Part 70 source is located, or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (b) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, have access to and copy any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (c) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, inspect any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and air pollution control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit;
- (d) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, sample or monitor substances or parameters for the purpose of assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements; and
- (e) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, utilize any photographic, recording, testing, monitoring, or other equipment for the purpose of assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements.

#### B.22 Transfer of Ownership or Operational Control [326 IAC 2-7-11]

- (a) The Permittee must comply with the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-11 whenever the Permittee seeks to change the ownership or operational control of the source and no other change in the permit is necessary.
- (b) Any application requesting a change in the ownership or operational control of the source shall contain a written agreement containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage and liability between the current and new Permittee. The application shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Permit Administration and Support Section, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

Any such application does require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

(c) The Permittee may implement administrative amendment changes addressed in the request for an administrative amendment immediately upon submittal of the request. [326 IAC 2-7-11(c)(3)]

#### B.23 Annual Fee Payment [326 IAC 2-7-19] [326 IAC 2-7-5(7)][326 IAC 2-1.1-7]

(a) The Permittee shall pay annual fees to IDEM, OAQ within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of a billing. Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-7-19(b), if the Permittee does not receive a bill from IDEM, OAQ the applicable fee is due April 1 of each year.

Avery Dennison Page 17 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(b) Except as provided in 326 IAC 2-7-19(e), failure to pay may result in administrative enforcement action or revocation of this permit.

(c) The Permittee may call the following telephone numbers: 1-800-451-6027 or 317-233-4230 (ask for OAQ, Billing, Licensing, and Training Section), to determine the appropriate permit fee.

#### B.24 Credible Evidence [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)][326 IAC 2-7-6][62 FR 8314] [326 IAC 1-1-6]

For the purpose of submitting compliance certifications or establishing whether or not the Permittee has violated or is in violation of any condition of this permit, nothing in this permit shall preclude the use, including the exclusive use, of any credible evidence or information relevant to whether the Permittee would have been in compliance with the condition of this permit if the appropriate performance or compliance test or procedure had been performed.

#### **SECTION C**

#### **SOURCE OPERATION CONDITIONS**

#### **Entire Source**

#### Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

C.1 Particulate Emission Limitations For Processes with Process Weight Rates Less Than One Hundred (100) Pounds per Hour [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2(e)(2), particulate emissions from any process not exempt under 326 IAC 6-3-1(b) or (c) which has a maximum process weight rate less than 100 pounds per hour and the methods in 326 IAC 6-3-2(b) through (d) do not apply shall not exceed 0.551 pounds per hour.

#### C.2 Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 5-1-2 (Opacity Limitations), except as provided in 326 IAC 5-1-1 (Applicability) and 326 IAC 5-1-3 (Temporary Alternative Opacity Limitations), opacity shall meet the following, unless otherwise stated in this permit:

- (a) Opacity shall not exceed an average of forty percent (40%) in any one (1) six (6) minute averaging period as determined in 326 IAC 5-1-4.
- (b) Opacity shall not exceed sixty percent (60%) for more than a cumulative total of fifteen (15) minutes (sixty (60) readings as measured according to 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 9 or fifteen (15) one (1) minute nonoverlapping integrated averages for a continuous opacity monitor) in a six (6) hour period.

#### C.3 Open Burning [326 IAC 4-1] [IC 13-17-9]

The Permittee shall not open burn any material except as provided in 326 IAC 4-1-3, 326 IAC 4-1-4 or 326 IAC 4-1-6. The previous sentence notwithstanding, the Permittee may open burn in accordance with an open burning approval issued by the Commissioner under 326 IAC 4-1-4.1.

#### C.4 Incineration [326 IAC 4-2] [326 IAC 9-1-2]

The Permittee shall not operate an incinerator except as provided in 326 IAC 4-2 or in this permit. The Permittee shall not operate a refuse incinerator or refuse burning equipment except as provided in 326 IAC 9-1-2 or in this permit.

#### C.5 Fugitive Dust Emissions [326 IAC 6-4]

The Permittee shall not allow fugitive dust to escape beyond the property line or boundaries of the property, right-of-way, or easement on which the source is located, in a manner that would violate 326 IAC 6-4 (Fugitive Dust Emissions). 326 IAC 6-4-2(4) is not federally enforceable.

#### C.6 Asbestos Abatement Projects [326 IAC 14-10] [326 IAC 18] [40 CFR 61, Subpart M]

- (a) Notification requirements apply to each owner or operator. If the combined amount of regulated asbestos containing material (RACM) to be stripped, removed or disturbed is at least 260 linear feet on pipes or 160 square feet on other facility components, or at least thirty-five (35) cubic feet on all facility components, then the notification requirements of 326 IAC 14-10-3 are mandatory. All demolition projects require notification whether or not asbestos is present.
- (b) The Permittee shall ensure that a written notification is sent on a form provided by the Commissioner at least ten (10) working days before asbestos stripping or removal work or before demolition begins, per 326 IAC 14-10-3, and shall update such notice as necessary, including, but not limited to the following:

Avery Dennison Page 19 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(1) When the amount of affected asbestos containing material increases or decreases by at least twenty percent (20%); or

- (2) If there is a change in the following:
  - (A) Asbestos removal or demolition start date;
  - (B) Removal or demolition contractor; or
  - (C) Waste disposal site.
- (c) The Permittee shall ensure that the notice is postmarked or delivered according to the guidelines set forth in 326 IAC 14-10-3(2).
- (d) The notice to be submitted shall include the information enumerated in 326 IAC 14-10-3(3).

All required notifications shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

The notice shall include a signed certification from the owner or operator that the information provided in this notification is correct and that only Indiana licensed workers and project supervisors will be used to implement the asbestos removal project. The notifications do not require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (e) Procedures for Asbestos Emission Control
  The Permittee shall comply with the applicable emission control procedures in
  326 IAC 14-10-4 and 40 CFR 61.145(c). Per 326 IAC 14-10-1, emission control
  requirements are applicable for any removal or disturbance of RACM greater than three
  (3) linear feet on pipes or three (3) square feet on any other facility components or a total
  of at least 0.75 cubic feet on all facility components.
- (f) Demolition and Renovation
  The Permittee shall thoroughly inspect the affected facility or part of the facility where the demolition or renovation will occur for the presence of asbestos pursuant to 40 CFR 61.145(a).
- (g) Indiana Licensed Asbestos Inspector
  The Permittee shall comply with 326 IAC 14-10-1(a) that requires the owner or operator, prior to a renovation/demolition, to use an Indiana Licensed Asbestos Inspector to thoroughly inspect the affected portion of the facility for the presence of asbestos. The requirement to use an Indiana Licensed Asbestos inspector is not federally enforceable.

#### Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

#### C.7 Performance Testing [326 IAC 3-6]

(a) For performance testing required by this permit, a test protocol, except as provided elsewhere in this permit, shall be submitted to:

Page 20 of 38 T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

no later than thirty-five (35) days prior to the intended test date. The protocol submitted by the Permittee does not require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (b) The Permittee shall notify IDEM, OAQ of the actual test date at least fourteen (14) days prior to the actual test date. The notification submitted by the Permittee does not require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).
- (c) Pursuant to 326 IAC 3-6-4(b), all test reports must be received by IDEM, OAQ not later than forty-five (45) days after the completion of the testing. An extension may be granted by IDEM, OAQ if the Permittee submits to IDEM, OAQ a reasonable written explanation not later than five (5) days prior to the end of the initial forty-five (45) day period.

#### Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

#### C.8 Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

The commissioner may require stack testing, monitoring, or reporting at any time to assure compliance with all applicable requirements by issuing an order under 326 IAC 2-1.1-11. Any monitoring or testing shall be performed in accordance with 326 IAC 3 or other methods approved by the commissioner or the U. S. EPA.

#### Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)][326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

#### C.9 Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)][326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

Unless otherwise specified in this permit, for all monitoring requirements not already legally required, the Permittee shall be allowed up to ninety (90) days from the date of permit issuance or of initial start-up, whichever is later, to begin such monitoring. If due to circumstances beyond the Permittee's control, any monitoring equipment required by this permit cannot be installed and operated no later than ninety (90) days after permit issuance or the date of initial startup, whichever is later, the Permittee may extend the compliance schedule related to the equipment for an additional ninety (90) days provided the Permittee notifies:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

in writing, prior to the end of the initial ninety (90) day compliance schedule, with full justification of the reasons for the inability to meet this date.

The notification which shall be submitted by the Permittee does require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

Unless otherwise specified in the approval for the new emission unit(s), compliance monitoring for new emission units or emission units added through a source modification shall be implemented when operation begins.

Avery Dennison Page 21 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### C.10 Instrument Specifications [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

(a) When required by any condition of this permit, an analog instrument used to measure a parameter related to the operation of an air pollution control device shall have a scale such that the expected maximum reading for the normal range shall be no less than twenty percent (20%) of full scale.

(b) The Permittee may request that the IDEM, OAQ approve the use of an instrument that does not meet the above specifications provided the Permittee can demonstrate that an alternative instrument specification will adequately ensure compliance with permit conditions requiring the measurement of the parameters.

#### Corrective Actions and Response Steps [326 IAC 2-7-5][326 IAC 2-7-6]

#### C.11 Emergency Reduction Plans [326 IAC 1-5-2] [326 IAC 1-5-3]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 1-5-2 (Emergency Reduction Plans; Submission):

- (a) The Permittee shall maintain the most recently submitted written emergency reduction plans (ERPs) consistent with safe operating procedures.
- (b) Upon direct notification by IDEM, OAQ that a specific air pollution episode level is in effect, the Permittee shall immediately put into effect the actions stipulated in the approved ERP for the appropriate episode level. [326 IAC 1-5-3]

#### C.12 Risk Management Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(12)] [40 CFR 68]

If a regulated substance, as defined in 40 CFR 68, is present at a source in more than a threshold quantity, the Permittee must comply with the applicable requirements of 40 CFR 68.

#### C.13 Response to Excursions or Exceedances [326 IAC 2-7-5] [326 IAC 2-7-6]

Upon detecting an excursion where a response step is required by the D Section or an exceedance of a limitation in this permit:

- (a) The Permittee shall take reasonable response steps to restore operation of the emissions unit (including any control device and associated capture system) to its normal or usual manner of operation as expeditiously as practicable in accordance with good air pollution control practices for minimizing excess emissions.
- (b) The response shall include minimizing the period of any startup, shutdown or malfunction. The response may include, but is not limited to, the following:
  - (1) initial inspection and evaluation;
  - (2) recording that operations returned or are returning to normal without operator action (such as through response by a computerized distribution control system); or
  - (3) any necessary follow-up actions to return operation to normal or usual manner of operation.
- (c) A determination of whether the Permittee has used acceptable procedures in response to an excursion or exceedance will be based on information available, which may include, but is not limited to, the following:
  - (1) monitoring results;

Avery Dennison Page 22 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

- (2) review of operation and maintenance procedures and records; and/or
- (3) inspection of the control device, associated capture system, and the process.
- (d) Failure to take reasonable response steps shall be considered a deviation from the permit.
- (e) The Permittee shall record the reasonable response steps taken.

#### C.14 Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test [326 IAC 2-7-5][326 IAC 2-7-6]

- (a) When the results of a stack test performed in conformance with Section C Performance Testing, of this permit exceed the level specified in any condition of this permit, the Permittee shall submit a description of its response actions to IDEM, OAQ, no later than seventy-five (75) days after the date of the test.
- (b) A retest to demonstrate compliance shall be performed no later than one hundred eighty (180) days after the date of the test. Should the Permittee demonstrate to IDEM, OAQ that retesting in one hundred eighty (180) days is not practicable, IDEM, OAQ may extend the retesting deadline
- (c) IDEM, OAQ reserves the authority to take any actions allowed under law in response to noncompliant stack tests.

The response action documents submitted pursuant to this condition do require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

#### Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-19]

C.15 Emission Statement [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)(iii)][326 IAC 2-7-5(7)][326 IAC 2-7-19(c)][326 IAC 2-6]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-6-3(b)(2), starting in 2005 and every three (3) years thereafter, the

Permittee shall submit by July 1 an emission statement covering the previous calendar year. The

emission statement shall contain, at a minimum, the information specified in 326 IAC 2-6-4(c) and
shall meet the following requirements:

- (1) Indicate estimated actual emissions of all pollutants listed in 326 IAC 2-6-4(a);
- (2) Indicate estimated actual emissions of regulated pollutants as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(32) ("Regulated pollutant, which is used only for purposes of Section 19 of this rule") from the source, for purpose of fee assessment.

The statement must be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Technical Support and Modeling Section, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-50 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

The emission statement does require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

#### C.16 General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-6]

(a) Records of all required monitoring data, reports and support information required by this permit shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years from the date of monitoring sample, measurement, report, or application. These records shall be physically present

Page 23 of 38 T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

or electronically accessible at the source location for a minimum of three (3) years. The records may be stored elsewhere for the remaining two (2) years as long as they are available upon request. If the Commissioner makes a request for records to the Permittee, the Permittee shall furnish the records to the Commissioner within a reasonable time.

(b) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, for all record keeping requirements not already legally required, the Permittee shall be allowed up to ninety (90) days from the date of permit issuance or the date of initial start-up, whichever is later, to begin such record keeping.

#### C.17 General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- (a) The Permittee shall submit the attached Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report or its equivalent. Any deviation from permit requirements, the date(s) of each deviation, the cause of the deviation, and the response steps taken must be reported except that a deviation required to be reported pursuant to an applicable requirement that exists independent of this permit, shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and does not need to be included in this report. This report shall be submitted not later than thirty (30) days after the end of the reporting period. The Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report shall include a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34). A deviation is an exceedance of a permit limitation or a failure to comply with a requirement of the permit.
- (b) The address for report submittal is:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

- (c) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, any notice, report, or other submission required by this permit shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ on or before the date it is due.
- (d) Reporting periods are based on calendar years, unless otherwise specified in this permit. For the purpose of this permit "calendar year" means the twelve (12) month period from January 1 to December 31 inclusive.

#### **Stratospheric Ozone Protection**

#### C.18 Compliance with 40 CFR 82 and 326 IAC 22-1

Pursuant to 40 CFR 82 (Protection of Stratospheric Ozone), Subpart F, except as provided for motor vehicle air conditioners in Subpart B, the Permittee shall comply with applicable standards for recycling and emissions reduction.

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### SECTION D.1 EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

#### **Emissions Unit Description:**

- (a) One (1) pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-1, constructed in October 1994, with a maximum capacity of nine hundred ninety-three (993) billion square inches per year, exhausting to two (2) stacks (C-1 and D-1), consisting of the following equipment:
  - (1) One (1) flow coating operation and one (1) roll coating operation; and
  - (2) Two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens, with a total maximum heat input capacity of 48.7 MMBtu/hr.

Under NSPS Subpart RR, the coating line is considered an existing affected source. Under NESHAP Subpart JJJJ, the coating line is considered an existing affected source.

- (b) One (1) pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-2, constructed in 2000 and modified in 2004, with a maximum capacity of 1,500 billion square inches per year, exhausting to three (3) stacks (E-1, F-1, and G-1), consisting of the following equipment:
  - (1) Two (2) flow coating operations, one (1) roll coating operation, and one (1) curtain coating operation;
  - (2) Two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens with a total maximum heat input capacity of 67.86 MMBtu/hr; and
  - (3) One (1) natural gas-fired drying oven, with a maximum heat input capacity of 4.0 MMBtu/hr.

Under NSPS Subpart RR, the coating line is considered an existing affected source. Under NESHAP Subpart JJJJ, the coating line is considered an existing affected source.

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

#### Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

#### D.1.1 PSD Minor Limit [326 IAC 2-2]

Pursuant to T059-12392-00018, issued on October 27, 2000, and revised in this Part 70 operating permit renewal, source-wide use of VOC, including coatings, dilution solvents, and cleaning solvents shall be less than 246.1 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period with compliance determined at the end of each month. This usage limit is required to limit the total source potential to emit VOC to less than two hundred fifty (250) tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period. Compliance with this limit makes 326 IAC 2-2 (Prevention of Significant Deterioration) not applicable.

#### D.1.2 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) [326 IAC 8-2-5]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 8-2-5 (Paper Coating Operations), the Permittee shall not cause, allow, or permit the discharge into the atmosphere of any volatile organic compound (VOC) in excess of

Page 25 of 38 T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

2.9 pounds VOC per gallon of coating, excluding water, delivered to the coating applicators from the two (2) pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2.

#### D.1.3 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(13)]

A Preventive Maintenance Plan is required for the pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2. Section B - Preventive Maintenance Plan contains the Permittee's obligation with regard to the preventive maintenance plan required by this condition.

#### **Compliance Determination Requirements**

#### D.1.4 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) [326 IAC 8-1-2] [326 IAC 8-1-4]

Compliance with the VOC content and usage limitations contained in Condition D.1.1 shall be determined pursuant to 326 IAC 8-1-4(a)(3) and 326 IAC 8-1-2(a) by preparing or obtaining from the manufacturer the copies of the "as supplied" and "as applied" VOC data sheets. IDEM, OAQ reserves the authority to determine compliance using Method 24 in conjunction with the analytical procedures specified in 326 IAC 8-1-4.

#### D.1.5 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) [326 IAC 8-1-4] [326 IAC 8-1-2(a)]

- (a) Compliance with the VOC content limitation contained in Condition D.1.2 shall be determined pursuant to 326 IAC 8-1-4(a)(3) and 326 IAC 8-1-2(a) by preparing or obtaining from the manufacturer the copies of the "as supplied" and "as applied" VOC data sheets. IDEM, OAQ reserves the authority to determine-compliance using Method 24 in conjunction with the analytical procedures specified in 326 IAC 8-1-4.
- (b) Pursuant to 326 IAC 8-1-2, at any time a coating with VOC content greater than 2.9 pounds per gallon less water is used, compliance with 326 IAC 8-2-5 shall be shown by using the following equation to calculate daily volume weighted average:

$$\frac{lbVOC}{Gallon, LessWater} = \frac{\sum coatings[Dc*O*Q/(1-W*Dc/Dw)]}{\sum C}$$

Where:

Dc = density of coating (lb/gal) Dw = density of water (lb/gal)
O = weight percent organics (%) Q = quantity of coating (gal/unit)
W = percent volume water (%) C = total of coatings used (gal/unit)

#### Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-19]

#### D.1.6 Record Keeping Requirements

- (a) To document the compliance status with Condition D.1.1, the Permittee shall maintain records in accordance with (1) through (4) below. Records maintained for (1) through (4) shall be taken as indicated and shall be complete and sufficient to establish compliance with the VOC usage limit established in Condition D.1.1. Records necessary to demonstrate compliance shall be available within thirty (30) days of the end of each compliance period.
  - (1) The VOC content of each coating material and solvent used.
  - (2) The amount of coating material and solvent less water used on monthly basis;
    - (A) Records shall include purchase orders, invoices, and material safety data sheets (MSDS) necessary to verify the type and amount used.

Page 26 of 38 T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

- (B) Solvent usage records shall differentiate between those added to coatings and those used as cleanup solvents.
- (3) The total VOC usage, including cleanup solvent VOC for each month.
- (4) The weight of VOC emitted for each compliance period.
- (b) To document the compliance status with Condition D.1.2, the Permittee shall maintain records in accordance with (1) through (4) below. Records maintained for (1) through (3), shall be taken monthly and shall be complete and sufficient to establish compliance with the VOC usage limit established in Condition D.1.2. Records necessary to demonstrate compliance shall be available within thirty (30) days of the end of each compliance period.
  - (1) The VOC content of each coating material and solvent used;
  - (2) The amount of coating material and solvent less water used on monthly basis;
    - (B) Records shall include purchase orders, invoices, and material safety data sheets (MSDS) necessary to verify the type and amount used.
    - (C) Solvent usage records shall differentiate between those added to coatings and those used as cleanup solvents.
  - (3) A log of usage each month and logs of the dates of use when using noncompliant coatings; and
  - (4) The volume weighted VOC content of the coatings used for each day that any coating with VOC content greater than 2.9 pounds per gallon is used.
    - (A) The daily cleanup solvent usage.
    - (B) The total VOC usage for each day.
- (c) All records shall be maintained in accordance with Section C General Record Keeping Requirements, of this permit.

#### D.1.7 Reporting Requirements

A quarterly summary of the information to document the compliance status with Condition D.1.1 shall be submitted to the addresses listed in Section C - General Reporting Requirements, of this permit, using the reporting forms located at the end of this permit, or their equivalent, within thirty (30) days after the end of the quarter being reported. The report submitted by the Permittee does require a certification that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-6(1) by a "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### **SECTION D.2**

#### **FACILITY OPERATION CONDITIONS**

#### Facility Description [326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]:

- (a) Two (2) natural gas-fired boilers, identified as B-01 and B-02, constructed in October 1994, with a maximum heat input capacity of 10.205 MMBtu/hr each, exhausting to two (2) stacks (B-01 and B-02). Under NSPS Subpart Dc, emission units B-01 and B-02 are considered affected facilities.
- (b) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, identified as B-03, constructed in June 2000, with a maximum heat input capacity of 10.205 MMBtu /hr, exhausting to one (1) stack (B-03). Under NSPS Subpart Dc, emission unit B-03 is considered an affected facility.

(The information describing the process contained in this facility description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

#### Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

#### D.2.1 Particulate [326 IAC 6-2-4]

- (a) Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-2-4 (Particulate Emission Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating) the PM from each 10.205 MMBtu per hour heat input boiler (B-01 and B-02) shall be limited to 0.498 pounds per MMBtu heat input.
- (b) Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-2-4 (Particulate Emission Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating) the PM from the 10.205 MMBtu per hour heat input boiler (B-03) shall be limited to 0.448 pounds per MMBtu heat input.

These limitations are based on the following equation:

$$Pt = 1.09 / Q^{0.26}$$

Where:

- Pt = Pounds of particulate matter emitted per million Btu (lb/MMBtu) heat input.
- Q = Total source maximum operating capacity rating in million Btu per hour (MMBtu/hr) heat input.

Page 28 of 38 T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### SECTION E.1 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)

#### **Emissions Unit Description:**

- (a) One (1) pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-1, constructed in October 1994, with a maximum capacity of nine hundred ninety-three (993) billion square inches per year, exhausting to two (2) stacks (C-1 and D-1), consisting of the following equipment:
  - (1) One (1) flow coating operation and one (1) roll coating operation; and
  - (2) Two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens, with a total maximum heat input capacity of 48.7 MMBtu /hr.

Under NSPS Subpart RR, the coating line is considered an existing affected source. Under NESHAP Subpart JJJJ, the coating line is considered an existing affected source.

- (b) One (1) pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-2, constructed in 2000 and modified in 2004, with a maximum capacity of 1,500 billion square inches per year, exhausting to three (3) stacks (E-1, F-1, and G-1), consisting of the following equipment:
  - (1) Two (2) flow coating operations, one (1) roll coating operation, and one (1) curtain coating operation;
  - (2) Two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens with a total maximum heat input capacity of 67.86 MMBtu /hr; and
  - (3) One (1) natural gas-fired drying oven, with a maximum heat input capacity of 4.0 MMBtu /hr.

Under NSPS Subpart RR, the coating line is considered an existing affected source. Under NESHAP Subpart JJJJ, the coating line is considered an existing affected source.

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

#### National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

- E.1.1 General Provisions Relating to National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants under 40 CFR Part 63 [326 IAC 20-1][40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A]
  - (a) Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.1, the Permittee shall comply with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart A General Provisions, which are incorporated by reference as 326 IAC 20-1-1 for pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2, except as otherwise specified in 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJ.
  - (b) Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.9, the Permittee shall submit all required notifications and reports to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue Avery Dennison Page 29 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

#### E.1.2 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) [40 CFR 63, Subpart JJJJ]

Pursuant to 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJ, the Permittee shall comply with the following provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJ, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Paper and Other Web Coating (included as Attachment A to this permit), for the pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2, as follows:

- (1) 40 CFR 63.3290
- (2) 40 CFR 63.3300, except for (a) through (f)
- (3) 40 CFR 63.3310
- (4) 40 CFR 63.3320(a)
- (5) 40 CFR 63.3320(b)(2)-(3)
- (6) 40 CFR 63.3320(c)
- (7) 40 CFR 63.3330(a)
- (8) 40 CFR 63.3340
- (9) 40 CFR 63.3360(a)(1)
- (10) 40 CFR 63.3360(c)(1)(i)-(iii)
- (11) 40 CFR 63.3360(c)(2)-(4)
- (12) 40 CFR 63.3360(d)(1)-(3)
- (13) 40 CFR 63.3370(a)(1)(i)-(ii)
- (14) 40 CFR 63.3370(a)(2)(i)-(iv)
- (15) 40 CFR 63.3370(a)(3)
- (16) 40 CFR 63.3370(b)(2)
- (17) 40 CFR 63.3370(c)(1)(i)-(ii)
- (18) 40 CFR 63.3370(c)(2)(i)-(ii)
- (19) 40 CFR 63.3370(c)(3)-(4)
- (20) 40 CFR 63.3370(c)(5)(i)-(ii)
- (21) 40 CFR 63.3370(d)
- (22) 40 CFR 63.3370(I)(1)-(5)
- (23) 40 CFR 63.3370(n)(4)
- (24) 40 CFR 63.3370(n)(5)(i)-(iv)
- (25) 40 CFR 63.3370(n)(6)(i)-(iv)
- (26) 40 CFR 63.3400(a)
- (27) 40 CFR 63.3400(b)(1), (3)-(4)
- (28) 40 CFR 63.3400(c)(1)(i)-(v)
- (29) 40 CFR 63.3400(c)(2)(i)-(iv)
- (30) 40 CFR 63.3400(c)(2)(v)(A)-(C)
- (31) 40 CFR 63.3400(c)(2)(vi)(A)-(J)
- (32) 40 CFR 63.3400(e)
- (33) 40 CFR 63.3400(g)(1)-(2)
- (34) 40 CFR 63.3410(a)(1)(iii)-(iv), (vi)
- (35) 40 CFR 63.3410(b)
- (36) 40 CFR 63.3420
- (37) Table 1

#### SECTION E.2 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

#### **Emissions Unit Description:**

- (a) One (1) pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-1, constructed in October 1994, with a maximum capacity of nine hundred ninety-three (993) billion square inches per year, exhausting to two (2) stacks (C-1 and D-1), consisting of the following equipment:
  - (1) One (1) flow coating operation and one (1) roll coating operation; and
  - (2) Two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens, with a total maximum heat input capacity of 48.7 MMBtu.

Under NSPS Subpart RR, the coating line is considered an existing affected source. Under NESHAP Subpart JJJJ, the coating line is considered an existing affected source.

- (b) One (1) pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-2, constructed in 2000 and modified in 2004, with a maximum capacity of 1,500 billion square inches per year, exhausting to three (3) stacks (E-1, F-1, and G-1), consisting of the following equipment:
  - (1) Two (2) flow coating operations, one (1) roll coating operation, and one (1) curtain coating operation;
  - (2) Two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens with a total maximum heat input capacity of 67.86 MMBtu /hr; and
  - (3) One (1) natural gas-fired drying oven, with a maximum heat input capacity of 4.0 MMBtu /hr.

Under NSPS Subpart RR, the coating line is considered an existing affected source. Under NESHAP Subpart JJJJ, the coating line is considered an existing affected source.

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

#### New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

- E.2.1 General Provisions Relating to New Source Performance Standards under 40 CFR Part 60 [326 IAC 12-1][40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A]
  - (a) Pursuant to 40 CFR 60.1, the Permittee shall comply with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart A General Provisions, which are incorporated by reference as 326 IAC 12-1 for pressure sensitive paper coating operation, except as otherwise specified in 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart RR.
  - (b) Pursuant to 40 CFR 60.19, the Permittee shall submit all required notifications and reports to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue Avery Dennison Page 31 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

## E.2.2 Standards of Performance for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations NSPS [40 CFR 60, Subpart RR]

Pursuant to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart RR, the Permittee shall comply with the following provisions of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart RR, Standards of Performance for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations (included as Attachment B to this permit), for the pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2, as follows:

- (1) 40 CFR 60.440
- (2) 40 CFR 60.441
- (3) 40 CFR 60.442(a)(1)
- (4) 40 CFR 60.443(a)(1)-(3)
- (5) 40 CFR 60.443(f), (j)
- (6) 40 CFR 60.444(a)
- (7) 40 CFR 60.445(a), (d), (h)
- (8) 40 CFR 60.446(a), (c)
- (9) 40 CFR 60.447(a)-(d)

Avery Dennison Page 32 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### SECTION E.3 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

#### Facility Description [326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]:

- (a) Two (2) natural gas-fired boilers, identified as B-01 and B-02, constructed in October 1994, with a maximum heat input capacity of 10.205 MMBtu/hr each, exhausting to two (2) stacks (B-01 and B-02). Under NSPS Subpart Dc, emission units B-01 and B-02 are considered affected facilities.
- (b) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, identified as B-03, constructed in June 2000, with a maximum heat input capacity of 10.205 MMBtu /hr, exhausting to one (1) stack (B-03). Under NSPS Subpart Dc, emission unit B-03 is considered an affected facility.

(The information describing the process contained in this facility description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

#### New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

- E.3.1 General Provisions Relating to New Source Performance Standards under 40 CFR Part 60 [326 IAC 12-1][40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A]
  - (a) Pursuant to 40 CFR 60.1, the Permittee shall comply with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart A General Provisions, which are incorporated by reference as 326 IAC 12-1 for boilers B-01, B-02, and B-03, except as otherwise specified in 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Dc.
  - (b) Pursuant to 40 CFR 60.19, the Permittee shall submit all required notifications and reports to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue MC 61-53 IGCN 1003 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

E.3.2 Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generation Units NSPS [40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc]

Pursuant to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Dc, the Permittee shall comply with the following provisions of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Dc, Standard of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generation Units (included as Attachment C to this permit), for the boilers B-01, B-02, and B-03 as follows:

- (1) 40 CFR 60.40c
- (2) 40 CFR 60.41c
- (3) 40 CFR 60.48c(g), (i), (j)

Avery Dennison Page 33 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

## INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BRANCH PART 70 OPERATING PERMIT CERTIFICATION

Source Name: Avery Dennison

Source Address: 870 West Anderson Blvd., Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018

This certification shall be included when submitting monitoring, testing reports/results or other documents as required by this permit.
Please check what document is being certified:
□ Annual Compliance Certification Letter
□ Test Result (specify)
□ Report (specify)
□ Notification (specify)
□ Affidavit (specify)
□ Other (specify)
I certify that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the document are true, accurate, and complete.
Signature:
Printed Name:
Title/Position:
Phone:
Date:

Avery Dennison Page 34 of 38 Greenfield, Indiana T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY
COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BRANCH
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

Phone: (317) 233-0178 Fax: (317) 233-6865

### PART 70 OPERATING PERMIT EMERGENCY OCCURRENCE REPORT

Source Name: Avery Dennison

Source Address: 870 West Anderson Blvd., Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018

#### This form consists of 2 pages

Page 1 of 2

- ☐ This is an emergency as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12)
  - The Permittee must notify the Office of Air Quality (OAQ), within four (4) business hours (1-800-451-6027 or 317-233-0178, ask for Compliance Section); and
  - The Permittee must submit notice in writing or by facsimile within two (2) working days (Facsimile Number: 317-233-6865), and follow the other requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-16.

If any of the following are not applicable, mark N/A

Facility/Equipment/Operation:
Control Equipment:
Permit Condition or Operation Limitation in Permit:
Description of the Emergency:
Describe the cause of the Emergency:

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

If any of the following are not applicable	e, mark N/A	Page 2 of 2
Date/Time Emergency started:		
Date/Time Emergency was corrected:		
Was the facility being properly operate	ed at the time of the emergency?	Y N
Type of Pollutants Emitted: TSP, PM-	10, SO <sub>2</sub> , VOC, NO <sub>X</sub> , CO, Pb, other	r:
Estimated amount of pollutant(s) emitt	ed during emergency:	
Describe the steps taken to mitigate the	ne problem:	
Describe the corrective actions/respon	se steps taken:	
Describe the measures taken to minim	nize emissions:	
If applicable, describe the reasons why imminent injury to persons, severe dar of product or raw materials of substant	mage to equipment, substantial los	
Form Completed by:		
Title / Position:		
Date:		
Phone:		

Page 36 of 38 T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

# INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BRANCH

#### **Part 70 Quarterly Report**

Source Name: Avery Dennison

Source Address: 870 West Anderson Blvd., Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Facility: GF-1 and GF-2
Parameter: VOC input

Limit: Less than 246.1 tons VOC per twelve (12) consecutive month period

QUARTER: YEAR:

	Column 1	Column 2	Column 1 + Column 2
Month	This Month	Previous 11 Months	12 Month Total
Month 1			
Month 2			
Month 3			

□ No deviation of	occurred in this quarter.	
	curred in this quarter. been reported on:	
Submitted by:		
Title / Position:		
Signature:		
Date:		
Phone:		

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

**Response Steps Taken:** 

# INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BRANCH PART 70 OPERATING PERMIT QUARTERLY DEVIATION AND COMPLIANCE MONITORING REPORT

Source Name: Source Address: Part 70 Permit No.:	urce Address: 870 West Anderson Blvd., Greenfield, Indiana 46140		
M	onths:	to	Year:
			Page 1 of 2
This report shall be submitted quarterly based on a calendar year. Any deviation from the requirements of this permit, the date(s) of each deviation, the probable cause of the deviation, and the response steps taken must be reported. A deviation required to be reported pursuant to an applicable requirement that exists independent of the permit, shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and does not need to be included in this report.  Additional pages may be attached if necessary. If no deviations occurred, please specify in the box marked "No deviations occurred this reporting period".			
□ NO DEVIATIO	NS OCCURRE	D THIS REPORT	TING PERIOD.
□ THE FOLLOW	ING DEVIATION	NS OCCURRED	THIS REPORTING PERIOD
Permit Requirem	ent (specify p	ermit condition #)	
Date of Deviation	ղ։		Duration of Deviation:
Number of Deviations:			
Probable Cause of Deviation:			
Response Steps Taken:			
Permit Requirement (specify permit condition #)			
Date of Deviation	Date of Deviation: Duration of Deviation:		
Number of Deviations:			
Probable Cause of Deviation:			

Page 2 of 2

	i age 2 oi	
Permit Requirement (specify permit condition #)		
Date of Deviation:	Duration of Deviation:	
Number of Deviations:		
Probable Cause of Deviation:		
Response Steps Taken:		
Permit Requirement (specify permit condition #)		
Date of Deviation:	Duration of Deviation:	
Number of Deviations:		
Probable Cause of Deviation:		
Response Steps Taken:		
Permit Requirement (specify permit condition #)		
Date of Deviation:	Duration of Deviation:	
Number of Deviations:		
Probable Cause of Deviation:		
Response Steps Taken:		
Form Completed by:		
Title / Position:		
Date:		
Phone:		

#### Attachment A

#### to Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal No. T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison 870 West Anderson Blvd., Greenfield, Indiana 46140

# 40 CFR 63, Subpart JJJJ—National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Paper and Other Web Coating

**Source:** 67 FR 72341, Dec. 4, 2002, unless otherwise noted.

#### **What This Subpart Covers**

#### § 63.3280 What is in this subpart?

This subpart describes the actions you must take to reduce emissions of organic hazardous air pollutants (HAP) from paper and other web coating operations. This subpart establishes emission standards for web coating lines and specifies what you must do to comply if you own or operate a facility with web coating lines that is a major source of HAP. Certain requirements apply to all who are subject to this subpart; others depend on the means you use to comply with an emission standard.

#### § 63.3290 Does this subpart apply to me?

The provisions of this subpart apply to each new and existing facility that is a major source of HAP, as defined in §63.2, at which web coating lines are operated.

#### § 63.3300 Which of my emission sources are affected by this subpart?

The affected source subject to this subpart is the collection of all web coating lines at your facility. This includes web coating lines engaged in the coating of metal webs that are used in flexible packaging, and web coating lines engaged in the coating of fabric substrates for use in pressure sensitive tape and abrasive materials. Web coating lines specified in paragraphs (a) through (g) of this section are not part of the affected source of this subpart.

- (a) Any web coating line that is stand-alone equipment under subpart KK of this part (National Emission Standards for the Printing and Publishing Industry) which the owner or operator includes in the affected source under subpart KK.
- (b) Any web coating line that is a product and packaging rotogravure or wide-web flexographic press under subpart KK of this part (national emission standards for the printing and publishing industry) which is included in the affected source under subpart KK.
- (c) Web coating in lithography, screenprinting, letterpress, and narrow-web flexographic printing processes.
- (d) Any web coating line subject to subpart EE of this part (national emission standards for magnetic tape manufacturing operations).
- (e) Any web coating line that will be subject to the national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for surface coating of metal coil currently under development.
- (f) Any web coating line that will be subject to the NESHAP for the printing, coating, and dyeing of fabric and other textiles currently under development. This would include any web coating line that coats both a paper or other web substrate and a fabric or other textile substrate, except for a fabric substrate used for pressure sensitive tape and abrasive materials.

(g) Any web coating line that is defined as research or laboratory equipment in §63.3310.

[67 FR 72341, Dec. 4, 2002, as amended at 71 FR 29805, May 24, 2006]

#### § 63.3310 What definitions are used in this subpart?

All terms used in this subpart that are not defined in this section have the meaning given to them in the Clean Air Act (CAA) and in subpart A of this part.

Always-controlled work station means a work station associated with a dryer from which the exhaust is delivered to a control device with no provision for the dryer exhaust to bypass the control device unless there is an interlock to interrupt and prevent continued coating during a bypass. Sampling lines for analyzers, relief valves needed for safety purposes, and periodic cycling of exhaust dampers to ensure safe operation are not considered bypass lines.

Applied means, for the purposes of this subpart, the amount of organic HAP, coating material, or coating solids (as appropriate for the emission standards in §63.3320(b)) used by the affected source during the compliance period.

As-applied means the condition of a coating at the time of application to a substrate, including any added solvent.

As-purchased means the condition of a coating as delivered to the user.

Capture efficiency means the fraction of all organic HAP emissions generated by a process that is delivered to a control device, expressed as a percentage.

Capture system means a hood, enclosed room, or other means of collecting organic HAP emissions into a closed-vent system that exhausts to a control device.

Car-seal means a seal that is placed on a device that is used to change the position of a valve or damper (e.g., from open to closed) in such a way that the position of the valve or damper cannot be changed without breaking the seal.

Coating material(s) means all inks, varnishes, adhesives, primers, solvents, reducers, and other coating materials applied to a substrate via a web coating line. Materials used to form a substrate are not considered coating materials.

Control device means a device such as a solvent recovery device or oxidizer which reduces the organic HAP in an exhaust gas by recovery or by destruction.

Control device efficiency means the ratio of organic HAP emissions recovered or destroyed by a control device to the total organic HAP emissions that are introduced into the control device, expressed as a percentage.

Day means a 24-consecutive-hour period.

Deviation means any instance in which an affected source, subject to this subpart, or an owner or operator of such a source:

- (1) Fails to meet any requirement or obligation established by this subpart including, but not limited to, any emission limitation (including any operating limit) or work practice standard;
- (2) Fails to meet any term or condition that is adopted to implement an applicable requirement in this subpart and that is included in the operating permit for any affected source required to obtain such a permit; or
- (3) Fails to meet any emission limitation (including any operating limit) or work practice standard in this subpart during start-up, shutdown, or malfunction, regardless of whether or not such failure is permitted by this subpart.

Existing affected source means any affected source the construction or reconstruction of which is commenced on or before September 13, 2000, and has not undergone reconstruction as defined in §63.2.

Fabric means any woven, knitted, plaited, braided, felted, or non-woven material made of filaments, fibers, or yarns including thread. This term includes material made of fiberglass, natural fibers, synthetic fibers, or composite materials.

Facility means all contiguous or adjoining property that is under common ownership or control, including properties that are separated only by a road or other public right-of-way.

Flexible packaging means any package or part of a package the shape of which can be readily changed. Flexible packaging includes, but is not limited to, bags, pouches, labels, liners and wraps utilizing paper, plastic, film, aluminum foil, metalized or coated paper or film, or any combination of these materials.

Formulation data means data on the organic HAP mass fraction, volatile matter mass fraction, or coating solids mass fraction of a material that is generated by the manufacturer or means other than a test method specified in this subpart or an approved alternative method.

HAP means hazardous air pollutants.

HAP applied means the organic HAP content of all coating materials applied to a substrate by a web coating line at an affected source.

Intermittently-controlled work station means a work station associated with a dryer with provisions for the dryer exhaust to be delivered to or diverted from a control device through a bypass line, depending on the position of a valve or damper. Sampling lines for analyzers, relief valves needed for safety purposes, and periodic cycling of exhaust dampers to ensure safe operation are not considered bypass lines.

Metal coil means a continuous metal strip that is at least 0.15 millimeter (0.006 inch) thick which is packaged in a roll or coil prior to coating. After coating, it may or may not be rewound into a roll or coil. Metal coil does not include metal webs that are coated for use in flexible packaging.

Month means a calendar month or a pre-specified period of 28 days to 35 days to allow for flexibility in recordkeeping when data are based on a business accounting period.

Never-controlled work station means a work station that is not equipped with provisions by which any emissions, including those in the exhaust from any associated dryer, may be delivered to a control device.

New affected source means any affected source the construction or reconstruction of which is commenced after September 13, 2000.

Overall organic HAP control efficiency means the total efficiency of a capture and control system.

*Pressure sensitive tape* means a flexible backing material with a pressure-sensitive adhesive coating on one or both sides of the backing. Examples include, but are not limited to, duct/duct insulation tape and medical tape.

Research or laboratory equipment means any equipment for which the primary purpose is to conduct research and development into new processes and products where such equipment is operated under the close supervision of technically trained personnel and is not engaged in the manufacture of products for commercial sale in commerce except in a *de minimis* manner.

Rewind or cutting station means a unit from which substrate is collected at the outlet of a web coating line.

Uncontrolled coating line means a coating line consisting of only never-controlled work stations.

Unwind or feed station means a unit from which substrate is fed to a web coating line.

Web means a continuous substrate (e.g., paper, film, foil) which is flexible enough to be wound or unwound as rolls.

Web coating line means any number of work stations, of which one or more applies a continuous layer of coating material across the entire width or any portion of the width of a web substrate, and any associated curing/drying equipment between an unwind or feed station and a rewind or cutting station.

Work station means a unit on a web coating line where coating material is deposited onto a web substrate.

#### **Emission Standards and Compliance Dates**

#### § 63.3320 What emission standards must I meet?

- (a) If you own or operate any affected source that is subject to the requirements of this subpart, you must comply with these requirements on and after the compliance dates as specified in §63.3330.
- (b) You must limit organic HAP emissions to the level specified in paragraph (b)(1), (2), (3), or (4) of this section.
- (1) No more than 5 percent of the organic HAP applied for each month (95 percent reduction) at existing affected sources, and no more than 2 percent of the organic HAP applied for each month (98 percent reduction) at new affected sources; or
- (2) No more than 4 percent of the mass of coating materials applied for each month at existing affected sources, and no more than 1.6 percent of the mass of coating materials applied for each month at new affected sources; or
- (3) No more than 20 percent of the mass of coating solids applied for each month at existing affected sources, and no more than 8 percent of the coating solids applied for each month at new affected sources.
- (4) If you use an oxidizer to control organic HAP emissions, operate the oxidizer such that an outlet organic HAP concentration of no greater than 20 parts per million by volume (ppmv) by compound on a dry basis is achieved and the efficiency of the capture system is 100 percent.
- (c) You must demonstrate compliance with this subpart by following the procedures in §63.3370.

#### § 63.3321 What operating limits must I meet?

- (a) For any web coating line or group of web coating lines for which you use add-on control devices, unless you use a solvent recovery system and conduct a liquid-liquid material balance, you must meet the operating limits specified in Table 1 to this subpart or according to paragraph (b) of this section. These operating limits apply to emission capture systems and control devices, and you must establish the operating limits during the performance test according to the requirements in §63.3360(e)(3). You must meet the operating limits at all times after you establish them.
- (b) If you use an add-on control device other than those listed in Table 1 to this subpart or wish to monitor an alternative parameter and comply with a different operating limit, you must apply to the Administrator for approval of alternative monitoring under §63.8(f).

#### § 63.3330 When must I comply?

- (a) If you own or operate an existing affected source subject to the provisions of this subpart, you must comply by the compliance date. The compliance date for existing affected sources in this subpart is December 5, 2005. You must complete any performance test required in §63.3360 within the time limits specified in §63.7(a)(2).
- (b) If you own or operate a new affected source subject to the provisions of this subpart, your compliance date is immediately upon start-up of the new affected source or by December 4, 2002, whichever is later. You must complete any performance test required in §63.3360 within the time limits specified in §63.7(a)(2).
- (c) If you own or operate a reconstructed affected source subject to the provisions of this subpart, your compliance date is immediately upon startup of the affected source or by December 4, 2002, whichever is later. Existing affected

sources which have undergone reconstruction as defined in §63.2 are subject to the requirements for new affected sources. The costs associated with the purchase and installation of air pollution control equipment are not considered in determining whether the existing affected source has been reconstructed. Additionally, the costs of retrofitting and replacing of equipment that is installed specifically to comply with this subpart are not considered reconstruction costs. You must complete any performance test required in §63.3360 within the time limits specified in §63.7(a)(2).

### General Requirements for Compliance With the Emission Standards and for Monitoring and Performance Tests

#### § 63.3340 What general requirements must I meet to comply with the standards?

Table 2 to this subpart specifies the provisions of subpart A of this part that apply if you are subject to this subpart, such as startup, shutdown, and malfunction plans (SSMP) in §63.6(e)(3) for affected sources using a control device to comply with the emission standards.

## § 63.3350 If I use a control device to comply with the emission standards, what monitoring must I do?

(a) A summary of monitoring you must do follows:

If you operate a web coating line, and have the following:	Then you must:
(1) Intermittently-controlled work stations	Record parameters related to possible exhaust flow bypass of control device and to coating use (§63.3350(c)).
(2) Solvent recovery unit	Operate continuous emission monitoring system and perform quarterly audits or determine volatile matter recovered and conduct a liquid-liquid material balance (§63.3350(d)).
(3) Control Device	Operate continuous parameter monitoring system (§63.3350(e)).
(4) Capture system	Monitor capture system operating parameter (§63.3350(f)).

- (b) Following the date on which the initial performance test of a control device is completed to demonstrate continuing compliance with the standards, you must monitor and inspect each capture system and each control device used to comply with §63.3320. You must install and operate the monitoring equipment as specified in paragraphs (c) and (f) of this section.
- (c) Bypass and coating use monitoring. If you own or operate web coating lines with intermittently-controlled work stations, you must monitor bypasses of the control device and the mass of each coating material applied at the work station during any such bypass. If using a control device for complying with the requirements of this subpart, you must demonstrate that any coating material applied on a never-controlled work station or an intermittently-controlled work station operated in bypass mode is allowed in your compliance demonstration according to §63.3370(n) and (o). The bypass monitoring must be conducted using at least one of the procedures in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) of this section for each work station and associated dryer.
- (1) Flow control position indicator. Install, calibrate, maintain, and operate according to the manufacturer's specifications a flow control position indicator that provides a record indicating whether the exhaust stream from the dryer was directed to the control device or was diverted from the control device. The time and flow control position must be recorded at least once per hour as well as every time the flow direction is changed. A flow control position indicator must be installed at the entrance to any bypass line that could divert the exhaust stream away from the control device to the atmosphere.

Avery Dennison Attachment A Page 6 of 41 Greenfield, Indiana 40 CFR 63, Subpart JJJJ T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(2) Car-seal or lock-and-key valve closures. Secure any bypass line valve in the closed position with a car-seal or a lock-and-key type configuration. A visual inspection of the seal or closure mechanism must be performed at least once every month to ensure that the valve or damper is maintained in the closed position, and the exhaust stream is not diverted through the bypass line.

- (3) Valve closure continuous monitoring. Ensure that any bypass line valve or damper is in the closed position through continuous monitoring of valve position when the emission source is in operation and is using a control device for compliance with the requirements of this subpart. The monitoring system must be inspected at least once every month to verify that the monitor will indicate valve position.
- (4) Automatic shutdown system. Use an automatic shutdown system in which the web coating line is stopped when flow is diverted away from the control device to any bypass line when the control device is in operation. The automatic system must be inspected at least once every month to verify that it will detect diversions of flow and would shut down operations in the event of such a diversion.
- (d) Solvent recovery unit. If you own or operate a solvent recovery unit to comply with §63.3320, you must meet the requirements in either paragraph (d)(1) or (2) of this section depending on how control efficiency is determined.
- (1) Continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS). If you are demonstrating compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320 through continuous emission monitoring of a control device, you must install, calibrate, operate, and maintain the CEMS according to paragraphs (d)(1)(i) through (iii) of this section.
- (i) Measure the total organic volatile matter mass flow rate at both the control device inlet and the outlet such that the reduction efficiency can be determined. Each continuous emission monitor must comply with performance specification 6, 8, or 9 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix B, as appropriate.
- (ii) You must follow the quality assurance procedures in procedure 1, appendix F of 40 CFR part 60. In conducting the quarterly audits of the monitors as required by procedure 1, appendix F, you must use compounds representative of the gaseous emission stream being controlled.
- (iii) You must have valid data from at least 90 percent of the hours during which the process is operated.
- (2) Liquid-liquid material balance. If you are demonstrating compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320 through liquid-liquid material balance, you must install, calibrate, maintain, and operate according to the manufacturer's specifications a device that indicates the cumulative amount of volatile matter recovered by the solvent recovery device on a monthly basis. The device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate to within ±2.0 percent by mass.
- (e) Continuous parameter monitoring system (CPMS). If you are using a control device to comply with the emission standards in §63.3320, you must install, operate, and maintain each CPMS specified in paragraphs (e)(9) and (10) and (f) of this section according to the requirements in paragraphs (e)(1) through (8) of this section. You must install, operate, and maintain each CPMS specified in paragraph (c) of this section according to paragraphs (e)(5) through (7) of this section.
- (1) Each CPMS must complete a minimum of one cycle of operation for each successive 15-minute period. You must have a minimum of four equally spaced successive cycles of CPMS operation to have a valid hour of data.
- (2) You must have valid data from at least 90 percent of the hours during which the process operated.
- (3) You must determine the hourly average of all recorded readings according to paragraphs (e)(3)(i) and (ii) of this section.
- (i) To calculate a valid hourly value, you must have at least three of four equally spaced data values from that hour from a continuous monitoring system (CMS) that is not out-of-control.

Avery Dennison Attachment A Page 7 of 41
Greenfield, Indiana 40 CFR 63, Subpart JJJJ T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

- (ii) Provided all of the readings recorded in accordance with paragraph (e)(3) of this section clearly demonstrate continuous compliance with the standard that applies to you, then you are not required to determine the hourly average of all recorded readings.
- (4) You must determine the rolling 3-hour average of all recorded readings for each operating period. To calculate the average for each 3-hour averaging period, you must have at least two of three of the hourly averages for that period using only average values that are based on valid data ( *i.e.*, not from out-of-control periods).
- (5) You must record the results of each inspection, calibration, and validation check of the CPMS.
- (6) At all times, you must maintain the monitoring system in proper working order including, but not limited to, maintaining necessary parts for routine repairs of the monitoring equipment.
- (7) Except for monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, or required quality assurance or control activities (including calibration checks or required zero and span adjustments), you must conduct all monitoring at all times that the unit is operating. Data recorded during monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, out-of-control periods, or required quality assurance or control activities shall not be used for purposes of calculating the emissions concentrations and percent reductions specified in §63.3370. You must use all the valid data collected during all other periods in assessing compliance of the control device and associated control system. A monitoring malfunction is any sudden, infrequent, not reasonably preventable failure of the monitoring system to provide valid data. Monitoring failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions.
- (8) Any averaging period for which you do not have valid monitoring data and such data are required constitutes a deviation, and you must notify the Administrator in accordance with §63.3400(c).
- (9) Oxidizer. If you are using an oxidizer to comply with the emission standards, you must comply with paragraphs (e)(9)(i) through (iii) of this section.
- (i) Install, calibrate, maintain, and operate temperature monitoring equipment according to the manufacturer's specifications. The calibration of the chart recorder, data logger, or temperature indicator must be verified every 3 months or the chart recorder, data logger, or temperature indicator must be replaced. You must replace the equipment whether you choose not to perform the calibration or the equipment cannot be calibrated properly.
- (ii) For an oxidizer other than a catalytic oxidizer, install, calibrate, operate, and maintain a temperature monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder. The device must have an accuracy of ±1 percent of the temperature being monitored in degrees Celsius, or ±1 °Celsius, whichever is greater. The thermocouple or temperature sensor must be installed in the combustion chamber at a location in the combustion zone.
- (iii) For a catalytic oxidizer, install, calibrate, operate, and maintain a temperature monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder. The device must be capable of monitoring temperature with an accuracy of ±1 percent of the temperature being monitored in degrees Celsius or ±1 degree Celsius, whichever is greater. The thermocouple or temperature sensor must be installed in the vent stream at the nearest feasible point to the inlet and outlet of the catalyst bed. Calculate the temperature rise across the catalyst.
- (10) Other types of control devices. If you use a control device other than an oxidizer or wish to monitor an alternative parameter and comply with a different operating limit, you must apply to the Administrator for approval of an alternative monitoring method under §63.8(f).
- (f) Capture system monitoring. If you are complying with the emission standards in §63.3320 through the use of a capture system and control device for one or more web coating lines, you must develop a site-specific monitoring plan containing the information specified in paragraphs (f)(1) and (2) of this section for these capture systems. You must monitor the capture system in accordance with paragraph (f)(3) of this section. You must make the monitoring plan available for inspection by the permitting authority upon request.
- (1) The monitoring plan must:

- (i) Identify the operating parameter to be monitored to ensure that the capture efficiency determined during the initial compliance test is maintained; and
- (ii) Explain why this parameter is appropriate for demonstrating ongoing compliance; and
- (iii) Identify the specific monitoring procedures.
- (2) The monitoring plan must specify the operating parameter value or range of values that demonstrate compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320. The specified operating parameter value or range of values must represent the conditions present when the capture system is being properly operated and maintained.
- (3) You must conduct all capture system monitoring in accordance with the plan.
- (4) Any deviation from the operating parameter value or range of values which are monitored according to the plan will be considered a deviation from the operating limit.
- (5) You must review and update the capture system monitoring plan at least annually.

#### § 63.3360 What performance tests must I conduct?

(a) The performance test methods you must conduct are as follows:

If you control organic HAP on any individual web coating line or any group of web coating lines by:	You must:
(1) Limiting organic HAP or volatile matter content of coatings	Determine the organic HAP or volatile matter and coating solids content of coating materials according to procedures in §63.3360(c) and (d). If applicable, determine the mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere according to §63.3360(g).
(2) Using a capture and control system	Conduct a performance test for each capture and control system to determine: the destruction or removal efficiency of each control device other than solvent recovery according to §63.3360(e), and the capture efficiency of each capture system according to §63.3360(f). If applicable, determine the mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere according to §63.3360(g).

- (b) If you are using a control device to comply with the emission standards in §63.3320, you are not required to conduct a performance test to demonstrate compliance if one or more of the criteria in paragraphs (b)(1) through (3) of this section are met.
- (1) The control device is equipped with continuous emission monitors for determining inlet and outlet total organic volatile matter concentration and capture efficiency has been determined in accordance with the requirements of this subpart such that an overall organic HAP control efficiency can be calculated, and the continuous emission monitors are used to demonstrate continuous compliance in accordance with §63.3350; or

- (2) You have met the requirements of §63.7(h) (for waiver of performance testing; or
- (3) The control device is a solvent recovery system and you comply by means of a monthly liquid-liquid material balance.
- (c) Organic HAP content. If you determine compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320 by means other than determining the overall organic HAP control efficiency of a control device, you must determine the organic HAP mass fraction of each coating material "as-purchased" by following one of the procedures in paragraphs (c)(1) through (3) of this section, and determine the organic HAP mass fraction of each coating material "as-applied" by following the procedures in paragraph (c)(4) of this section. If the organic HAP content values are not determined using the procedures in paragraphs (c)(1) through (3) of this section, the owner or operator must submit an alternative test method for determining their values for approval by the Administrator in accordance with §63.7(f). The recovery efficiency of the test method must be determined for all of the target organic HAP and a correction factor, if necessary, must be determined and applied.
- (1) Method 311. You may test the coating material in accordance with Method 311 of appendix A of this part. The Method 311 determination may be performed by the manufacturer of the coating material and the results provided to the owner or operator. The organic HAP content must be calculated according to the criteria and procedures in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (iii) of this section.
- (i) Include each organic HAP determined to be present at greater than or equal to 0.1 mass percent for Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)-defined carcinogens as specified in 29 CFR 1910.1200(d)(4) and greater than or equal to 1.0 mass percent for other organic HAP compounds.
- (ii) Express the mass fraction of each organic HAP you include according to paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section as a value truncated to four places after the decimal point (for example, 0.3791).
- (iii) Calculate the total mass fraction of organic HAP in the tested material by summing the counted individual organic HAP mass fractions and truncating the result to three places after the decimal point (for example, 0.763).
- (2) Method 24. For coatings, determine the volatile organic content as mass fraction of nonaqueous volatile matter and use it as a substitute for organic HAP using Method 24 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A. The Method 24 determination may be performed by the manufacturer of the coating and the results provided to you.
- (3) Formulation data. You may use formulation data to determine the organic HAP mass fraction of a coating material. Formulation data may be provided to the owner or operator by the manufacturer of the material. In the event of an inconsistency between Method 311 (appendix A of 40 CFR part 63) test data and a facility's formulation data, and the Method 311 test value is higher, the Method 311 data will govern. Formulation data may be used provided that the information represents all organic HAP present at a level equal to or greater than 0.1 percent for OSHA-defined carcinogens as specified in 29 CFR 1910.1200(d)(4) and equal to or greater than 1.0 percent for other organic HAP compounds in any raw material used.
- (4) As-applied organic HAP mass fraction. If the as-purchased coating material is applied to the web without any solvent or other material added, then the as-applied organic HAP mass fraction is equal to the as-purchased organic HAP mass fraction. Otherwise, the as-applied organic HAP mass fraction must be calculated using Equation 1a of §63.3370.
- (d) Volatile organic and coating solids content. If you determine compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320 by means other than determining the overall organic HAP control efficiency of a control device and you choose to use the volatile organic content as a surrogate for the organic HAP content of coatings, you must determine the aspurchased volatile organic content and coating solids content of each coating material applied by following the procedures in paragraph (d)(1) or (2) of this section, and the as-applied volatile organic content and coating solids content of each coating material by following the procedures in paragraph (d)(3) of this section.
- (1) Method 24. You may determine the volatile organic and coating solids mass fraction of each coating applied using Method 24 (40 CFR part 60, appendix A.) The Method 24 determination may be performed by the manufacturer of the material and the results provided to you. If these values cannot be determined using Method 24, you must submit an alternative technique for determining their values for approval by the Administrator.

- (2) Formulation data. You may determine the volatile organic content and coating solids content of a coating material based on formulation data and may rely on volatile organic content data provided by the manufacturer of the material. In the event of any inconsistency between the formulation data and the results of Method 24 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, and the Method 24 results are higher, the results of Method 24 will govern.
- (3) As-applied volatile organic content and coating solids content. If the as-purchased coating material is applied to the web without any solvent or other material added, then the as-applied volatile organic content is equal to the as-purchased volatile content and the as-applied coating solids content is equal to the as-purchased coating solids content. Otherwise, the as-applied volatile organic content must be calculated using Equation 1b of §63.3370 and the as-applied coating solids content must be calculated using Equation 2 of §63.3370.
- (e) Control device efficiency. If you are using an add-on control device other than solvent recovery, such as an oxidizer, to comply with the emission standards in §63.3320, you must conduct a performance test to establish the destruction or removal efficiency of the control device according to the methods and procedures in paragraphs (e)(1) and (2) of this section. During the performance test, you must establish the operating limits required by §63.3321 according to paragraph (e)(3) of this section.
- (1) An initial performance test to establish the destruction or removal efficiency of the control device must be conducted such that control device inlet and outlet testing is conducted simultaneously, and the data are reduced in accordance with the test methods and procedures in paragraphs (e)(1)(i) through (ix) of this section. You must conduct three test runs as specified in §63.7(e)(3), and each test run must last at least 1 hour.
- (i) Method 1 or 1A of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, must be used for sample and velocity traverses to determine sampling locations.
- (ii) Method 2, 2A, 2C, 2D, 2F, or 2G of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, must be used to determine gas volumetric flow rate
- (iii) Method 3, 3A, or 3B of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, must be used for gas analysis to determine dry molecular weight. You may also use as an alternative to Method 3B the manual method for measuring the oxygen, carbon dioxide, and carbon monoxide content of exhaust gas in ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10–1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses [Part 10, Instruments and Apparatus]," (incorporated by reference, see §63.14).
- (iv) Method 4 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, must be used to determine stack gas moisture.
- (v) The gas volumetric flow rate, dry molecular weight, and stack gas moisture must be determined during each test run specified in paragraph (f)(1)(vii) of this section.
- (vi) Method 25 or 25A of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, must be used to determine total gaseous non-methane organic matter concentration. Use the same test method for both the inlet and outlet measurements which must be conducted simultaneously. You must submit notice of the intended test method to the Administrator for approval along with notification of the performance test required under §63.7(b). You must use Method 25A if any of the conditions described in paragraphs (e)(1)(vi)(A) through (D) of this section apply to the control device.
- (A) The control device is not an oxidizer.
- (B) The control device is an oxidizer but an exhaust gas volatile organic matter concentration of 50 ppmv or less is required to comply with the emission standards in §63.3320; or
- (C) The control device is an oxidizer but the volatile organic matter concentration at the inlet to the control system and the required level of control are such that they result in exhaust gas volatile organic matter concentrations of 50 ppmv or less; or
- (D) The control device is an oxidizer but because of the high efficiency of the control device the anticipated volatile organic matter concentration at the control device exhaust is 50 ppmv or less, regardless of inlet concentration.

(vii) Except as provided in §63.7(e)(3), each performance test must consist of three separate runs with each run conducted for at least 1 hour under the conditions that exist when the affected source is operating under normal operating conditions. For the purpose of determining volatile organic compound concentrations and mass flow rates, the average of the results of all the runs will apply.

(viii) Volatile organic matter mass flow rates must be determined for each run specified in paragraph (e)(1)(vii) of this section using Equation 1 of this section:

$$M_f = Q_{sd}C_c[12][0.0416][10^{-6}]$$
 Eq. 1

Where:

M<sub>f</sub>= Total organic volatile matter mass flow rate, kilograms (kg)/hour (h).

Q<sub>sd</sub>= Volumetric flow rate of gases entering or exiting the control device, as determined according to §63.3360(e)(1)(ii), dry standard cubic meters (dscm)/h.

C<sub>c</sub>= Concentration of organic compounds as carbon, ppmv.

12.0 = Molecular weight of carbon.

0.0416 = Conversion factor for molar volume, kg-moles per cubic meter (mol/m³) (@ 293 Kelvin (K) and 760 millimeters of mercury (mmHg)).

(ix) For each run, emission control device destruction or removal efficiency must be determined using Equation 2 of this section:

$$E = \frac{M_{fi} - M_{fo}}{M_{fi}} \times 100$$
 Eq. 2

Where:

E = Organic volatile matter control efficiency of the control device, percent.

M<sub>fi</sub>= Organic volatile matter mass flow rate at the inlet to the control device, kg/h.

M<sub>fn</sub>= Organic volatile matter mass flow rate at the outlet of the control device, kg/h.

- (x) The control device destruction or removal efficiency is determined as the average of the efficiencies determined in the test runs and calculated in Equation 2 of this section.
- (2) You must record such process information as may be necessary to determine the conditions in existence at the time of the performance test. Operations during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction will not constitute representative conditions for the purpose of a performance test.
- (3) Operating limits. If you are using one or more add-on control device other than a solvent recovery system for which you conduct a liquid-liquid material balance to comply with the emission standards in §63.3320, you must establish the applicable operating limits required by §63.3321. These operating limits apply to each add-on emission control device, and you must establish the operating limits during the performance test required by paragraph (e) of this section according to the requirements in paragraphs (e)(3)(i) and (ii) of this section.

(i) Thermal oxidizer. If your add-on control device is a thermal oxidizer, establish the operating limits according to paragraphs (e)(3)(i)(A) and (B) of this section.

- (A) During the performance test, you must monitor and record the combustion temperature at least once every 15 minutes during each of the three test runs. You must monitor the temperature in the firebox of the thermal oxidizer or immediately downstream of the firebox before any substantial heat exchange occurs.
- (B) Use the data collected during the performance test to calculate and record the average combustion temperature maintained during the performance test. This average combustion temperature is the minimum operating limit for your thermal oxidizer.
- (ii) Catalytic oxidizer. If your add-on control device is a catalytic oxidizer, establish the operating limits according to paragraphs (e)(3)(ii)(A) and (B) or paragraphs (e)(3)(ii)(C) and (D) of this section.
- (A) During the performance test, you must monitor and record the temperature just before the catalyst bed and the temperature difference across the catalyst bed at least once every 15 minutes during each of the three test runs.
- (B) Use the data collected during the performance test to calculate and record the average temperature just before the catalyst bed and the average temperature difference across the catalyst bed maintained during the performance test. These are the minimum operating limits for your catalytic oxidizer.
- (C) As an alternative to monitoring the temperature difference across the catalyst bed, you may monitor the temperature at the inlet to the catalyst bed and implement a site-specific inspection and maintenance plan for your catalytic oxidizer as specified in paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(D) of this section. During the performance test, you must monitor and record the temperature just before the catalyst bed at least once every 15 minutes during each of the three test runs. Use the data collected during the performance test to calculate and record the average temperature just before the catalyst bed during the performance test. This is the minimum operating limit for your catalytic oxidizer.
- (D) You must develop and implement an inspection and maintenance plan for your catalytic oxidizer(s) for which you elect to monitor according to paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(C) of this section. The plan must address, at a minimum, the elements specified in paragraphs (e)(3)(ii)(D)(1) through (3) of this section.
- (1) Annual sampling and analysis of the catalyst activity (i.e., conversion efficiency) following the manufacturer's or catalyst supplier's recommended procedures,
- (2) Monthly inspection of the oxidizer system including the burner assembly and fuel supply lines for problems, and
- (3) Annual internal and monthly external visual inspection of the catalyst bed to check for channeling, abrasion, and settling. If problems are found, you must take corrective action consistent with the manufacturer's recommendations and conduct a new performance test to determine destruction efficiency in accordance with this section.
- (f) Capture efficiency. If you demonstrate compliance by meeting the requirements of §63.3370(e), (f), (g), (h), (i)(2), (k), (n)(2) or (3), or (p), you must determine capture efficiency using the procedures in paragraph (f)(1), (2), or (3) of this section, as applicable.
- (1) You may assume your capture efficiency equals 100 percent if your capture system is a permanent total enclosure (PTE). You must confirm that your capture system is a PTE by demonstrating that it meets the requirements of section 6 of EPA Method 204 of 40 CFR part 51, appendix M, and that all exhaust gases from the enclosure are delivered to a control device.
- (2) You may determine capture efficiency according to the protocols for testing with temporary total enclosures that are specified in Methods 204 and 204A through F of 40 CFR part 51, appendix M. You may exclude never-controlled work stations from such capture efficiency determinations.
- (3) You may use any capture efficiency protocol and test methods that satisfy the criteria of either the Data Quality Objective or the Lower Confidence Limit approach as described in appendix A of subpart KK of this part. You may exclude never-controlled work stations from such capture efficiency determinations.

(g) Volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere. You may choose to take into account the mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web after curing or drying or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere when determining compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320. If you choose this option, you must develop a testing protocol to determine the mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere and submit this protocol to the Administrator for approval. You must submit this protocol with your site-specific test plan under §63.7(f). If you intend to take into account the mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web after curing or drying or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere and demonstrate compliance according to §63.3370(c)(3), (c)(4), (c)(5), or (d), then the test protocol you submit must determine the mass of organic HAP retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere. Otherwise, compliance must be shown using the volatile organic matter content as a surrogate for the HAP content of the coatings.

(h) Control devices in series. If you use multiple control devices in series to comply with the emission standards in §63.3320, the performance test must include, at a minimum, the inlet to the first control device in the series, the outlet of the last control device in the series, and all intermediate streams (e.g., gaseous exhaust to the atmosphere or a liquid stream from a recovery device) that are not subsequently treated by any of the control devices in the series.

#### **Requirements for Showing Compliance**

#### § 63.3370 How do I demonstrate compliance with the emission standards?

(a) A summary of how you must demonstrate compliance follows:

If you choose to demonstrate compliance by:		To accomplish this:
purchased" compliant coating materials	(i) Each coating material used at an existing affected source does not exceed 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material, and each coating material used at a new affected source does not exceed 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material as-purchased; or	Follow the procedures set out in §63.3370(b).
	(ii) Each coating material used at an existing affected source does not exceed 0.2 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids, and each coating material used at a new affected source does not exceed 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids as-purchased	Follow the procedures set out in §63.3370(b).
applied" compliant coating materials	(i) Each coating material used at an existing affected source does not exceed 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material, and each coating material used at a new affected source does not exceed 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material	Follow the procedures set out in \$63.3370(c)(1). Use either Equation 1a or b of \$63.3370 to determine compliance with \$63.3320(b)(2) in accordance with \$63.3370(c)(5)(i).

	as-applied; or	
	(ii) Each coating material used at an existing affected source does not exceed 0.2 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids, and each coating material used at a new affected source does not exceed 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids as-applied; or	Follow the procedures set out in §63.3370(c)(2). Use Equations 2 and 3 of §63.3370 to determine compliance with §63.3320(b)(3) in accordance with §63.3370(c)(5)(i).
	materials used at an existing affected source does not exceed 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating	Follow the procedures set out in \$63.3370(c)(3). Use Equation 4 of \$63.3370 to determine compliance with \$63.3320(b)(2) in accordance with \$63.3370(c)(5)(ii).
	materials used at an existing affected source does not exceed 0.2 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids,	Follow the procedures set out in \$63.3370(c)(4). Use Equation 5 of \$63.3370 to determine compliance with \$63.3320(b)(3) in accordance with \$63.3370(c)(5)(ii).
(3) Tracking total monthly organic HAP applied	based on emission limitations	Follow the procedures set out in §63.3370(d). Show that total monthly HAP applied (Equation 6 of §63.3370) is less than the calculated equivalent allowable organic HAP (Equation 13a or b of §63.3370).
(4) Use of a capture system and control device	efficiency is equal to 95 percent at an existing affected source and 98 percent at a new affected source on a monthly basis; or oxidizer outlet organic HAP concentration is no	Follow the procedures set out in §63.3370(e) to determine compliance with §63.3320(b)(1) according to §63.3370(i) if using a solvent recovery device, or §63.3370(j) if using a control device and CPMS, or §63.3370(k) if using an oxidizer.

	percent; or operating parameters are continuously monitored; or	
	(ii) Overall organic HAP emission rate does not exceed 0.2 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids for an existing affected source or 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids for a new affected source on a monthly average as-applied basis;	Follow the procedures set out in \$63.3370(f) to determine compliance with \$63.3320(b)(3) according to \$63.3370(i) if using a solvent recovery device, or \$63.3370(k) if using an oxidizer.
	(iii) Overall organic HAP emission rate does not exceed 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material for an existing affected source or 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material for a new affected source on a monthly average as-applied basis; or	Follow the procedures set out in \$63.3370(g) to determine compliance with \$63.3320(b)(2) according to \$63.3370(i) if using a solvent recovery device, or \$63.3370(k) if using an oxidizer.
	(iv) Overall organic HAP emission rate does not exceed the calculated limit based on emission limitations	Follow the procedures set out in \$63.3370(h). Show that the monthly organic HAP emission rate is less than the calculated equivalent allowable organic HAP emission rate (Equation 13a or b of \$63.3370). Calculate the monthly organic HAP emission rate according to \$63.3370(i) if using a solvent recovery device, or \$63.3370(k) if using an oxidizer.
(5) Use of multiple capture and/or control devices	(i) Overall organic HAP control efficiency is equal to 95 percent at an existing affected source and 98 percent at a new affected source on a monthly basis; or	Follow the procedures set out in \$63.3370(e) to determine compliance with \$63.3320(b)(1) according to \$63.3370(e)(1) or (2).
	(ii) Average equivalent organic HAP emission rate does not exceed 0.2 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids for an existing affected source or 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids for a new affected source on a monthly average as-applied basis; or	Follow the procedures set out in \$63.3370(f) to determine compliance with \$63.3320(b)(3) according to \$63.3370(n).
	(iii) Average equivalent organic HAP emission rate does not exceed 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material for an existing affected	Follow the procedures set out in \$63.3370(g) to determine compliance with \$63.3320(b)(2) according to \$63.3370(n).

	source or 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material for a new affected source on a monthly average as-applied basis; or	
	(iv) Average equivalent organic HAP emission rate does not exceed the calculated limit based on emission limitations	Follow the procedures set out in §63.3370(h). Show that the monthly organic HAP emission rate is less than the calculated equivalent allowable organic HAP emission rate (Equation 13a or b of §63.3370) according to §63.3370(n).
(6) Use of a combination of compliant coatings and control devices		Follow the procedures set out in \$63.3370(f) to determine compliance with \$63.3320(b)(3) according to \$63.3370(n).
	(ii) Average equivalent organic HAP emission rate does not exceed 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material for an existing affected source or 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material for a new affected source on a monthly average as-applied basis; or	Follow the procedures set out in §63.3370(g) to determine compliance with §63.3320(b)(2) according to §63.3370(n).
	(iii) Average equivalent organic HAP emission rate does not exceed the calculated limit based on emission limitations	Follow the procedures set out in §63.3370(h). Show that the monthly organic HAP emission rate is less than the calculated equivalent allowable organic HAP emission rate (Equation 13a or b of §63.3370) according to §63.3370(n).

- (b) As-purchased "compliant" coating materials. (1) If you comply by using coating materials that individually meet the emission standards in §63.3320(b)(2) or (3), you must demonstrate that each coating material applied during the month at an existing affected source contains no more than 0.04 mass fraction organic HAP or 0.2 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids, and that each coating material applied during the month at a new affected source contains no more than 0.016 mass fraction organic HAP or 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids on an as-purchased basis as determined in accordance with §63.3360(c).
- (2) You are in compliance with emission standards in §63.3320(b)(2) and (3) if each coating material applied at an existing affected source is applied as-purchased and contains no more than 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material or 0.2 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids, and each coating material applied at a new affected source is applied as-purchased and contains no more than 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material or 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids.

- (c) As-applied "compliant" coating materials. If you comply by using coating materials that meet the emission standards in §63.3320(b)(2) or (3) as-applied, you must demonstrate compliance by following one of the procedures in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) of this section. Compliance is determined in accordance with paragraph (c)(5) of this section.
- (1) Each coating material as-applied meets the mass fraction of coating material standard (§63.3320(b)(2)). You must demonstrate that each coating material applied at an existing affected source during the month contains no more than 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material applied, and each coating material applied at a new affected source contains no more than 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material applied as determined in accordance with paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (ii) of this section. You must calculate the as-applied organic HAP content of as-purchased coating materials which are reduced, thinned, or diluted prior to application.
- (i) Determine the organic HAP content or volatile organic content of each coating material applied on an aspurchased basis in accordance with §63.3360(c).
- (ii) Calculate the as-applied organic HAP content of each coating material using Equation 1a of this section:

$$C_{ahi} = \frac{\left(C_{hi}M_i + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{q} C_{hij}M_{ij}\right)}{M_i + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{q} M_{ij}} \qquad \text{Eq. 1a}$$

Where:

C<sub>ahi</sub>= Monthly average, as-applied, organic HAP content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

C<sub>hi</sub>= Organic HAP content of coating material, i, as-purchased, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

q = number of different materials added to the coating material.

 $C_{hij}$ = Organic HAP content of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>ii</sub>= Mass of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, in a month, kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

or calculate the as-applied volatile organic content of each coating material using Equation 1b of this section:

$$C_{avi} = \frac{\left(C_{vi}M_i + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{q} C_{vij}M_{ij}\right)}{M_i + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{q} M_{ij}} \qquad \text{Eq. 1b}$$

Where:

C<sub>avi</sub>= Monthly average, as-applied, volatile organic content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

C<sub>vi</sub>= Volatile organic content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

q = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

 $C_{vij}$  = Volatile organic content of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>ii</sub>= Mass of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, in a month, kg.

- (2) Each coating material as-applied meets the mass fraction of coating solids standard (§63.3320(b)(3)). You must demonstrate that each coating material applied at an existing affected source contains no more than 0.20 kg of organic HAP per kg of coating solids applied and each coating material applied at a new affected source contains no more than 0.08 kg of organic HAP per kg of coating solids applied. You must demonstrate compliance in accordance with paragraphs (c)(2)(i) and (ii) of this section.
- (i) Determine the as-applied coating solids content of each coating material following the procedure in §63.3360(d). You must calculate the as-applied coating solids content of coating materials which are reduced, thinned, or diluted prior to application, using Equation 2 of this section:

$$C_{asi} = \frac{\left(C_{si}M_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} C_{sij}M_{ij}\right)}{M_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} M_{ij}} \qquad \text{Eq. 2}$$

Where:

C<sub>si</sub>= Coating solids content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

q = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

 $C_{sij}$ = Coating solids content of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, expressed as a mass-fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>ii</sub>= Mass of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, in a month, kg.

(ii) Calculate the as-applied organic HAP to coating solids ratio using Equation 3 of this section:

$$H_{si} = \frac{C_{ahi}}{C_{soi}}$$
 Eq. 3

Where:

Page 19 of 41

T059-29471-00018

H<sub>si</sub>= As-applied, organic HAP to coating solids ratio of coating material, i.

C<sub>ahi</sub>= Monthly average, as-applied, organic HAP content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

C<sub>asi</sub>= Monthly average, as-applied, coating solids content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

(3) Monthly average organic HAP content of all coating materials as-applied is less than the mass percent limit (§63.3320(b)(2)). Demonstrate that the monthly average as-applied organic HAP content of all coating materials applied at an existing affected source is less than 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg of coating material applied, and all coating materials applied at a new affected source are less than 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg of coating material applied, as determined by Equation 4 of this section:

$$H_{L} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{hi} M_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} C_{hij} M_{ij} - M_{vret}}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} M_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} M_{ij}}$$
 Eq. 4

Where:

H<sub>L</sub>= Monthly average, as-applied, organic HAP content of all coating materials applied, expressed as kg organic HAP per kg of coating material applied, kg/kg.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

C<sub>hi</sub>= Organic HAP content of coating material, i, as-purchased, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

q = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

 $C_{hij}$ = Organic HAP content of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>ii</sub>= Mass of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, in a month, kg.

M<sub>vret</sub>= Mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web after curing or drying, or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere, kg. The value of this term will be zero in all cases except where you choose to take into account the volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere for the compliance demonstration procedures in §63.3370.

(4) Monthly average organic HAP content of all coating materials as-applied is less than the mass fraction of coating solids limit (§63.3320(b)(3)). Demonstrate that the monthly average as-applied organic HAP content on the basis of coating solids applied of all coating materials applied at an existing affected source is less than 0.20 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied, and all coating materials applied at a new affected source are less than 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied, as determined by Equation 5 of this section:

Page 20 of 41

T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

 $H_{S} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{hi} M_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} C_{hij} M_{ij} - M_{wret}}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{Si} M_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} C_{Sij} M_{ij}}$  Eq. 5

Where:

H<sub>s</sub>= Monthly average, as-applied, organic HAP to coating solids ratio, kg organic HAP/kg coating solids applied.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

C<sub>hi</sub>= Organic HAP content of coating material, i, as-purchased, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

g = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

 $C_{hij}$ = Organic HAP content of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>ii</sub>= Mass of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, in a month, kg.

 $M_{vret}$ = Mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web after curing or drying, or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere, kg. The value of this term will be zero in all cases except where you choose to take into account the volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere for the compliance demonstration procedures in §63.3370.

C<sub>si</sub>= Coating solids content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

 $C_{sij}$  = Coating solids content of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, expressed as a mass-fraction, kg/kg.

- (5) The affected source is in compliance with emission standards in §63.3320(b)(2) or (3) if:
- (i) The organic HAP content of each coating material as-applied at an existing affected source is no more than 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material or 0.2 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids, and the organic HAP content of each coating material as-applied at a new affected source contains no more than 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material or 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids; or
- (ii) The monthly average organic HAP content of all as-applied coating materials at an existing affected source are no more than 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material or 0.2 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids, and the monthly average organic HAP content of all as-applied coating materials at a new affected source is no more than 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material or 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids.
- (d) *Monthly allowable organic HAP applied*. Demonstrate that the total monthly organic HAP applied as determined by Equation 6 of this section is less than the calculated equivalent allowable organic HAP as determined by Equation 13a or b in paragraph (I) of this section:

Page 21 of 41 T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

$$H_{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{hi} M_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} C_{hij} M_{ij} - M_{wet}$$
 Eq. 6

Where:

H<sub>m</sub>= Total monthly organic HAP applied, kg.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

C<sub>hi</sub>= Organic HAP content of coating material, i, as-purchased, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

q = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

 $C_{hij}$ = Organic HAP content of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>ii</sub>= Mass of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, in a month, kg.

M<sub>vret</sub>= Mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web after curing or drying, or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere, kg. The value of this term will be zero in all cases except where you choose to take into account the volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere for the compliance demonstration procedures in §63.3370.

- (e) Capture and control to reduce emissions to no more than allowable limit (§63.3320(b)(1)). Operate a capture system and control device and demonstrate an overall organic HAP control efficiency of at least 95 percent at an existing affected source and at least 98 percent at a new affected source for each month, or operate a capture system and oxidizer so that an outlet organic HAP concentration of no greater than 20 ppmv by compound on a dry basis is achieved as long as the capture efficiency is 100 percent as detailed in §63.3320(b)(4). Unless one of the cases described in paragraph (e)(1), (2), or (3) of this section applies to the affected source, you must either demonstrate compliance in accordance with the procedure in paragraph (i) of this section when emissions from the affected source are controlled by a solvent recovery device, or the procedure in paragraph (k) of this section when emissions are controlled by an oxidizer or demonstrate compliance for a web coating line by operating each capture system and each control device and continuous parameter monitoring according to the procedures in paragraph (j) of this section.
- (1) If the affected source has only always-controlled work stations and operates more than one capture system or more than one control device, you must demonstrate compliance in accordance with the provisions of either paragraph (n) or (p) of this section.
- (2) If the affected source operates one or more never-controlled work stations or one or more intermittently-controlled work stations, you must demonstrate compliance in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (n) of this section.
- (3) An alternative method of demonstrating compliance with §63.3320(b)(1) is the installation of a PTE around the web coating line that achieves 100 percent capture efficiency and ventilation of all organic HAP emissions from the total enclosure to an oxidizer with an outlet organic HAP concentration of no greater than 20 ppmv by compound on a dry basis. If this method is selected, you must demonstrate compliance by following the procedures in paragraphs (e)(3)(i) and (ii) of this section. Compliance is determined according to paragraph (e)(3)(iii) of this section.
- (i) Demonstrate that a total enclosure is installed. An enclosure that meets the requirements in §63.3360(f)(1) will be considered a total enclosure.

(ii) Determine the organic HAP concentration at the outlet of your total enclosure using the procedures in paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(A) or (B) of this section.

- (A) Determine the control device efficiency using Equation 2 of §63.3360 and the applicable test methods and procedures specified in §63.3360(e).
- (B) Use a CEMS to determine the organic HAP emission rate according to paragraphs (i)(2)(i) through (x) of this section.
- (iii) You are in compliance if the installation of a total enclosure is demonstrated and the organic HAP concentration at the outlet of the incinerator is demonstrated to be no greater than 20 ppmv by compound on a dry basis.
- (f) Capture and control to achieve mass fraction of coating solids applied limit (§63.3320(b)(3)). Operate a capture system and control device and limit the organic HAP emission rate from an existing affected source to no more than 0.20 kg organic HAP emitted per kg coating solids applied, and from a new affected source to no more than 0.08 kg organic HAP emitted per kg coating solids applied as determined on a monthly average as-applied basis. If the affected source operates more than one capture system, more than one control device, one or more never-controlled work stations, or one or more intermittently-controlled work stations, then you must demonstrate compliance in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (n) of this section. Otherwise, you must demonstrate compliance following the procedure in paragraph (i) of this section when emissions from the affected source are controlled by a solvent recovery device or the procedure in paragraph (k) of this section when emissions are controlled by an oxidizer.
- (g) Capture and control to achieve mass fraction limit (§63.3320(b)(2)). Operate a capture system and control device and limit the organic HAP emission rate to no more than 0.04 kg organic HAP emitted per kg coating material applied at an existing affected source, and no more than 0.016 kg organic HAP emitted per kg coating material applied at a new affected source as determined on a monthly average as-applied basis. If the affected source operates more than one capture system, more than one control device, one or more never-controlled work stations, or one or more intermittently-controlled work stations, then you must demonstrate compliance in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (n) of this section. Otherwise, you must demonstrate compliance following the procedure in paragraph (i) of this section when emissions from the affected source are controlled by a solvent recovery device or the procedure in paragraph (k) of this section when emissions are controlled by an oxidizer.
- (h) Capture and control to achieve allowable emission rate. Operate a capture system and control device and limit the monthly organic HAP emissions to less than the allowable emissions as calculated in accordance with paragraph (I) of this section. If the affected source operates more than one capture system, more than one control device, one or more never-controlled work stations, or one or more intermittently-controlled work stations, then you must demonstrate compliance in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (n) of this section. Otherwise, the owner or operator must demonstrate compliance following the procedure in paragraph (i) of this section when emissions from the affected source are controlled by a solvent recovery device or the procedure in paragraph (k) of this section when emissions are controlled by an oxidizer.
- (i) Solvent recovery device compliance demonstration. If you use a solvent recovery device to control emissions, you must show compliance by following the procedures in either paragraph (i)(1) or (2) of this section:
- (1) Liquid-liquid material balance. Perform a monthly liquid-liquid material balance as specified in paragraphs (i)(1)(i) through (v) of this section and use the applicable equations in paragraphs (i)(1)(vi) through (ix) of this section to convert the data to units of the selected compliance option in paragraphs (e) through (h) of this section. Compliance is determined in accordance with paragraph (i)(1)(x) of this section.
- (i) Determine the mass of each coating material applied on the web coating line or group of web coating lines controlled by a common solvent recovery device during the month.
- (ii) If demonstrating compliance on the basis of organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied, organic HAP emission rate based on coating material applied, or emission of less than the calculated allowable organic HAP, determine the organic HAP content of each coating material as-applied during the month following the procedure in §63.3360(c).

Page 23 of 41

T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

- (iii) Determine the volatile organic content of each coating material as-applied during the month following the procedure in §63.3360(d).
- (iv) If demonstrating compliance on the basis of organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied or emission of less than the calculated allowable organic HAP, determine the coating solids content of each coating material applied during the month following the procedure in §63.3360(d).
- (v) Determine and monitor the amount of volatile organic matter recovered for the month according to the procedures in §63.3350(d).
- (vi) Recovery efficiency. Calculate the volatile organic matter collection and recovery efficiency using Equation 7 of this section:

$$R_{v} = \frac{M_{w} + M_{wret}}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{wi} M_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} C_{wij} M_{ij}} \times 100 \quad Eq. 7$$

Where:

R<sub>v</sub>= Organic volatile matter collection and recovery efficiency, percent.

M<sub>vr</sub>= Mass of volatile matter recovered in a month, kg.

M<sub>vret</sub>= Mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web after curing or drying, or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere, kg. The value of this term will be zero in all cases except where you choose to take into account the volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere for the compliance demonstration procedures in §63.3370.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

C<sub>vi</sub>= Volatile organic content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

q = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

 $C_{vij}$ = Volatile organic content of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>ii</sub>= Mass of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, in a month, kg.

(vii) Organic HAP emitted. Calculate the organic HAP emitted during the month using Equation 8 of this section:

$$H_{e} = \left[1 - \frac{R_{v}}{100}\right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{hi} M_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} C_{hij} M_{ij} - M_{wet}\right] \qquad \text{Eq. 8}$$

Where:

H<sub>e</sub>= Total monthly organic HAP emitted, kg.

R<sub>v</sub>= Organic volatile matter collection and recovery efficiency, percent.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

C<sub>hi</sub>= Organic HAP content of coating material, i, as-purchased, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

q = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

C<sub>hij</sub>= Organic HAP content of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>ii</sub>= Mass of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, in a month, kg.

M<sub>vret</sub>= Mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web after curing or drying, or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere, kg. The value of this term will be zero in all cases except where you choose to take into account the volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere for the compliance demonstration procedures in §63.3370.

(viii) Organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied. Calculate the organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied using Equation 9 of this section:

$$L = \frac{H_e}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{si} M_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} C_{sij} M_{ij}}$$
 Eq. 9

Where:

L = Mass organic HAP emitted per mass of coating solids applied, kg/kg.

H<sub>e</sub>= Total monthly organic HAP emitted, kg.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

C<sub>si</sub>= Coating solids content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

q = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

C<sub>sij</sub>= Coating solids content of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, expressed as a mass-fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>ii</sub>= Mass of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, in a month, kg.

(ix) Organic HAP emission rate based on coating materials applied. Calculate the organic HAP emission rate based on coating material applied using Equation 10 of this section:

$$S = \frac{H_e}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} M_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} M_{ij}}$$
 Eq. 10

Where:

S = Mass organic HAP emitted per mass of material applied, kg/kg.

H<sub>e</sub>= Total monthly organic HAP emitted, kg.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

q = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

M<sub>ii</sub>= Mass of material, j, added to as-purchased coating material, i, in a month, kg.

- (x) You are in compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320(b) if:
- (A) The volatile organic matter collection and recovery efficiency is 95 percent or greater at an existing affected source and 98 percent or greater at a new affected source; or
- (B) The organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied is no more than 0.20 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied at an existing affected source and no more than 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied at a new affected source; or
- (C) The organic HAP emission rate based on coating material applied is no more than 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material applied at an existing affected source and no more than 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material applied at a new affected source; or
- (D) The organic HAP emitted during the month is less than the calculated allowable organic HAP as determined using paragraph (I) of this section.
- (2) Continuous emission monitoring of capture system and control device performance. Demonstrate initial compliance through a performance test on capture efficiency and continuing compliance through continuous emission monitors and continuous monitoring of capture system operating parameters following the procedures in paragraphs (i)(2)(i) through (vii) of this section. Use the applicable equations specified in paragraphs (i)(2)(viii) through (x) of this section to convert the monitoring and other data into units of the selected compliance option in paragraphs (e) through (h) of this section. Compliance is determined in accordance with paragraph (i)(2)(xi) of this section.
- (i) Control device efficiency. Continuously monitor the gas stream entering and exiting the control device to determine the total organic volatile matter mass flow rate (e.g., by determining the concentration of the vent gas in grams per cubic meter and the volumetric flow rate in cubic meters per second such that the total organic volatile matter mass flow rate in grams per second can be calculated) such that the control device efficiency of the control device can be calculated for each month using Equation 2 of §63.3360.
- (ii) Capture efficiency monitoring. Whenever a web coating line is operated, continuously monitor the operating parameters established in accordance with §63.3350(f) to ensure capture efficiency.
- (iii) Determine the percent capture efficiency in accordance with §63.3360(f).

(iv) Control efficiency. Calculate the overall organic HAP control efficiency achieved for each month using Equation 11 of this section:

$$R = \frac{(E)(CE)}{100}$$
 Eq. 11

Where:

R = Overall organic HAP control efficiency, percent.

E = Organic volatile matter control efficiency of the control device, percent.

CE = Organic volatile matter capture efficiency of the capture system, percent.

(v) If demonstrating compliance on the basis of organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied, organic HAP emission rate based on coating materials applied, or emission of less than the calculated allowable organic HAP, determine the mass of each coating material applied on the web coating line or group of web coating lines controlled by a common control device during the month.

(vi) If demonstrating compliance on the basis of organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied, organic HAP emission rate based on coating material applied, or emission of less than the calculated allowable organic HAP, determine the organic HAP content of each coating material as-applied during the month following the procedure in §63.3360(c).

(vii) If demonstrating compliance on the basis of organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied or emission of less than the calculated allowable organic HAP, determine the coating solids content of each coating material as-applied during the month following the procedure in §63.3360(d).

(viii) Organic HAP emitted. Calculate the organic HAP emitted during the month for each month using Equation 12 of this section:

$$H_e = (1-R) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{P} C_{aki} M_i \right) - M_{wet}$$
 Eq. 12

Where:

H<sub>e</sub>= Total monthly organic HAP emitted, kg.

R = Overall organic HAP control efficiency, percent.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

C<sub>ahi</sub>= Monthly average, as-applied, organic HAP content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

M<sub>vret</sub>= Mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web after curing or drying, or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere, kg. The value of this term will be zero in all cases except where you choose to take into account the volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere for the compliance demonstration procedures in this section.

(ix) Organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied. Calculate the organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied using Equation 9 of this section.

- (x) Organic HAP emission rate based on coating materials applied. Calculate the organic HAP emission rate based on coating material applied using Equation 10 of this section.
- (xi) Compare actual performance to the performance required by compliance option. The affected source is in compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320(b) for each month if the capture system is operated such that the average capture system operating parameter is greater than or less than (as appropriate) the operating parameter value established in accordance with §63.3350(f); and
- (A) The organic volatile matter collection and recovery efficiency is 95 percent or greater at an existing affected source and 98 percent or greater at a new affected source; or
- (B) The organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied is no more than 0.20 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied at an existing affected source and no more than 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied at a new affected source; or
- (C) The organic HAP emission rate based on coating material applied is no more than 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material applied at an existing affected source and no more than 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material applied at a new affected source; or
- (D) The organic HAP emitted during the month is less than the calculated allowable organic HAP as determined using paragraph (I) of this section.
- (j) Capture and control system compliance demonstration procedures using a CPMS. If you use an add-on control device, you must demonstrate initial compliance for each capture system and each control device through performance tests and demonstrate continuing compliance through continuous monitoring of capture system and control device operating parameters as specified in paragraphs (j)(1) through (3) of this section. Compliance is determined in accordance with paragraph (j)(4) of this section.
- (1) Determine the control device destruction or removal efficiency using the applicable test methods and procedures in §63.3360(e).
- (2) Determine the emission capture efficiency in accordance with §63.3360(f).
- (3) Whenever a web coating line is operated, continuously monitor the operating parameters established according to §63.3350(e) and (f).
- (4) You are in compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320(b) if the control device is operated such that the average operating parameter value is greater than or less than (as appropriate) the operating parameter value established in accordance with §63.3360(e) for each 3-hour period, and the capture system operating parameter is operated at an average value greater than or less than (as appropriate) the operating parameter value established in accordance with §63.3350(f); and
- (i) The overall organic HAP control efficiency is 95 percent or greater at an existing affected source and 98 percent or greater at a new affected source; or
- (ii) The organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied is no more than 0.20 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied at an existing affected source and no more than 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied at a new affected source; or
- (iii) The organic HAP emission rate based on coating material applied is no more than 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material applied at an existing affected source and no more than 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material applied at a new affected source; or

(iv) The organic HAP emitted during the month is less than the calculated allowable organic HAP as determined using paragraph (I) of this section.

- (k) Oxidizer compliance demonstration procedures. If you use an oxidizer to control emissions, you must show compliance by following the procedures in paragraph (k)(1) of this section. Use the applicable equations specified in paragraph (k)(2) of this section to convert the monitoring and other data into units of the selected compliance option in paragraph (k) (e) through (k) of this section. Compliance is determined in accordance with paragraph (k)(3) of this section.
- (1) Demonstrate initial compliance through performance tests of capture efficiency and control device efficiency and continuing compliance through continuous monitoring of capture system and control device operating parameters as specified in paragraphs (k)(1)(i) through (vi) of this section:
- (i) Determine the oxidizer destruction efficiency using the procedure in §63.3360(e).
- (ii) Determine the capture system capture efficiency in accordance with §63.3360(f).
- (iii) Capture and control efficiency monitoring. Whenever a web coating line is operated, continuously monitor the operating parameters established in accordance with §63.3350(e) and (f) to ensure capture and control efficiency.
- (iv) If demonstrating compliance on the basis of organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied, organic HAP emission rate based on coating materials applied, or emission of less than the calculated allowable organic HAP, determine the mass of each coating material applied on the web coating line or group of web coating lines controlled by a common oxidizer during the month.
- (v) If demonstrating compliance on the basis of organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied, organic HAP emission rate based on coating material applied, or emission of less than the calculated allowable organic HAP, determine the organic HAP content of each coating material as-applied during the month following the procedure in §63.3360(c).
- (vi) If demonstrating compliance on the basis of organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied or emission of less than the calculated allowable organic HAP, determine the coating solids content of each coating material applied during the month following the procedure in §63.3360(d).
- (2) Convert the information obtained under paragraph (p)(1) of this section into the units of the selected compliance option using the calculation procedures specified in paragraphs (k)(2)(i) through (iv) of this section.
- (i) Control efficiency. Calculate the overall organic HAP control efficiency achieved using Equation 11 of this section.
- (ii) Organic HAP emitted. Calculate the organic HAP emitted during the month using Equation 12 of this section.
- (iii) Organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied. Calculate the organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied for each month using Equation 9 of this section.
- (iv) Organic HAP based on coating materials applied. Calculate the organic HAP emission rate based on coating material applied using Equation 10 of this section.
- (3) You are in compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320(b) if the oxidizer is operated such that the average operating parameter value is greater than the operating parameter value established in accordance with §63.3360(e) for each 3-hour period, and the capture system operating parameter is operated at an average value greater than or less than (as appropriate) the operating parameter value established in accordance with §63.3350(f); and
- (i) The overall organic HAP control efficiency is 95 percent or greater at an existing affected source and 98 percent or greater at a new affected source; or

Greenfield, Indiana
Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

Avery Dennison

- (ii) The organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied is no more than 0.20 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied at an existing affected source and no more than 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied at a new affected source; or
- (iii) The organic HAP emission rate based on coating material applied is no more than 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg coating material applied at an existing affected source and no more than 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg coating material applied at a new affected source; or
- (iv) The organic HAP emitted during the month is less than the calculated allowable organic HAP as determined using paragraph (I) of this section.
- (I) Monthly allowable organic HAP emissions. This paragraph provides the procedures and calculations for determining monthly allowable organic HAP emissions for use in demonstrating compliance in accordance with paragraph (d), (h), (i)(1)(x)(D), (i)(2)(xi)(D), or (k)(3)(iv) of this section. You will need to determine the amount of coating material applied at greater than or equal to 20 mass percent coating solids and the amount of coating material applied at less than 20 mass percent coating solids. The allowable organic HAP limit is then calculated based on coating material applied at greater than or equal to 20 mass percent coating solids complying with 0.2 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids at an existing affected source or 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids at a new affected source, and coating material applied at less than 20 mass percent coating solids complying with 4 mass percent organic HAP at an existing affected source and 1.6 mass-percent organic HAP at a new affected source as follows:
- (1) Determine the as-purchased mass of each coating material applied each month.
- (2) Determine the as-purchased coating solids content of each coating material applied each month in accordance with §63.3360(d)(1).
- (3) Determine the as-purchased mass fraction of each coating material which was applied at 20 mass percent or greater coating solids content on an as-applied basis.
- (4) Determine the total mass of each solvent, diluent, thinner, or reducer added to coating materials which were applied at less than 20 mass percent coating solids content on an as-applied basis each month.
- (5) Calculate the monthly allowable organic HAP emissions using Equation 13a of this section for an existing affected source:

$$H_a = 0.20 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} M_i G_i C_{si} \right] + 0.04 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} M_i (1 - G_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} M_{L_j} \right]$$
 Eq. 13a

Where:

H<sub>a</sub>= Monthly allowable organic HAP emissions, kg.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

M<sub>i</sub>= mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

 $G_i$ = Mass fraction of each coating material, i, which was applied at 20 mass percent or greater coating solids content, on an as-applied basis, kg/kg.

C<sub>si</sub>= Coating solids content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

q = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

ng-solids-containing coating

Page 30 of 41

T059-29471-00018

 $M_{Lj}$ = Mass of non-coating-solids-containing coating material, j, added to coating-solids-containing coating materials which were applied at less than 20 mass percent coating solids content, on an as-applied basis, in a month, kg.

or Equation 13b of this section for a new affected source:

$$H_a = 0.08 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} M_i G_i C_{si} \right] + 0.016 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} M_i (1 - G_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} M_{L_j} \right]$$
 Eq. 13b

Where:

H<sub>a</sub>= Monthly allowable organic HAP emissions, kg.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

M<sub>i</sub>= Mass of as-purchased coating material, i, applied in a month, kg.

G<sub>i</sub>= Mass fraction of each coating material, i, which was applied at 20 mass percent or greater coating solids content, on an as-applied basis, kg/kg.

C<sub>si</sub>= Coating solids content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

q = Number of different materials added to the coating material.

 $M_{Lj}$ = Mass of non-coating-solids-containing coating material, j, added to coating-solids-containing coating materials which were applied at less than 20 mass percent coating solids content, on an as-applied basis, in a month, kg.

#### (m) [Reserved]

- (n) Combinations of capture and control. If you operate more than one capture system, more than one control device, one or more never-controlled work stations, or one or more intermittently-controlled work stations, you must calculate organic HAP emissions according to the procedures in paragraphs (n)(1) through (4) of this section, and use the calculation procedures specified in paragraph (n)(5) of this section to convert the monitoring and other data into units of the selected control option in paragraphs (e) through (h) of this section. Use the procedures specified in paragraph (n)(6) of this section to demonstrate compliance.
- (1) Solvent recovery system using liquid-liquid material balance compliance demonstration. If you choose to comply by means of a liquid-liquid material balance for each solvent recovery system used to control one or more web coating lines, you must determine the organic HAP emissions for those web coating lines controlled by that solvent recovery system either:
- (i) In accordance with paragraphs (i)(1)(i) through (iii) and (v) through (vii) of this section, if the web coating lines controlled by that solvent recovery system have only always-controlled work stations; or
- (ii) In accordance with paragraphs (i)(1)(ii), (iii), (v), and (vi) and (o) of this section, if the web coating lines controlled by that solvent recovery system have one or more never-controlled or intermittently-controlled work stations.
- (2) Solvent recovery system using performance test compliance demonstration and CEMS. To demonstrate compliance through an initial test of capture efficiency, continuous monitoring of a capture system operating parameter, and a CEMS on each solvent recovery system used to control one or more web coating lines, you must:

(i) For each capture system delivering emissions to that solvent recovery system, monitor the operating parameter established in accordance with §63.3350(f) to ensure capture system efficiency; and

- (ii) Determine the organic HAP emissions for those web coating lines served by each capture system delivering emissions to that solvent recovery system either:
- (A) In accordance with paragraphs (i)(2)(i) through (iii), (v), (vi), and (viii) of this section, if the web coating lines served by that capture and control system have only always-controlled work stations; or
- (B) In accordance with paragraphs (i)(2)(i) through (iii), (vi), and (o) of this section, if the web coating lines served by that capture and control system have one or more never-controlled or intermittently-controlled work stations.
- (3) Oxidizer. To demonstrate compliance through performance tests of capture efficiency and control device efficiency, continuous monitoring of capture system, and CPMS for control device operating parameters for each oxidizer used to control emissions from one or more web coating lines, you must:
- (i) Monitor the operating parameter in accordance with §63.3350(e) to ensure control device efficiency; and
- (ii) For each capture system delivering emissions to that oxidizer, monitor the operating parameter established in accordance with §63.3350(f) to ensure capture efficiency; and
- (iii) Determine the organic HAP emissions for those web coating lines served by each capture system delivering emissions to that oxidizer either:
- (A) In accordance with paragraphs (k)(1)(i) through (vi) of this section, if the web coating lines served by that capture and control system have only always-controlled work stations; or
- (B) In accordance with paragraphs (k)(1)(i) through (iii), (v), and (o) of this section, if the web coating lines served by that capture and control system have one or more never-controlled or intermittently-controlled work stations.
- (4) Uncontrolled coating lines. If you own or operate one or more uncontrolled web coating lines, you must determine the organic HAP applied on those web coating lines using Equation 6 of this section. The organic HAP emitted from an uncontrolled web coating line is equal to the organic HAP applied on that web coating line.
- (5) Convert the information obtained under paragraphs (n)(1) through (4) of this section into the units of the selected compliance option using the calculation procedures specified in paragraphs (n)(5)(i) through (iv) of this section.
- (i) Organic HAP emitted. Calculate the organic HAP emissions for the affected source for the month by summing all organic HAP emissions calculated according to paragraphs (n)(1), (2)(ii), (3)(iii), and (4) of this section.
- (ii) Coating solids applied. If demonstrating compliance on the basis of organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied or emission of less than the calculated allowable organic HAP, the owner or operator must determine the coating solids content of each coating material applied during the month following the procedure in §63.3360(d).
- (iii) Organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied. Calculate the organic HAP emission rate based on coating solids applied for each month using Equation 9 of this section.
- (iv) Organic HAP based on materials applied. Calculate the organic HAP emission rate based on material applied using Equation 10 of this section.
- (6) Compliance. The affected source is in compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320(b) for the month if all operating parameters required to be monitored under paragraphs (n)(1) through (3) of this section were maintained at the values established under §63.3350 and 63.3360; and

Avery Dennison

- (i) The total mass of organic HAP emitted by the affected source based on coating solids applied is no more than 0.20 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied at an existing affected source and no more than 0.08 kg organic HAP per kg coating solids applied at a new affected source; or
- (ii) The total mass of organic HAP emitted by the affected source based on material applied is no more than 0.04 kg organic HAP per kg material applied at an existing affected source and no more than 0.016 kg organic HAP per kg material applied at a new affected source; or
- (iii) The total mass of organic HAP emitted by the affected source during the month is less than the calculated allowable organic HAP as determined using paragraph (I) of this section; or
- (iv) The total mass of organic HAP emitted by the affected source was not more than 5 percent of the total mass of organic HAP applied for the month at an existing affected source and no more than 2 percent of the total mass of organic HAP applied for the month at a new affected source. The total mass of organic HAP applied by the affected source in the month must be determined using Equation 6 of this section.
- (o) Intermittently-controlled and never-controlled work stations. If you have been expressly referenced to this paragraph by paragraphs (n)(1)(ii), (n)(2)(ii)(B), or (n)(3)(iii)(B) of this section for calculation procedures to determine organic HAP emissions for your intermittently-controlled and never-controlled work stations, you must:
- (1) Determine the sum of the mass of all coating materials as-applied on intermittently-controlled work stations operating in bypass mode and the mass of all coating materials as-applied on never-controlled work stations during the month.
- (2) Determine the sum of the mass of all coating materials as-applied on intermittently-controlled work stations operating in a controlled mode and the mass of all coating materials applied on always-controlled work stations during the month.
- (3) Liquid-liquid material balance compliance demonstration. For each web coating line or group of web coating lines for which you use the provisions of paragraph (n)(1)(ii) of this section, you must calculate the organic HAP emitted during the month using Equation 14 of this section:

$$H_{e} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} M_{ci} C_{ahi} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{R_{v}}{100} \right] + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} M_{Bi} C_{ahi} \right] - M_{vret}$$
 Eq. 14

Where:

H<sub>e</sub>= Total monthly organic HAP emitted, kg.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

M<sub>ci</sub>= Sum of the mass of coating material, i, as-applied on intermittently-controlled work stations operating in controlled mode and the mass of coating material, i, as-applied on always-controlled work stations, in a month, kg.

C<sub>ahi</sub>= Monthly average, as-applied, organic HAP content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

R<sub>v</sub>= Organic volatile matter collection and recovery efficiency, percent.

M<sub>Bi</sub>= Sum of the mass of coating material, i, as-applied on intermittently-controlled work stations operating in bypass mode and the mass of coating material, i, as-applied on never-controlled work stations, in a month, kg.

C<sub>ahi</sub>= Monthly average, as-applied, organic HAP content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>vret</sub>= Mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web after curing or drying, or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere, kg. The value of this term will be zero in all cases except where you choose to take into account the volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere for the compliance demonstration procedures in this section.

(4) Performance test to determine capture efficiency and control device efficiency. For each web coating line or group of web coating lines for which you use the provisions of paragraph (n)(2)(ii)(B) or (n)(3)(iii)(B) of this section, you must calculate the organic HAP emitted during the month using Equation 15 of this section:

$$H_{e} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{P} M_{ci} C_{abi}\right] \left[1 - \frac{R}{100}\right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{P} M_{Bi} C_{abi}\right] - M_{wret} \qquad Eq. 15$$

Where:

H<sub>e</sub>= Total monthly organic HAP emitted, kg.

p = Number of different coating materials applied in a month.

 $M_{ci}$ = Sum of the mass of coating material, i, as-applied on intermittently-controlled work stations operating in controlled mode and the mass of coating material, i, as-applied on always-controlled work stations, in a month, kg.

C<sub>ahi</sub>= Monthly average, as-applied, organic HAP content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

R = Overall organic HAP control efficiency, percent.

 $M_{\text{Bi}}$ = Sum of the mass of coating material, i, as-applied on intermittently-controlled work stations operating in bypass mode and the mass of coating material, i, as-applied on never-controlled work stations, in a month, kg.

 $C_{ahi}$ = Monthly average, as-applied, organic HAP content of coating material, i, expressed as a mass fraction, kg/kg.

M<sub>vret</sub>= Mass of volatile matter retained in the coated web after curing or drying, or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere, kg. The value of this term will be zero in all cases except where you choose to take into account the volatile matter retained in the coated web or otherwise not emitted to the atmosphere for the compliance demonstration procedures in this section.

- (p) Always-controlled work stations with more than one capture and control system. If you operate more than one capture system or more than one control device and only have always-controlled work stations, then you are in compliance with the emission standards in §63.3320(b)(1) for the month if for each web coating line or group of web coating lines controlled by a common control device:
- (1) The volatile matter collection and recovery efficiency as determined by paragraphs (i)(1)(i), (iii), (v), and (vi) of this section is at least 95 percent at an existing affected source and at least 98 percent at a new affected source; or

(2) The overall organic HAP control efficiency as determined by paragraphs (i)(2)(i) through (iv) of this section for each web coating line or group of web coating lines served by that control device and a common capture system is at least 95 percent at an existing affected source and at least 98 percent at a new affected source; or

(3) The overall organic HAP control efficiency as determined by paragraphs (k)(1)(i) through (iii) and (k)(2)(i) of this section for each web coating line or group of web coating lines served by that control device and a common capture system is at least 95 percent at an existing affected source and at least 98 percent at a new affected source.

### Notifications, Reports, and Records

### § 63.3400 What notifications and reports must I submit?

- (a) Each owner or operator of an affected source subject to this subpart must submit the reports specified in paragraphs (b) through (g) of this section to the Administrator:
- (b) You must submit an initial notification as required by §63.9(b).
- (1) Initial notification for existing affected sources must be submitted no later than 1 year before the compliance date specified in §63.3330(a).
- (2) Initial notification for new and reconstructed affected sources must be submitted as required by §63.9(b).
- (3) For the purpose of this subpart, a title V or part 70 permit application may be used in lieu of the initial notification required under §63.9(b), provided the same information is contained in the permit application as required by §63.9(b) and the State to which the permit application has been submitted has an approved operating permit program under part 70 of this chapter and has received delegation of authority from the EPA to implement and enforce this subpart.
- (4) If you are using a permit application in lieu of an initial notification in accordance with paragraph (b)(3) of this section, the permit application must be submitted by the same due date specified for the initial notification.
- (c) You must submit a semiannual compliance report according to paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) of this section.
- (1) Compliance report dates.
- (i) The first compliance report must cover the period beginning on the compliance date that is specified for your affected source in §63.3330 and ending on June 30 or December 31, whichever date is the first date following the end of the calendar half immediately following the compliance date that is specified for your affected source in §63.3330.
- (ii) The first compliance report must be postmarked or delivered no later than July 31 or January 31, whichever date follows the end of the calendar half immediately following the compliance date that is specified for your affected source in §63.3330.
- (iii) Each subsequent compliance report must cover the semiannual reporting period from January 1 through June 30 or the semiannual reporting period from July 1 through December 31.
- (iv) Each subsequent compliance report must be postmarked or delivered no later than July 31 or January 31, whichever date is the first date following the end of the semiannual reporting period.
- (v) For each affected source that is subject to permitting regulations pursuant to 40 CFR part 70 or 40 CFR part 71, and the permitting authority has established dates for submitting semiannual reports pursuant to §70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) or §71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A), you may submit the first and subsequent compliance reports according to the dates the permitting authority has established instead of according to the dates in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (iv) of this section.
- (2) The compliance report must contain the information in paragraphs (c)(2)(i) through (vi) of this section:

- (i) Company name and address.
- (ii) Statement by a responsible official with that official's name, title, and signature certifying the accuracy of the content of the report.
- (iii) Date of report and beginning and ending dates of the reporting period.
- (iv) If there are no deviations from any emission limitations (emission limit or operating limit) that apply to you, a statement that there were no deviations from the emission limitations during the reporting period, and that no CMS was inoperative, inactive, malfunctioning, out-of-control, repaired, or adjusted.
- (v) For each deviation from an emission limitation (emission limit or operating limit) that applies to you and that occurs at an affected source where you are not using a CEMS to comply with the emission limitations in this subpart, the compliance report must contain the information in paragraphs (c)(2)(i) through (iii) of this section, and:
- (A) The total operating time of each affected source during the reporting period.
- (B) Information on the number, duration, and cause of deviations (including unknown cause), if applicable, and the corrective action taken.
- (C) Information on the number, duration, and cause for CPMS downtime incidents, if applicable, other than downtime associated with zero and span and other calibration checks.
- (vi) For each deviation from an emission limit occurring at an affected source where you are using a CEMS to comply with the emission limit in this subpart, you must include the information in paragraphs (c)(2)(i) through (iii) and (vi)(A) through (J) of this section.
- (A) The date and time that each malfunction started and stopped.
- (B) The date and time that each CEMS and CPMS, if applicable, was inoperative except for zero (low-level) and high-level checks.
- (C) The date and time that each CEMS and CPMS, if applicable, was out-of-control, including the information in §63.8(c)(8).
- (D) The date and time that each deviation started and stopped, and whether each deviation occurred during a period of startup, shutdown, or malfunction or during another period.
- (E) A summary of the total duration (in hours) of each deviation during the reporting period and the total duration of each deviation as a percent of the total source operating time during that reporting period.
- (F) A breakdown of the total duration of the deviations during the reporting period into those that are due to startup, shutdown, control equipment problems, process problems, other known causes, and other unknown causes.
- (G) A summary of the total duration (in hours) of CEMS and CPMS downtime during the reporting period and the total duration of CEMS and CPMS downtime as a percent of the total source operating time during that reporting period.
- (H) A breakdown of the total duration of CEMS and CPMS downtime during the reporting period into periods that are due to monitoring equipment malfunctions, nonmonitoring equipment malfunctions, quality assurance/quality control calibrations, other known causes, and other unknown causes.
- (I) The date of the latest CEMS and CPMS certification or audit.
- (J) A description of any changes in CEMS, CPMS, or controls since the last reporting period.

- (d) You must submit a Notification of Performance Tests as specified in §§63.7 and 63.9(e) if you are complying with the emission standard using a control device and you are required to conduct a performance test of the control device. This notification and the site-specific test plan required under §63.7(c)(2) must identify the operating parameters to be monitored to ensure that the capture efficiency of the capture system and the control efficiency of the control device determined during the performance test are maintained. Unless EPA objects to the parameter or requests changes, you may consider the parameter approved.
- (e) You must submit a Notification of Compliance Status as specified in §63.9(h).
- (f) You must submit performance test reports as specified in §63.10(d)(2) if you are using a control device to comply with the emission standard and you have not obtained a waiver from the performance test requirement or you are not exempted from this requirement by §63.3360(b). The performance test reports must be submitted as part of the notification of compliance status required in §63.3400(e).
- (g) You must submit startup, shutdown, and malfunction reports as specified in §63.10(d)(5), except that the provisions in subpart A of this part pertaining to startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions do not apply unless a control device is used to comply with this subpart.
- (1) If actions taken by an owner or operator during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction of an affected source (including actions taken to correct a malfunction) are not consistent with the procedures specified in the affected source's SSMP required by §63.6(e)(3), the owner or operator must state such information in the report. The startup, shutdown, or malfunction report must consist of a letter containing the name, title, and signature of the responsible official who is certifying its accuracy and must be submitted to the Administrator.
- (2) Separate startup, shutdown, and malfunction reports are not required if the information is included in the report specified in paragraph (c)(2)(vi) of this section.

### § 63.3410 What records must I keep?

- (a) Each owner or operator of an affected source subject to this subpart must maintain the records specified in paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section on a monthly basis in accordance with the requirements of §63.10(b)(1):
- (1) Records specified in  $\S63.10(b)(2)$  of all measurements needed to demonstrate compliance with this standard, including:
- (i) Continuous emission monitor data in accordance with the requirements of §63.3350(d);
- (ii) Control device and capture system operating parameter data in accordance with the requirements of §63.3350(c), (e), and (f);
- (iii) Organic HAP content data for the purpose of demonstrating compliance in accordance with the requirements of §63.3360(c);
- (iv) Volatile matter and coating solids content data for the purpose of demonstrating compliance in accordance with the requirements of §63.3360(d);
- (v) Overall control efficiency determination using capture efficiency and control device destruction or removal efficiency test results in accordance with the requirements of §63.3360(e) and (f); and
- (vi) Material usage, organic HAP usage, volatile matter usage, and coating solids usage and compliance demonstrations using these data in accordance with the requirements of §63.3370(b), (c), and (d).
- (2) Records specified in §63.10(c) for each CMS operated by the owner or operator in accordance with the requirements of §63.3350(b).

(b) Each owner or operator of an affected source subject to this subpart must maintain records of all liquid-liquid material balances performed in accordance with the requirements of §63.3370. The records must be maintained in accordance with the requirements of §63.10(b).

Page 37 of 41

T059-29471-00018

### **Delegation of Authority**

### § 63.3420 What authorities may be delegated to the States?

- (a) In delegating implementation and enforcement authority to a State under 40 CFR part 63, subpart E, the authorities contained in paragraph (b) of this section must be retained by the Administrator and not transferred to a State.
- (b) Authority which will not be delegated to States: §63.3360(c), approval of alternate test method for organic HAP content determination; §63.3360(d), approval of alternate test method for volatile matter determination.

# Table 1 to Subpart JJJJ of Part 63—Operating Limits if Using Add-On Control Devices and Capture System

If you are required to comply with operating limits by §63.3321, you must comply with the applicable operating limits in the following table:

For the following device:	You must meet the following operating limit:	And you must demonstrate continuous compliance with operating limits by:
1. Thermal oxidizer	a. The average combustion temperature in any 3-hour period must not fall below the combustion temperature limit established according to §63.3360(e)(3)(i)	i. Collecting the combustion temperature data according to §63.3350(e)(9); ii. Reducing the data to 3-hour block averages; and iii. Maintain the 3-hour average combustion temperature at or above the temperature limit.
2. Catalytic oxidizer	a. The average temperature at the inlet to the catalyst bed in any 3-hour period must not fall below the combustion temperature limit established according to §63.3360(e)(3)(ii)	i. Collecting the catalyst bed inlet temperature data according to \$63.3350(e)(9); ii. Reducing the data to 3-hour block averages; and iii. Maintain the 3-hour average catalyst bed inlet temperature at or above the temperature limit.
	b. The temperature rise across the catalyst bed must not fall below the limit established according to \$63.3360(e)(3)(ii)	i. Collecting the catalyst bed inlet and outlet temperature data according to §63.3350(e)(9); ii. Reducing the data to 3-hour block averages; and iii. Maintain the 3-hour average temperature rise across the

		catalyst bed at or above the limit.
capture	Submit monitoring plan to the Administrator that identifies operating parameters to be monitored according to §63.3350(f)	

# Table 2 to Subpart JJJJ of Part 63—Applicability of 40 CFR Part 63 General Provisions to Subpart JJJJ

You must comply with the applicable General Provisions requirements according to the following table:

General provisions reference	Applicable to subpart JJJJ	Explanation
§63.1(a)(1)–(4)	Yes.	
§63.1(a)(5)	No	Reserved.
§63.1(a)(6)–(8)	Yes.	
§63.1(a)(9)	No	Reserved.
§63.1(a)(10)–(14)	Yes.	
§63.1(b)(1)	No	Subpart JJJJ specifies applicability.
§63.1(b)(2)–(3)	Yes.	
§63.1(c)(1)	Yes.	
§63.1(c)(2)	No	Area sources are not subject to emission standards of subpart JJJJ.
§63.1(c)(3)	No	Reserved.
§63.1(c)(4)	Yes.	
§63.1(c)(5)	Yes.	
§63.1(d)	No	Reserved.
§63.1(e)	Yes.	
§63.1(e)(4)	No.	
§63.2	Yes	Additional definitions in subpart JJJJ.
§63.3(a)–(c)	Yes.	
§63.4(a)(1)–(3)	Yes.	
§63.4(a)(4)	No	Reserved.
§63.4(a)(5)	Yes.	

§63.4(b)–(c)	Yes.	
§63.5(a)(1)–(2)	Yes.	
§63.5(b)(1)	Yes.	
§63.5(b)(2)	No	Reserved.
§63.5(b)(3)–(6)	Yes.	
§63.5(c)	No	Reserved.
§63.5(d)	Yes.	
§63.5(e)	Yes.	
§63.5(f)	Yes.	
§63.6(a)	Yes	Applies only when capture and control system is used to comply with the standard.
§63.6(b)(1)–(5)	No	
§63.6(b)(6)	No	Reserved.
§63.6(b)(7)	Yes.	
§63.6(c)(1)–(2)	Yes.	
§63.6(c)(3)–(4)	No	Reserved.
§63.6(c)(5)	Yes.	
§63.6(d)	No	Reserved.
§63.6(e)	Yes	Provisions pertaining to SSMP, and CMS do not apply unless an add-on control system is used to comply with the emission limitations.
§63.6(f)	Yes.	
§63.6(g)	Yes.	
§63.6(h)	No	Subpart JJJJ does not require continuous opacity monitoring systems (COMS).
§63.6(i)(1)–(14)	Yes.	
§63.6(i)(15)	No	Reserved.
§63.6(i)(16)	Yes.	
§63.6(j)	Yes.	
§63.7	Yes.	
§63.8(a)(1)–(2)	Yes.	

Greenfield, Indiana Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

§63.8(a)(3)	No	Reserved.
§63.8(a)(4)	No.	
§63.8(b)	Yes.	
§63.8(c)(1)–(3)	Yes	§63.8(c)(1)(i) & (ii) only apply if you use capture and control systems and are required to have a start-up, shutdown, and malfunction plan.
§63.8(c)(4)	Yes.	
§63.8(c)(5)	No	Subpart JJJJ does not require COMS.
§63.8(c)(6)–(c)(8)	Yes	Provisions for COMS are not applicable.
§63.8(d)–(f)	Yes	§63.8(f)(6) only applies if you use CEMS.
§63.8(g)	Yes	Only applies if you use CEMS.
§63.9(a)	Yes.	
§63.9(b)(1)	Yes.	
§63.9(b)(2)	Yes	Except §63.3400(b)(1) requires submittal of initial notification for existing affected sources no later than 1 year before compliance date.
§63.9(b)(3)–(5)	Yes.	
§63.9(c)–(e)	Yes.	
§63.9(f)	No	Subpart JJJJ does not require opacity and visible emissions observations.
§63.9(g)	Yes	Provisions for COMS are not applicable.
§63.9(h)(1)–(3)	Yes.	
§63.9(h)(4)	No	Reserved.
§63.9(h)(5)–(6)	Yes.	
§63.9(i)	Yes.	
§63.9(j)	Yes.	
§63.10(a)	Yes.	
\$63.10(b)(1)–(3)	Yes	§63.10(b)(2)(i) through (v) only apply if you use a capture and control system.
§63.10(c)(1)	Yes.	
§63.10(c)(2)–(4)	No	Reserved.
§63.10(c)(5)–(8)	Yes.	

Greenfield, Indiana Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

§63.10(c)(9)	No	Reserved.
§63.10(c)(10)–(15)	Yes.	
§63.10(d)(1)–(2)	Yes.	
§63.10(d)(3)	No	Subpart JJJJ does not require opacity and visible emissions observations.
§63.10(d)(4)–(5)	Yes.	
§63.10(e)(1)–(2)	Yes	Provisions for COMS are not applicable.
§63.10(e)(3)–(4)	No.	
§63.10(f)	Yes.	
§63.11	No.	
§63.12	Yes.	
§63.13	Yes.	
§63.14	Yes	Subpart JJJJ includes provisions for alternative ASME test methods that are incorporated by reference.
§63.15	Yes.	

### Attachment B

### to Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal No. T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison 870 West Anderson Blvd., Greenfield, Indiana 46140

# 40 CFR 60, Subpart RR—Standards of Performance for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations

**Source:** 48 FR 48375, Oct. 18, 1983, unless otherwise noted.

### § 60.440 Applicability and designation of affected facility.

- (a) The affected facility to which the provisions of this subpart apply is each coating line used in the manufacture of pressure sensitive tape and label materials.
- (b) Any affected facility which inputs to the coating process 45 Mg (50 tons) of VOC or less per 12 month period is not subject to the emission limits of §60.442(a), however, the affected facility is subject to the requirements of all other applicable sections of this subpart. If the amount of VOC input exceeds 45 Mg (50 tons) per 12 month period, the coating line will become subject to §60.442(a) and all other sections of this subpart.
- (c) This subpart applies to any affected facility which begins construction, modification, or reconstruction after December 30, 1980.

[48 FR 48375, Oct. 18, 1983, as amended at 65 FR 61761, Oct. 17, 2000]

# § 60.441 Definitions and symbols.

(a) Except as otherwise required by the context, terms used in this subpart are defined in the Act, in subpart A of this part, or in this section as follows:

Coating applicator means an apparatus used to apply a surface coating to a continuous web.

Coating line means any number or combination of adhesive, release, or precoat coating applicators, flashoff areas, and ovens which coat a continuous web, located between a web unwind station and a web rewind station, to produce pressure sensitive tape and label materials.

Coating solids applied means the solids content of the coated adhesive, release, or precoat as measured by Method 24.

Flashoff area means the portion of a coating line after the coating applicator and usually before the oven entrance.

Fugitive volatile organic compounds means any volatile organic compounds which are emitted from the coating applicator and flashoff areas and are not emitted in the oven.

Hood or enclosure means any device used to capture fugitive volatile organic compounds.

Oven means a chamber which uses heat or irradiation to bake, cure, polymerize, or dry a surface coating.

*Precoat* means a coating operation in which a coating other than an adhesive or release is applied to a surface during the production of a pressure sensitive tape or label product.

Solvent applied in the coating means all organic solvent contained in the adhesive, release, and precoat formulations that is metered into the coating applicator from the formulation area.

Total enclosure means a structure or building around the coating applicator and flashoff area or the entire coating line for the purpose of confining and totally capturing fugitive VOC emissions.

VOC means volatile organic compound.

(b) All symbols used in this subpart not defined below are given meaning in the Act or in subpart A of this part.

a=the gas stream vents exiting the emission control device.

b=the gas stream vents entering the emission control device.

 $C_{aj}$ =the concentration of VOC (carbon equivalent) in each gas stream (j) exiting the emission control device, in parts per million by volume.

C<sub>bi</sub>=the concentration of VOC (carbon equivalent) in each gas stream (i) entering the emission control device, in parts per million by volume.

C<sub>fk</sub>=the concentration of VOC (carbon equivalent) in each gas stream (k) emitted directly to the atmosphere, in parts per million by volume.

G=the calculated weighted average mass (kg) of VOC per mass (kg) of coating solids applied each calendar month.

M<sub>ci</sub>=the total mass (kg) of each coating (i) applied during the calendar month as determined from facility records.

M<sub>r</sub>=the total mass (kg) of solvent recovered for a calendar month.

 $Q_{aj}$ =the volumetric flow rate of each effluent gas stream (j) exiting the emission control device, in dry standard cubic meters per hour.

Q<sub>bi</sub>=the volumetric flow rate of each effluent gas stream (i) entering the emission control device, in dry standard cubic meters per hour.

 $Q_{fk}$ =the volumetric flow rate of each effluent gas stream (k) emitted to the atmosphere, in dry standard cubic meters per hour.

R=the overall VOC emission reduction achieved for a calendar month (in percent).

R<sub>a</sub>=the required overall VOC emission reduction (in percent).

W<sub>oi</sub>=the weight fraction of organics applied of each coating (i) applied during a calendar month as determined from Method 24 or coating manufacturer's formulation data.

W<sub>si</sub>=the weight fraction of solids applied of each coating (i) applied during a calendar month as determined from Method 24 or coating manufacturer's formulation data.

[48 FR 48375, Oct. 18, 1983, as amended at 65 FR 61761, Oct. 17, 2000]

## § 60.442 Standard for volatile organic compounds.

- (a) On and after the date on which the performance test required by §60.8 has been completed each owner or operator subject to this subpart shall:
- (1) Cause the discharge into the atmosphere from an affected facility not more than 0.20 kg VOC/kg of coating solids applied as calculated on a weighted average basis for one calendar month; or
- (2) Demonstrate for each affected facility;
- (i) A 90 percent overall VOC emission reduction as calculated over a calendar month; or
- (ii) The percent overall VOC emission reduction specified in §60.443(b) as calculated over a calendar month.

### § 60.443 Compliance provisions.

- (a) To determine compliance with §60.442 the owner or operator of the affected facility shall calculate a weighted average of the mass of solvent used per mass of coating solids applied for a one calendar month period according to the following procedures:
- (1) Determine the weight fraction of organics and the weight fraction of solids of each coating applied by using Reference Method 24 or by the coating manufacturer's formulation data.
- (2) Compute the weighted average by the following equation:

$$G = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} W_{oi} M_{ci}}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} W_{si} M_{ci}}$$

- (3) For each affected facility where the value of G is less than or equal to 0.20 kg VOC per kg of coating solids applied, the affected facility is in compliance with §60.442(a)(1).
- (b) To determine compliance with §60.442(a)(2), the owner or operator shall calculate the required overall VOC emission reduction according to the following equation:

$$R_q = \frac{G - 0.20}{G} \times 100$$

If  $R_q$  is less than or equal to 90 percent, then the required overall VOC emission reduction is  $R_q$ . If  $R_q$  is greater than 90 percent, then the required overall VOC emission reduction is 90 percent.

(c) Where compliance with the emission limits specified in §60.442(a)(2) is achieved through the use of a solvent recovery system, the owner or operator shall determine the overall VOC emission reduction for a one calendar month period by the following equation:

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{M_r}{W_{oi} M_{ci}} \times 100$$

If the R value is equal to or greater than the R<sub>q</sub>value specified in paragraph (b) of this section, then compliance with §60.442(a)(2) is demonstrated.

- (d) Where compliance with the emission limit specified in §60.442(a)(2) is achieved through the use of a solvent destruction device, the owner or operator shall determine calendar monthly compliance by comparing the monthly required overall VOC emission reduction specified in paragraph (b) of this section to the overall VOC emission reduction demonstrated in the most recent performance test which complied with §60.442(a)(2). If the monthly required overall VOC emission reduction is less than or equal to the overall VOC reduction of the most recent performance test, the affected facility is in compliance with §60.442(a)(2).
- (e) Where compliance with §60.442(a)(2) is achieved through the use of a solvent destruction device, the owner or operator shall continuously record the destruction device combustion temperature during coating operations for thermal incineration destruction devices or the gas temperature upstream and downstream of the incinerator catalyst bed during coating operations for catalytic incineration destruction devices. For thermal incineration destruction devices the owner or operator shall record all 3-hour periods (during actual coating operations) during which the average temperature of the device is more than 28 °C (50 °F) below the average temperature of the device during the most recent performance test complying with §60.442(a)(2). For catalytic incineration destruction devices, the owner or operator shall record all 3-hour periods (during actual coating operations) during which the average temperature of the device immediately before the catalyst bed is more than 28 °C (50 °F) below the average temperature of the device during the most recent performance test complying with §60.442(a)(2), and all 3-hour periods (during actual coating operations) during which the average temperature difference across the catalyst bed is less than 80 percent of the average temperature difference of the device during the most recent performance test complying with §60.442(a)(2).
- (f) After the initial performance test required for all affected facilities under §60.8, compliance with the VOC emission limitation and percentage reduction requirements under §60.442 is based on the average emission reduction for one calendar month. A separate compliance test is completed at the end of each calendar month after the initial performance test, and a new calendar month's average VOC emission reduction is calculated to show compliance with the standard.
- (g) If a common emission control device is used to recover or destroy solvent from more than one affected facility, the performance of that control device is assumed to be equal for each of the affected facilities. Compliance with §60.442(a)(2) is determined by the methods specified in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section and is performed simultaneously on all affected facilities.
- (h) If a common emission control device is used to recover solvent from an existing facility (or facilities) as well as from an affected facility (or facilities), the overall VOC emission reduction for the affected facility (or facilities), for the purpose of compliance, shall be determined by the following procedures:
- (1) The owner or operator of the existing facility (or facilities) shall determine the mass of solvent recovered for a calendar month period from the existing facility (or facilities) prior to the connection of the affected facility (or facilities) to the emission control device.
- (2) The affected facility (or facilities) shall then be connected to the emission control device.
- (3) The owner or operator shall determine the total mass of solvent recovered from both the existing and affected facilities over a calendar month period. The mass of solvent determined in paragraph (h)(1) of this section from the existing facility shall be subtracted from the total mass of recovered solvent to obtain the mass of solvent recovered from the affected facility (or facilities). The overall VOC emission reduction of the affected facility (or facilities) can then be determined as specified in paragraph (c) of this section.
- (i) If a common emission control device(s) is used to destruct solvent from an existing facility (or facilities) as well as from an affected facility (or facilities), the overall VOC emission reduction for the affected facility (or facilities), for the purpose of compliance, shall be determined by the following procedures:
- (1) The owner or operator shall operate the emission control device with both the existing and affected facilities connected.
- (2) The concentration of VOC (in parts per million by volume) after the common emission control device shall be determined as specified in §60.444(c). This concentration is used in the calculation of compliance for both the existing and affected facilities.

Avery Dennison

Greenfield, Indiana

- (3) The volumetric flow out of the common control device attributable to the affected facility (or facilities) shall be calculated by first determining the ratio of the volumetric flow entering the common control device attributable to the affected facility (facilities) to the total volumetric flow entering the common control device from both existing and affected facilities. The multiplication of this ratio by the total volumetric flow out of the common control device yields the flow attributable to the affected facility (facilities). Compliance is determined by the use of the equation specified in §60.444(c).
- (j) Startups and shutdowns are normal operation for this source category. Emissions from these operations are to be included when determining if the standard specified at §60.442(a)(2) is being attained.

[48 FR 48375, Oct. 18, 1983, as amended at 65 FR 61761, Oct. 17, 2000]

#### § 60.444 Performance test procedures.

- (a) The performance test for affected facilities complying with §60.442 without the use of add-on controls shall be identical to the procedures specified in §60.443(a).
- (b) The performance test for affected facilities controlled by a solvent recovery device shall be conducted as follows:
- (1) The performance test shall be a one calendar month test and not the average of three runs as specified in §60.8(f).
- (2) The weighted average mass of VOC per mass of coating solids applied for a one calendar month period shall be determined as specified in §60.443(a) (1) and (2).
- (3) Calculate the required percent overall VOC emission reduction as specified in §60.443(b).
- (4) Inventory VOC usage and VOC recovery for a one calendar month period.
- (5) Determine the percent overall VOC emission reduction as specified in §60.443(c).
- (c) The performance test for affected facilities controlled by a solvent destruction device shall be conducted as follows:
- (1) The performance of the solvent destruction device shall be determined by averaging the results of three test runs as specified in §60.8(f).
- (2) Determine for each affected facility prior to each test run the weighted average mass of VOC per mass of coating solids applied being used at the facility. The weighted average shall be determined as specified in  $\S60.443(a)$ . In this application the quantities of  $W_{oi}$ ,  $W_{si}$ , and  $M_{ci}$ shall be determined for the time period of each test run and not a calendar month as specified in  $\S60.441$ .
- (3) Calculate the required percent overall VOC emission reduction as specified in §60.443(b).
- (4) Determine the percent overall VOC emission reduction of the solvent destruction device by the following equation and procedures:

$$R = \frac{\frac{2}{1-1} - Q_{1}C_{1} + \frac{2}{1-1} - Q_{2}C_{2}}{\frac{2}{1-1} - Q_{2}C_{2} + \frac{2}{1-1} - Q_{2}C_{2}} - 100$$

(i) The owner or operator of the affected facility shall construct the overall VOC emission reduction system so that all volumetric flow rates and total VOC emissions can be accurately determined by the applicable test methods and procedures specified in §60.446(b).

(ii) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall construct a temporary total enclosure around the coating line applicator and flashoff area during the performance test for the purpose of capturing fugitive VOC emissions. If a permanent total enclosure exists in the affected facility prior to the performance test and the Administrator is satisfied that the enclosure is totally capturing fugitive VOC emissions, then no additional total enclosure will be required for the performance test.

(iii) For each affected facility where the value of R is greater than or equal to the value of R<sub>q</sub>calculated in §60.443(b), compliance with §60.442(a)(2) is demonstrated.

### § 60.445 Monitoring of operations and recordkeeping.

- (a) The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to this subpart shall maintain a calendar month record of all coatings used and the results of the reference test method specified in §60.446(a) or the manufacturer's formulation data used for determining the VOC content of those coatings.
- (b) The owner or operator of an affected facility controlled by a solvent recovery device shall maintain a calendar month record of the amount of solvent applied in the coating at each affected facility.
- (c) The owner or operator of an affected facility controlled by a solvent recovery device shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a monitoring device for indicating the cumulative amount of solvent recovered by the device over a calendar month period. The monitoring device shall be accurate within ±2.0 percent. The owner or operator shall maintain a calendar month record of the amount of solvent recovered by the device.
- (d) The owner or operator of an affected facility operating at the conditions specified in §60.440(b) shall maintain a 12 month record of the amount of solvent applied in the coating at the facility.
- (e) The owner or operator of an affected facility controlled by a thermal incineration solvent destruction device shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a monitoring device which continuously indicates and records the temperature of the solvent destruction device's exhaust gases. The monitoring device shall have an accuracy of the greater of ±0.75 percent of the temperature being measured expressed in degrees Celsius or ±2.5 °C.
- (f) The owner or operator of an affected facility controlled by a catalytic incineration solvent destruction device shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a monitoring device which continuously indicates and records the gas temperature both upstream and downstream of the catalyst bed.
- (g) The owner or operator of an affected facility controlled by a solvent destruction device which uses a hood or enclosure to capture fugitive VOC emissions shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a monitoring device which continuously indicates that the hood or enclosure is operating. No continuous monitor shall be required if the owner or operator can demonstrate that the hood or enclosure system is interlocked with the affected facility's oven recirculation air system.
- (h) Records of the measurements required in §§60.443 and 60.445 must be retained for at least two years following the date of the measurements.

### § 60.446 Test methods and procedures.

- (a) The VOC content per unit of coating solids applied and compliance with §60.422(a)(1) shall be determined by either Method 24 and the equations specified in §60.443 or by manufacturers' formulation data. In the event of any inconsistency between a Method 24 test and manufacturers' formulation data, the Method 24 test will govern. The Administrator may require an owner or operator to perform Method 24 tests during such months as he deems appropriate. For Method 24, the coating sample must be a one liter sample taken into a one liter container at a point where the sample will be representative of the coating applied to the web substrate.
- (b) Method 25 shall be used to determine the VOC concentration, in parts per million by volume, of each effluent gas stream entering and exiting the solvent destruction device or its equivalent, and each effluent gas stream emitted directly to the atmosphere. Methods 1, 2, 3, and 4 shall be used to determine the sampling location, volumetric flowrate, molecular weight, and moisture of all sampled gas streams. For Method 25, the sampling time for each of

three runs must be at least 1 hour. The minimum sampling volume must be 0.003 dscm except that shorter sampling times or smaller volumes, when necessitated by process variables or other factors, may be approved by the Administrator.

(c) If the owner or operator can demonstrate to the Administrator's satisfaction that testing of representative stacks yields results comparable to those that would be obtained by testing all stacks, the Administrator will approve testing of representative stacks on a case-by-case basis.

[48 FR 48375, Oct. 18, 1983, as amended at 65 FR 61761, Oct. 17, 2000]

#### § 60.447 Reporting requirements.

- (a) For all affected facilities subject to compliance with §60.442, the performance test data and results from the performance test shall be submitted to the Administrator as specified in §60.8(a) of the General Provisions (40 CFR part 60, subpart A).
- (b) Following the initial performance test, the owner or operator of each affected facility shall submit quarterly reports to the Administrator of exceedances of the VOC emission limits specified in §60.442. If no such exceedances occur during a particular quarter, a report stating this shall be submitted to the Administrator semiannually.
- (c) The owner or operator of each affected facility shall also submit reports at the frequency specified in §60.7(c) when the incinerator temperature drops as defined under §60.443(e). If no such periods occur, the owner or operator shall state this in the report.
- (d) The requirements of this subsection remain in force until and unless EPA, in delegating enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Act, approves reporting requirements or an alternative means of compliance surveillance adopted by such States. In that event, affected sources within the State will be relieved of the obligation to comply with this subsection, provided that they comply with the requirements established by the State.

[48 FR 48375, Oct. 18, 1983, as amended at 55 FR 51383, Dec. 13, 1990]

# Attachment C to Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal No. T059-29471-00018

Avery Dennison 870 West Anderson Blvd., Greenfield, Indiana 46140

# 40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc—Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units

Source: 72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, unless otherwise noted.

### § 60.40c Applicability and delegation of authority.

- (a) Except as provided in paragraphs (d), (e), (f), and (g) of this section, the affected facility to which this subpart applies is each steam generating unit for which construction, modification, or reconstruction is commenced after June 9, 1989 and that has a maximum design heat input capacity of 29 megawatts (MW) (100 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr)) or less, but greater than or equal to 2.9 MW (10 MMBtu/hr).
- (b) In delegating implementation and enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Clean Air Act, §60.48c(a)(4) shall be retained by the Administrator and not transferred to a State.
- (c) Steam generating units that meet the applicability requirements in paragraph (a) of this section are not subject to the sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ) or particulate matter (PM) emission limits, performance testing requirements, or monitoring requirements under this subpart (§§60.42c, 60.43c, 60.44c, 60.45c, 60.46c, or 60.47c) during periods of combustion research, as defined in §60.41c.
- (d) Any temporary change to an existing steam generating unit for the purpose of conducting combustion research is not considered a modification under §60.14.
- (e) Heat recovery steam generators that are associated with combined cycle gas turbines and meet the applicability requirements of subpart KKKK of this part are not subject to this subpart. This subpart will continue to apply to all other heat recovery steam generators that are capable of combusting more than or equal to 2.9 MW (10 MMBtu/hr) heat input of fossil fuel but less than or equal to 29 MW (100 MMBtu/hr) heat input of fossil fuel. If the heat recovery steam generator is subject to this subpart, only emissions resulting from combustion of fuels in the steam generating unit are subject to this subpart. (The gas turbine emissions are subject to subpart GG or KKKK, as applicable, of this part).
- (f) Any facility covered by subpart AAAA of this part is not subject by this subpart.
- (g) Any facility covered by an EPA approved State or Federal section 111(d)/129 plan implementing subpart BBBB of this part is not subject by this subpart.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5090, Jan. 28, 2009]

### § 60.41c Definitions.

As used in this subpart, all terms not defined herein shall have the meaning given them in the Clean Air Act and in subpart A of this part.

Annual capacity factor means the ratio between the actual heat input to a steam generating unit from an individual fuel or combination of fuels during a period of 12 consecutive calendar months and the potential heat input to the steam generating unit from all fuels had the steam generating unit been operated for 8,760 hours during that 12-month period at the maximum design heat input capacity. In the case of steam generating units that are rented or

Page 2 of 19

T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

leased, the actual heat input shall be determined based on the combined heat input from all operations of the affected facility during a period of 12 consecutive calendar months.

Coal means all solid fuels classified as anthracite, bituminous, subbituminous, or lignite by the American Society of Testing and Materials in ASTM D388 (incorporated by reference, see §60.17), coal refuse, and petroleum coke. Coalderived synthetic fuels derived from coal for the purposes of creating useful heat, including but not limited to solvent refined coal, gasified coal not meeting the definition of natural gas, coal-oil mixtures, and coal-water mixtures, are also included in this definition for the purposes of this subpart.

Coal refuse means any by-product of coal mining or coal cleaning operations with an ash content greater than 50 percent (by weight) and a heating value less than 13,900 kilojoules per kilogram (kJ/kg) (6,000 Btu per pound (Btu/lb) on a dry basis.

Cogeneration steam generating unit means a steam generating unit that simultaneously produces both electrical (or mechanical) and thermal energy from the same primary energy source.

Combined cycle system means a system in which a separate source (such as a stationary gas turbine, internal combustion engine, or kiln) provides exhaust gas to a steam generating unit.

Combustion research means the experimental firing of any fuel or combination of fuels in a steam generating unit for the purpose of conducting research and development of more efficient combustion or more effective prevention or control of air pollutant emissions from combustion, provided that, during these periods of research and development, the heat generated is not used for any purpose other than preheating combustion air for use by that steam generating unit ( i.e. , the heat generated is released to the atmosphere without being used for space heating, process heating, driving pumps, preheating combustion air for other units, generating electricity, or any other purpose).

Conventional technology means wet flue gas desulfurization technology, dry flue gas desulfurization technology, atmospheric fluidized bed combustion technology, and oil hydrodesulfurization technology.

Distillate oil means fuel oil that complies with the specifications for fuel oil numbers 1 or 2, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D396 (incorporated by reference, see §60.17) or diesel fuel oil numbers 1 or 2, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D975 (incorporated by reference, see §60.17).

Dry flue gas desulfurization technology means a SO<sub>2</sub> control system that is located between the steam generating unit and the exhaust vent or stack, and that removes sulfur oxides from the combustion gases of the steam generating unit by contacting the combustion gases with an alkaline reagent and water, whether introduced separately or as a premixed slurry or solution and forming a dry powder material. This definition includes devices where the dry powder material is subsequently converted to another form. Alkaline reagents used in dry flue gas desulfurization systems include, but are not limited to, lime and sodium compounds.

Duct burner means a device that combusts fuel and that is placed in the exhaust duct from another source (such as a stationary gas turbine, internal combustion engine, kiln, etc.) to allow the firing of additional fuel to heat the exhaust gases before the exhaust gases enter a steam generating unit.

Emerging technology means any SO<sub>2</sub> control system that is not defined as a conventional technology under this section, and for which the owner or operator of the affected facility has received approval from the Administrator to operate as an emerging technology under §60.48c(a)(4).

Federally enforceable means all limitations and conditions that are enforceable by the Administrator, including the requirements of 40 CFR parts 60 and 61, requirements within any applicable State implementation plan, and any permit requirements established under 40 CFR 52.21 or under 40 CFR 51.18 and 51.24.

Fluidized bed combustion technology means a device wherein fuel is distributed onto a bed (or series of beds) of limestone aggregate (or other sorbent materials) for combustion; and these materials are forced upward in the device by the flow of combustion air and the gaseous products of combustion. Fluidized bed combustion technology includes, but is not limited to, bubbling bed units and circulating bed units.

Page 3 of 19 T059-29471-00018

Fuel pretreatment means a process that removes a portion of the sulfur in a fuel before combustion of the fuel in a steam generating unit.

Heat input means heat derived from combustion of fuel in a steam generating unit and does not include the heat derived from preheated combustion air, recirculated flue gases, or exhaust gases from other sources (such as stationary gas turbines, internal combustion engines, and kilns).

Heat transfer medium means any material that is used to transfer heat from one point to another point.

Maximum design heat input capacity means the ability of a steam generating unit to combust a stated maximum amount of fuel (or combination of fuels) on a steady state basis as determined by the physical design and characteristics of the steam generating unit.

#### Natural gas means:

- (1) A naturally occurring mixture of hydrocarbon and nonhydrocarbon gases found in geologic formations beneath the earth's surface, of which the principal constituent is methane; or
- (2) Liquefied petroleum (LP) gas, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D1835 (incorporated by reference, see §60.17); or
- (3) A mixture of hydrocarbons that maintains a gaseous state at ISO conditions. Additionally, natural gas must either be composed of at least 70 percent methane by volume or have a gross calorific value between 34 and 43 megajoules (MJ) per dry standard cubic meter (910 and 1,150 Btu per dry standard cubic foot).

Noncontinental area means the State of Hawaii, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or the Northern Mariana Islands.

Oil means crude oil or petroleum, or a liquid fuel derived from crude oil or petroleum, including distillate oil and residual oil.

Potential sulfur dioxide emission rate means the theoretical SO<sub>2</sub> emissions (nanograms per joule (ng/J) or lb/MMBtu heat input) that would result from combusting fuel in an uncleaned state and without using emission control systems.

*Process heater* means a device that is primarily used to heat a material to initiate or promote a chemical reaction in which the material participates as a reactant or catalyst.

Residual oil means crude oil, fuel oil that does not comply with the specifications under the definition of distillate oil, and all fuel oil numbers 4, 5, and 6, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D396 (incorporated by reference, see §60.17).

Steam generating unit means a device that combusts any fuel and produces steam or heats water or heats any heat transfer medium. This term includes any duct burner that combusts fuel and is part of a combined cycle system. This term does not include process heaters as defined in this subpart.

Steam generating unit operating day means a 24-hour period between 12:00 midnight and the following midnight during which any fuel is combusted at any time in the steam generating unit. It is not necessary for fuel to be combusted continuously for the entire 24-hour period.

Wet flue gas desulfurization technology means an SO<sub>2</sub>control system that is located between the steam generating unit and the exhaust vent or stack, and that removes sulfur oxides from the combustion gases of the steam generating unit by contacting the combustion gases with an alkaline slurry or solution and forming a liquid material. This definition includes devices where the liquid material is subsequently converted to another form. Alkaline reagents used in wet flue gas desulfurization systems include, but are not limited to, lime, limestone, and sodium compounds.

40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc T059-29471-00018

Page 4 of 19

Wet scrubber system means any emission control device that mixes an aqueous stream or slurry with the exhaust gases from a steam generating unit to control emissions of PM or SO<sub>2</sub>.

Wood means wood, wood residue, bark, or any derivative fuel or residue thereof, in any form, including but not limited to sawdust, sanderdust, wood chips, scraps, slabs, millings, shavings, and processed pellets made from wood or other forest residues.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5090, Jan. 28, 2009]

### § 60.42c Standard for sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>).

- (a) Except as provided in paragraphs (b), (c), and (e) of this section, on and after the date on which the performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, the owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts only coal shall neither: cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from the affected facility any gases that contain SO<sub>2</sub>in excess of 87 ng/J (0.20 lb/MMBtu) heat input or 10 percent (0.10) of the potential SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate (90 percent reduction), nor cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from the affected facility any gases that contain SO<sub>2</sub>in excess of 520 ng/J (1.2 lb/MMBtu) heat input. If coal is combusted with other fuels, the affected facility shall neither: cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from the affected facility any gases that contain SO<sub>2</sub>in excess of 87 ng/J (0.20 lb/MMBtu) heat input or 10 percent (0.10) of the potential SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate (90 percent reduction), nor cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from the affected facility any gases that contain SO<sub>2</sub>in excess of the emission limit is determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section.
- (b) Except as provided in paragraphs (c) and (e) of this section, on and after the date on which the performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, the owner or operator of an affected facility that:
- (1) Combusts only coal refuse alone in a fluidized bed combustion steam generating unit shall neither:
- (i) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO₂in excess of 87 ng/J (0.20 lb/MMBtu) heat input or 20 percent (0.20) of the potential SO₂emission rate (80 percent reduction); nor
- (ii) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain  $SO_2$ in excess of  $SO_2$ in
- (2) Combusts only coal and that uses an emerging technology for the control of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions shall neither:
- (i) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO<sub>2</sub>in excess of 50 percent (0.50) of the potential SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate (50 percent reduction); nor
- (ii) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain  $SO_2$  in excess of 260 ng/J (0.60 lb/MMBtu) heat input. If coal is combusted with other fuels, the affected facility is subject to the 50 percent  $SO_2$  reduction requirement specified in this paragraph and the emission limit determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section.
- (c) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts coal, alone or in combination with any other fuel, and is listed in paragraphs (c)(1), (2), (3), or (4) of this section shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO<sub>2</sub>in excess of the emission limit determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section. Percent reduction requirements are not applicable to affected facilities under paragraphs (c)(1), (2), (3), or (4).
- (1) Affected facilities that have a heat input capacity of 22 MW (75 MMBtu/hr) or less.

Page 5 of 19

T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

- (2) Affected facilities that have an annual capacity for coal of 55 percent (0.55) or less and are subject to a federally enforceable requirement limiting operation of the affected facility to an annual capacity factor for coal of 55 percent (0.55) or less.
- (3) Affected facilities located in a noncontinental area.
- (4) Affected facilities that combust coal in a duct burner as part of a combined cycle system where 30 percent (0.30) or less of the heat entering the steam generating unit is from combustion of coal in the duct burner and 70 percent (0.70) or more of the heat entering the steam generating unit is from exhaust gases entering the duct burner.
- (d) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts oil shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO₂in excess of 215 ng/J (0.50 lb/MMBtu) heat input; or, as an alternative, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts oil shall combust oil in the affected facility that contains greater than 0.5 weight percent sulfur. The percent reduction requirements are not applicable to affected facilities under this paragraph.
- (e) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts coal, oil, or coal and oil with any other fuel shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO₂in excess of the following:
- (1) The percent of potential SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate or numerical SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate required under paragraph (a) or (b)(2) of this section, as applicable, for any affected facility that
- (i) Combusts coal in combination with any other fuel;
- (ii) Has a heat input capacity greater than 22 MW (75 MMBtu/hr); and
- (iii) Has an annual capacity factor for coal greater than 55 percent (0.55); and
- (2) The emission limit determined according to the following formula for any affected facility that combusts coal, oil, or coal and oil with any other fuel:

$$E_{e} = \frac{\left(K_{a}H_{a} + K_{b}H_{b} + K_{a}H_{a}\right)}{\left(H_{b} + H_{b} + H_{c}\right)}$$

Where:

E<sub>s</sub>= SO<sub>2</sub>emission limit, expressed in ng/J or lb/MMBtu heat input;

 $K_a = 520 \text{ ng/J } (1.2 \text{ lb/MMBtu});$ 

 $K_{b} = 260 \text{ ng/J } (0.60 \text{ lb/MMBtu});$ 

 $K_c = 215 \text{ ng/J } (0.50 \text{ lb/MMBtu});$ 

 $H_a$ = Heat input from the combustion of coal, except coal combusted in an affected facility subject to paragraph (b)(2) of this section, in Joules (J) [MMBtu];

 $H_b$ = Heat input from the combustion of coal in an affected facility subject to paragraph (b)(2) of this section, in J (MMBtu); and

Page 6 of 19 T059-29471-00018

H<sub>c</sub>= Heat input from the combustion of oil, in J (MMBtu).

- (f) Reduction in the potential SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate through fuel pretreatment is not credited toward the percent reduction requirement under paragraph (b)(2) of this section unless:
- (1) Fuel pretreatment results in a 50 percent (0.50) or greater reduction in the potential SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate; and
- (2) Emissions from the pretreated fuel (without either combustion or post-combustion SO<sub>2</sub>control) are equal to or less than the emission limits specified under paragraph (b)(2) of this section.
- (g) Except as provided in paragraph (h) of this section, compliance with the percent reduction requirements, fuel oil sulfur limits, and emission limits of this section shall be determined on a 30-day rolling average basis.
- (h) For affected facilities listed under paragraphs (h)(1), (2), or (3) of this section, compliance with the emission limits or fuel oil sulfur limits under this section may be determined based on a certification from the fuel supplier, as described under §60.48c(f), as applicable.
- (1) Distillate oil-fired affected facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 29 MW (10 and 100 MMBtu/hr).
- (2) Residual oil-fired affected facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 8.7 MW (10 and 30 MMBtu/hr).
- (3) Coal-fired facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 8.7 MW (10 and 30 MMBtu/hr).
- (i) The SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits, fuel oil sulfur limits, and percent reduction requirements under this section apply at all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction.
- (j) For affected facilities located in noncontinental areas and affected facilities complying with the percent reduction standard, only the heat input supplied to the affected facility from the combustion of coal and oil is counted under this section. No credit is provided for the heat input to the affected facility from wood or other fuels or for heat derived from exhaust gases from other sources, such as stationary gas turbines, internal combustion engines, and kilns.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5090, Jan. 28, 2009]

### § 60.43c Standard for particulate matter (PM).

- (a) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that commenced construction, reconstruction, or modification on or before February 28, 2005, that combusts coal or combusts mixtures of coal with other fuels and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater, shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of the following emission limits:
- (1) 22 ng/J (0.051 lb/MMBtu) heat input if the affected facility combusts only coal, or combusts coal with other fuels and has an annual capacity factor for the other fuels of 10 percent (0.10) or less.
- (2) 43 ng/J (0.10 lb/MMBtu) heat input if the affected facility combusts coal with other fuels, has an annual capacity factor for the other fuels greater than 10 percent (0.10), and is subject to a federally enforceable requirement limiting operation of the affected facility to an annual capacity factor greater than 10 percent (0.10) for fuels other than coal.
- (b) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that commenced construction, reconstruction, or modification on or before February 28, 2005, that combusts wood or combusts mixtures of wood with other fuels (except coal) and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater, shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of the following emissions limits:
- (1) 43 ng/J (0.10 lb/MMBtu) heat input if the affected facility has an annual capacity factor for wood greater than 30 percent (0.30); or

Page 7 of 19

T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(2) 130 ng/J (0.30 lb/MMBtu) heat input if the affected facility has an annual capacity factor for wood of 30 percent (0.30) or less and is subject to a federally enforceable requirement limiting operation of the affected facility to an annual capacity factor for wood of 30 percent (0.30) or less.

- (c) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that can combust coal, wood, or oil and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that exhibit greater than 20 percent opacity (6-minute average), except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent opacity. Owners and operators of an affected facility that elect to install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) for measuring PM emissions according to the requirements of this subpart and are subject to a federally enforceable PM limit of 0.030 lb/MMBtu or less are exempt from the opacity standard specified in this paragraph.
- (d) The PM and opacity standards under this section apply at all times, except during periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction.
- (e)(1) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or is required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that commences construction, reconstruction, or modification after February 28, 2005, and that combusts coal, oil, wood, a mixture of these fuels, or a mixture of these fuels with any other fuels and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of 13 ng/J (0.030 lb/MMBtu) heat input, except as provided in paragraphs (e)(2), (e)(3), and (e)(4) of this section.
- (2) As an alternative to meeting the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility for which modification commenced after February 28, 2005, may elect to meet the requirements of this paragraph. On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that commences modification after February 28, 2005 shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of both:
- (i) 22 ng/J (0.051 lb/MMBtu) heat input derived from the combustion of coal, oil, wood, a mixture of these fuels, or a mixture of these fuels with any other fuels; and
- (ii) 0.2 percent of the combustion concentration (99.8 percent reduction) when combusting coal, oil, wood, a mixture of these fuels, or a mixture of these fuels with any other fuels.
- (3) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or is required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that commences modification after February 28, 2005, and that combusts over 30 percent wood (by heat input) on an annual basis and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of 43 ng/J (0.10 lb/MMBtu) heat input.
- (4) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or is required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, an owner or operator of an affected facility that commences construction, reconstruction, or modification after February 28, 2005, and that combusts only oil that contains no more than 0.50 weight percent sulfur or a mixture of 0.50 weight percent sulfur oil with other fuels not subject to a PM standard under §60.43c and not using a post-combustion technology (except a wet scrubber) to reduce PM or SO₂emissions is not subject to the PM limit in this section.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5091, Jan. 28, 2009]

# § 60.44c Compliance and performance test methods and procedures for sulfur dioxide.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (g) and (h) of this section and §60.8(b), performance tests required under §60.8 shall be conducted following the procedures specified in paragraphs (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) of this section, as applicable. Section 60.8(f) does not apply to this section. The 30-day notice required in §60.8(d) applies only to the initial performance test unless otherwise specified by the Administrator.

Attachment C 40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuiga Page 8 of 19 T059-29471-00018

- (b) The initial performance test required under  $\S60.8$  shall be conducted over 30 consecutive operating days of the steam generating unit. Compliance with the percent reduction requirements and  $SO_2$ emission limits under  $\S60.42$ c shall be determined using a 30-day average. The first operating day included in the initial performance test shall be scheduled within 30 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the affect facility will be operated, but not later than 180 days after the initial startup of the facility. The steam generating unit load during the 30-day period does not have to be the maximum design heat input capacity, but must be representative of future operating conditions.
- (c) After the initial performance test required under paragraph (b) of this section and §60.8, compliance with the percent reduction requirements and SO<sub>2</sub>emission limits under §60.42c is based on the average percent reduction and the average SO<sub>2</sub>emission rates for 30 consecutive steam generating unit operating days. A separate performance test is completed at the end of each steam generating unit operating day, and a new 30-day average percent reduction and SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate are calculated to show compliance with the standard.
- (d) If only coal, only oil, or a mixture of coal and oil is combusted in an affected facility, the procedures in Method 19 of appendix A of this part are used to determine the hourly  $SO_2$ emission rate ( $E_{ho}$ ) and the 30-day average  $SO_2$ emission rate ( $E_{ao}$ ). The hourly averages used to compute the 30-day averages are obtained from the CEMS. Method 19 of appendix A of this part shall be used to calculate  $E_{ao}$ when using daily fuel sampling or Method 6B of appendix A of this part.
- (e) If coal, oil, or coal and oil are combusted with other fuels:
- (1) An adjusted  $E_{ho}(E_{ho}o)$  is used in Equation 19–19 of Method 19 of appendix A of this part to compute the adjusted  $E_{ao}(E_{ao}o)$ . The  $E_{ho}o$  is computed using the following formula:

$$E_{10} \circ = \frac{E_{10} - E_{10}(1 - X_{1})}{X_{1}}$$

Where:

 $E_{ho}o = Adjusted E_{ho}, ng/J (lb/MMBtu);$ 

E<sub>ho</sub>= Hourly SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate, ng/J (lb/MMBtu);

 $E_w$ =  $SO_2$ concentration in fuels other than coal and oil combusted in the affected facility, as determined by fuel sampling and analysis procedures in Method 9 of appendix A of this part, ng/J (lb/MMBtu). The value  $E_w$ for each fuel lot is used for each hourly average during the time that the lot is being combusted. The owner or operator does not have to measure  $E_w$  if the owner or operator elects to assume  $E_w$ = 0.

 $X_k$ = Fraction of the total heat input from fuel combustion derived from coal and oil, as determined by applicable procedures in Method 19 of appendix A of this part.

- (2) The owner or operator of an affected facility that qualifies under the provisions of §60.42c(c) or (d) (where percent reduction is not required) does not have to measure the parameters  $E_w$ or  $X_k$  if the owner or operator of the affected facility elects to measure emission rates of the coal or oil using the fuel sampling and analysis procedures under Method 19 of appendix A of this part.
- (f) Affected facilities subject to the percent reduction requirements under §60.42c(a) or (b) shall determine compliance with the SO₂emission limits under §60.42c pursuant to paragraphs (d) or (e) of this section, and shall determine compliance with the percent reduction requirements using the following procedures:
- (1) If only coal is combusted, the percent of potential SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate is computed using the following formula:

$$%P_{s} = 100 \left( 1 - \frac{%R_{g}}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{%R_{f}}{100} \right)$$

Where:

%P<sub>s</sub>= Potential SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate, in percent;

%R<sub>g</sub>= SO<sub>2</sub>removal efficiency of the control device as determined by Method 19 of appendix A of this part, in percent; and

%R<sub>f</sub>= SO₂removal efficiency of fuel pretreatment as determined by Method 19 of appendix A of this part, in percent.

- (2) If coal, oil, or coal and oil are combusted with other fuels, the same procedures required in paragraph (f)(1) of this section are used, except as provided for in the following:
- (i) To compute the  $%P_s$ , an adjusted  $%R_g(%R_go)$  is computed from  $E_{ao}o$  from paragraph (e)(1) of this section and an adjusted average  $SO_2$  inlet rate ( $E_{ai}o$ ) using the following formula:

$$\%R_{go} = 100 \left( 1 - \frac{E_{w}^{\circ}}{E_{si}^{\circ}} \right)$$

Where:

 $R_{\alpha}$ o = Adjusted  $R_{\alpha}$ , in percent;

 $E_{ao}o = Adjusted E_{ao}$ , ng/J (lb/MMBtu); and

E<sub>ai</sub>o = Adjusted average SO<sub>2</sub>inlet rate, ng/J (lb/MMBtu).

(ii) To compute Eaio, an adjusted hourly SO2inlet rate (Ehio) is used. The Ehio is computed using the following formula:

$$E_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \circ = \frac{E_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} - E_{\underline{\mathbf{w}}} (1 - X_{\underline{\mathbf{h}}})}{X_{\underline{\mathbf{h}}}}$$

Where:

 $E_{hi}o = Adjusted E_{hi}, ng/J (lb/MMBtu);$ 

E<sub>hi</sub>= Hourly SO<sub>2</sub>inlet rate, ng/J (lb/MMBtu);

 $E_w = SO_2$  concentration in fuels other than coal and oil combusted in the affected facility, as determined by fuel sampling and analysis procedures in Method 19 of appendix A of this part, ng/J (lb/MMBtu). The value  $E_w$  for each fuel lot is used for each hourly average during the time that the lot is being combusted. The owner or operator does not have to measure  $E_w$  if the owner or operator elects to assume  $E_w = 0$ ; and

 $X_k$ = Fraction of the total heat input from fuel combustion derived from coal and oil, as determined by applicable procedures in Method 19 of appendix A of this part.

Page 10 of 19

T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(g) For oil-fired affected facilities where the owner or operator seeks to demonstrate compliance with the fuel oil sulfur limits under §60.42c based on shipment fuel sampling, the initial performance test shall consist of sampling and analyzing the oil in the initial tank of oil to be fired in the steam generating unit to demonstrate that the oil contains 0.5 weight percent sulfur or less. Thereafter, the owner or operator of the affected facility shall sample the oil in the fuel tank after each new shipment of oil is received, as described under §60.46c(d)(2).

- (h) For affected facilities subject to §60.42c(h)(1), (2), or (3) where the owner or operator seeks to demonstrate compliance with the SO<sub>2</sub>standards based on fuel supplier certification, the performance test shall consist of the certification from the fuel supplier, as described in §60.48c(f), as applicable.
- (i) The owner or operator of an affected facility seeking to demonstrate compliance with the SO₂ standards under §60.42c(c)(2) shall demonstrate the maximum design heat input capacity of the steam generating unit by operating the steam generating unit at this capacity for 24 hours. This demonstration shall be made during the initial performance test, and a subsequent demonstration may be requested at any other time. If the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate for the affected facility is less than the maximum design heat input capacity stated by the manufacturer of the affected facility, the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate shall be used to determine the annual capacity factor for the affected facility; otherwise, the maximum design heat input capacity provided by the manufacturer shall be used.
- (j) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall use all valid  $SO_2$ emissions data in calculating  $%P_s$ and  $E_{ho}$ under paragraphs (d), (e), or (f) of this section, as applicable, whether or not the minimum emissions data requirements under \$60.46c(f)\$ are achieved. All valid emissions data, including valid data collected during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, shall be used in calculating  $%P_s$ or  $E_{ho}$ pursuant to paragraphs (d), (e), or (f) of this section, as applicable.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5091, Jan. 28, 2009]

## § 60.45c Compliance and performance test methods and procedures for particulate matter.

- (a) The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the PM and/or opacity standards under §60.43c shall conduct an initial performance test as required under §60.8, and shall conduct subsequent performance tests as requested by the Administrator, to determine compliance with the standards using the following procedures and reference methods, except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section.
- (1) Method 1 of appendix A of this part shall be used to select the sampling site and the number of traverse sampling points.
- (2) Method 3A or 3B of appendix A–2 of this part shall be used for gas analysis when applying Method 5 or 5B of appendix A–3 of this part or 17 of appendix A–6 of this part.
- (3) Method 5, 5B, or 17 of appendix A of this part shall be used to measure the concentration of PM as follows:
- (i) Method 5 of appendix A of this part may be used only at affected facilities without wet scrubber systems.
- (ii) Method 17 of appendix A of this part may be used at affected facilities with or without wet scrubber systems provided the stack gas temperature does not exceed a temperature of 160 °C (320 °F). The procedures of Sections 8.1 and 11.1 of Method 5B of appendix A of this part may be used in Method 17 of appendix A of this part only if Method 17 of appendix A of this part is used in conjunction with a wet scrubber system. Method 17 of appendix A of this part shall not be used in conjunction with a wet scrubber system if the effluent is saturated or laden with water droplets.
- (iii) Method 5B of appendix A of this part may be used in conjunction with a wet scrubber system.
- (4) The sampling time for each run shall be at least 120 minutes and the minimum sampling volume shall be 1.7 dry standard cubic meters (dscm) [60 dry standard cubic feet (dscf)] except that smaller sampling times or volumes may be approved by the Administrator when necessitated by process variables or other factors.

Page 11 of 19

T059-29471-00018

(5) For Method 5 or 5B of appendix A of this part, the temperature of the sample gas in the probe and filter holder shall be monitored and maintained at 160 ±14 °C (320±25 °F).

- (6) For determination of PM emissions, an oxygen  $(O_2)$  or carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  measurement shall be obtained simultaneously with each run of Method 5, 5B, or 17 of appendix A of this part by traversing the duct at the same sampling location.
- (7) For each run using Method 5, 5B, or 17 of appendix A of this part, the emission rates expressed in ng/J (lb/MMBtu) heat input shall be determined using:
- (i) The O2 or CO2 measurements and PM measurements obtained under this section, (ii) The dry basis F factor, and
- (iii) The dry basis emission rate calculation procedure contained in Method 19 of appendix A of this part.
- (8) Method 9 of appendix A-4 of this part shall be used for determining the opacity of stack emissions.
- (b) The owner or operator of an affected facility seeking to demonstrate compliance with the PM standards under §60.43c(b)(2) shall demonstrate the maximum design heat input capacity of the steam generating unit by operating the steam generating unit at this capacity for 24 hours. This demonstration shall be made during the initial performance test, and a subsequent demonstration may be requested at any other time. If the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate for the affected facility is less than the maximum design heat input capacity stated by the manufacturer of the affected facility, the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate shall be used to determine the annual capacity factor for the affected facility; otherwise, the maximum design heat input capacity provided by the manufacturer shall be used.
- (c) In place of PM testing with Method 5 or 5B of appendix A–3 of this part or Method 17 of appendix A–6 of this part, an owner or operator may elect to install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a CEMS for monitoring PM emissions discharged to the atmosphere and record the output of the system. The owner or operator of an affected facility who elects to continuously monitor PM emissions instead of conducting performance testing using Method 5 or 5B of appendix A–3 of this part or Method 17 of appendix A–6 of this part shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a CEMS and shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(14) of this section.
- (1) Notify the Administrator 1 month before starting use of the system.
- (2) Notify the Administrator 1 month before stopping use of the system.
- (3) The monitor shall be installed, evaluated, and operated in accordance with §60.13 of subpart A of this part.
- (4) The initial performance evaluation shall be completed no later than 180 days after the date of initial startup of the affected facility, as specified under §60.8 of subpart A of this part or within 180 days of notification to the Administrator of use of CEMS if the owner or operator was previously determining compliance by Method 5, 5B, or 17 of appendix A of this part performance tests, whichever is later.
- (5) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct an initial performance test for PM emissions as required under §60.8 of subpart A of this part. Compliance with the PM emission limit shall be determined by using the CEMS specified in paragraph (d) of this section to measure PM and calculating a 24-hour block arithmetic average emission concentration using EPA Reference Method 19 of appendix A of this part, section 4.1.
- (6) Compliance with the PM emission limit shall be determined based on the 24-hour daily (block) average of the hourly arithmetic average emission concentrations using CEMS outlet data.
- (7) At a minimum, valid CEMS hourly averages shall be obtained as specified in paragraph (c)(7)(i) of this section for 75 percent of the total operating hours per 30-day rolling average.
- (i) At least two data points per hour shall be used to calculate each 1-hour arithmetic average.

Page 12 of 19

T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

#### (ii) [Reserved]

- (8) The 1-hour arithmetic averages required under paragraph (c)(7) of this section shall be expressed in ng/J or lb/MMBtu heat input and shall be used to calculate the boiler operating day daily arithmetic average emission concentrations. The 1-hour arithmetic averages shall be calculated using the data points required under §60.13(e)(2) of subpart A of this part.
- (9) All valid CEMS data shall be used in calculating average emission concentrations even if the minimum CEMS data requirements of paragraph (c)(7) of this section are not met.
- (10) The CEMS shall be operated according to Performance Specification 11 in appendix B of this part.
- (11) During the correlation testing runs of the CEMS required by Performance Specification 11 in appendix B of this part, PM and O<sub>2</sub>(or CO<sub>2</sub>) data shall be collected concurrently (or within a 30- to 60-minute period) by both the continuous emission monitors and performance tests conducted using the following test methods.
- (i) For PM, Method 5 or 5B of appendix A-3 of this part or Method 17 of appendix A-6 of this part shall be used; and
- (ii) After July 1, 2010 or after Method 202 of appendix M of part 51 has been revised to minimize artifact measurement and notice of that change has been published in the Federal Register, whichever is later, for condensable PM emissions, Method 202 of appendix M of part 51 shall be used; and
- (iii) For O2 (or CO2), Method 3A or 3B of appendix A-2 of this part, as applicable shall be used.
- (12) Quarterly accuracy determinations and daily calibration drift tests shall be performed in accordance with procedure 2 in appendix F of this part. Relative Response Audit's must be performed annually and Response Correlation Audits must be performed every 3 years.
- (13) When PM emissions data are not obtained because of CEMS breakdowns, repairs, calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments, emissions data shall be obtained by using other monitoring systems as approved by the Administrator or EPA Reference Method 19 of appendix A of this part to provide, as necessary, valid emissions data for a minimum of 75 percent of total operating hours on a 30-day rolling average.
- (14) After July 1, 2011, within 90 days after the date of completing each performance evaluation required by paragraph (c)(11) of this section, the owner or operator of the affected facility must either submit the test data to EPA by successfully entering the data electronically into EPA's WebFIRE data base available at <a href="http://cfpub.epa.gov/oarweb/index.cfm?action=fire.main">http://cfpub.epa.gov/oarweb/index.cfm?action=fire.main</a> or mail a copy to: United States Environmental Protection Agency; Energy Strategies Group; 109 TW Alexander DR; Mail Code: D243–01; RTP, NC 27711.
- (d) The owner or operator of an affected facility seeking to demonstrate compliance under §60.43c(e)(4) shall follow the applicable procedures under §60.48c(f). For residual oil-fired affected facilities, fuel supplier certifications are only allowed for facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 8.7 MW (10 to 30 MMBtu/hr).

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5091, Jan. 28, 2009]

### § 60.46c Emission monitoring for sulfur dioxide.

- (a) Except as provided in paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the  $SO_2$  emission limits under §60.42c shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a CEMS for measuring  $SO_2$  concentrations and either  $O_2$  or  $CO_2$  concentrations at the outlet of the  $SO_2$  control device (or the outlet of the steam generating unit if no  $SO_2$  control device is used), and shall record the output of the system. The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the percent reduction requirements under §60.42c shall measure  $SO_2$  concentrations and either  $O_2$  or  $CO_2$  concentrations at both the inlet and outlet of the  $SO_2$  control device.
- (b) The 1-hour average SO₂emission rates measured by a CEMS shall be expressed in ng/J or lb/MMBtu heat input and shall be used to calculate the average emission rates under §60.42c. Each 1-hour average SO₂emission rate

Page 13 of 19 T059-29471-00018

must be based on at least 30 minutes of operation, and shall be calculated using the data points required under §60.13(h)(2). Hourly SO₂emission rates are not calculated if the affected facility is operated less than 30 minutes in a 1-hour period and are not counted toward determination of a steam generating unit operating day.

- (c) The procedures under §60.13 shall be followed for installation, evaluation, and operation of the CEMS.
- (1) All CEMS shall be operated in accordance with the applicable procedures under Performance Specifications 1, 2, and 3 of appendix B of this part.
- (2) Quarterly accuracy determinations and daily calibration drift tests shall be performed in accordance with Procedure 1 of appendix F of this part.
- (3) For affected facilities subject to the percent reduction requirements under  $\S60.42c$ , the span value of the  $SO_2CEMS$  at the inlet to the  $SO_2control$  device shall be 125 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential  $SO_2control$  at the outlet from the  $SO_2control$  device shall be 50 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential  $SO_2control$  device shall be 50 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential  $SO_2control$  at the outlet from the  $SO_2control$  device shall be 50 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential  $SO_2control$  at the outlet from the  $SO_2control$  device shall be 50 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential  $SO_2control$  at the outlet from the  $SO_2control$  device shall be 50 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential  $SO_2control$  at the outlet from the  $SO_2control$  device shall be 50 percent of the fuel combusted.
- (4) For affected facilities that are not subject to the percent reduction requirements of §60.42c, the span value of the SO<sub>2</sub>CEMS at the outlet from the SO<sub>2</sub>control device (or outlet of the steam generating unit if no SO<sub>2</sub>control device is used) shall be 125 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential SO<sub>2</sub>emission rate of the fuel combusted.
- (d) As an alternative to operating a CEMS at the inlet to the  $SO_2$ control device (or outlet of the steam generating unit if no  $SO_2$ control device is used) as required under paragraph (a) of this section, an owner or operator may elect to determine the average  $SO_2$ emission rate by sampling the fuel prior to combustion. As an alternative to operating a CEMS at the outlet from the  $SO_2$ control device (or outlet of the steam generating unit if no  $SO_2$ control device is used) as required under paragraph (a) of this section, an owner or operator may elect to determine the average  $SO_2$ emission rate by using Method 6B of appendix A of this part. Fuel sampling shall be conducted pursuant to either paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this section. Method 6B of appendix A of this part shall be conducted pursuant to paragraph (d)(3) of this section.
- (1) For affected facilities combusting coal or oil, coal or oil samples shall be collected daily in an as-fired condition at the inlet to the steam generating unit and analyzed for sulfur content and heat content according the Method 19 of appendix A of this part. Method 19 of appendix A of this part provides procedures for converting these measurements into the format to be used in calculating the average SO<sub>2</sub>input rate.
- (2) As an alternative fuel sampling procedure for affected facilities combusting oil, oil samples may be collected from the fuel tank for each steam generating unit immediately after the fuel tank is filled and before any oil is combusted. The owner or operator of the affected facility shall analyze the oil sample to determine the sulfur content of the oil. If a partially empty fuel tank is refilled, a new sample and analysis of the fuel in the tank would be required upon filling. Results of the fuel analysis taken after each new shipment of oil is received shall be used as the daily value when calculating the 30-day rolling average until the next shipment is received. If the fuel analysis shows that the sulfur content in the fuel tank is greater than 0.5 weight percent sulfur, the owner or operator shall ensure that the sulfur content of subsequent oil shipments is low enough to cause the 30-day rolling average sulfur content to be 0.5 weight percent sulfur or less.
- (3) Method 6B of appendix A of this part may be used in lieu of CEMS to measure SO<sub>2</sub>at the inlet or outlet of the SO<sub>2</sub>control system. An initial stratification test is required to verify the adequacy of the Method 6B of appendix A of this part sampling location. The stratification test shall consist of three paired runs of a suitable SO<sub>2</sub>and CO<sub>2</sub>measurement train operated at the candidate location and a second similar train operated according to the procedures in §3.2 and the applicable procedures in section 7 of Performance Specification 2 of appendix B of this part. Method 6B of appendix A of this part, Method 6A of appendix A of this part, or a combination of Methods 6 and 3 of appendix A of this part or Methods 6C and 3A of appendix A of this part are suitable measurement techniques. If Method 6B of appendix A of this part is used for the second train, sampling time and timer operation may be adjusted for the stratification test as long as an adequate sample volume is collected; however, both sampling trains are to be operated similarly. For the location to be adequate for Method 6B of appendix A of this part 24-hour tests, the mean of the absolute difference between the three paired runs must be less than 10 percent (0.10).

Page 14 of 19

T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(e) The monitoring requirements of paragraphs (a) and (d) of this section shall not apply to affected facilities subject to §60.42c(h) (1), (2), or (3) where the owner or operator of the affected facility seeks to demonstrate compliance with the SO<sub>2</sub> standards based on fuel supplier certification, as described under §60.48c(f), as applicable.

(f) The owner or operator of an affected facility operating a CEMS pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, or conducting as-fired fuel sampling pursuant to paragraph (d)(1) of this section, shall obtain emission data for at least 75 percent of the operating hours in at least 22 out of 30 successive steam generating unit operating days. If this minimum data requirement is not met with a single monitoring system, the owner or operator of the affected facility shall supplement the emission data with data collected with other monitoring systems as approved by the Administrator.

### § 60.47c Emission monitoring for particulate matter.

- (a) Except as provided in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), (f), and (g) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility combusting coal, oil, or wood that is subject to the opacity standards under §60.43c shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS) for measuring the opacity of the emissions discharged to the atmosphere and record the output of the system. The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to an opacity standard in §60.43c(c) and that is not required to install a COMS due to paragraphs (c), (d), (e), or (f) of this section that elects not to install a COMS shall conduct a performance test using Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part and the procedures in §60.11 to demonstrate compliance with the applicable limit in §60.43c and shall comply with either paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) of this section. If during the initial 60 minutes of observation all 6-minute averages are less than 10 percent and all individual 15-second observations are less than or equal to 20 percent, the observation period may be reduced from 3 hours to 60 minutes.
- (1) Except as provided in paragraph (a)(2) and (a)(3) of this section, the owner or operator shall conduct subsequent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance tests using the procedures in paragraph (a) of this section according to the applicable schedule in paragraphs (a)(1)(i) through (a)(1)(iv) of this section, as determined by the most recent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance test results.
- (i) If no visible emissions are observed, a subsequent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance test must be completed within 12 calendar months from the date that the most recent performance test was conducted;
- (ii) If visible emissions are observed but the maximum 6-minute average opacity is less than or equal to 5 percent, a subsequent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance test must be completed within 6 calendar months from the date that the most recent performance test was conducted;
- (iii) If the maximum 6-minute average opacity is greater than 5 percent but less than or equal to 10 percent, a subsequent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance test must be completed within 3 calendar months from the date that the most recent performance test was conducted; or
- (iv) If the maximum 6-minute average opacity is greater than 10 percent, a subsequent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance test must be completed within 30 calendar days from the date that the most recent performance test was conducted.
- (2) If the maximum 6-minute opacity is less than 10 percent during the most recent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance test, the owner or operator may, as an alternative to performing subsequent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance tests, elect to perform subsequent monitoring using Method 22 of appendix A–7 of this part according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (ii) of this section.
- (i) The owner or operator shall conduct 10 minute observations (during normal operation) each operating day the affected facility fires fuel for which an opacity standard is applicable using Method 22 of appendix A–7 of this part and demonstrate that the sum of the occurrences of any visible emissions is not in excess of 5 percent of the observation period ( *i.e.*, 30 seconds per 10 minute period). If the sum of the occurrence of any visible emissions is greater than 30 seconds during the initial 10 minute observation, immediately conduct a 30 minute observation. If the sum of the occurrence of visible emissions is greater than 5 percent of the observation period ( *i.e.*, 90 seconds per 30 minute period) the owner or operator shall either document and adjust the operation of the facility and demonstrate within 24 hours that the sum of the occurrence of visible emissions is equal to or less than 5 percent during a 30 minute

Page 15 of 19

T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

observation ( i.e. , 90 seconds) or conduct a new Method 9 of appendix A-4 of this part performance test using the procedures in paragraph (a) of this section within 30 calendar days according to the requirements in §60.45c(a)(8).

- (ii) If no visible emissions are observed for 30 operating days during which an opacity standard is applicable, observations can be reduced to once every 7 operating days during which an opacity standard is applicable. If any visible emissions are observed, daily observations shall be resumed.
- (3) If the maximum 6-minute opacity is less than 10 percent during the most recent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance test, the owner or operator may, as an alternative to performing subsequent Method 9 of appendix A–4 performance tests, elect to perform subsequent monitoring using a digital opacity compliance system according to a site-specific monitoring plan approved by the Administrator. The observations shall be similar, but not necessarily identical, to the requirements in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. For reference purposes in preparing the monitoring plan, see OAQPS "Determination of Visible Emission Opacity from Stationary Sources Using Computer-Based Photographic Analysis Systems." This document is available from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA); Office of Air Quality and Planning Standards; Sector Policies and Programs Division; Measurement Policy Group (D243–02), Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. This document is also available on the Technology Transfer Network (TTN) under Emission Measurement Center Preliminary Methods.
- (b) All COMS shall be operated in accordance with the applicable procedures under Performance Specification 1 of appendix B of this part. The span value of the opacity COMS shall be between 60 and 80 percent.
- (c) Owners and operators of an affected facilities that burn only distillate oil that contains no more than 0.5 weight percent sulfur and/or liquid or gaseous fuels with potential sulfur dioxide emission rates of 26 ng/J (0.060 lb/MMBtu) heat input or less and that do not use a post-combustion technology to reduce SO2 or PM emissions and that are subject to an opacity standard in §60.43c(c) are not required to operate a COMS if they follow the applicable procedures in §60.48c(f).
- (d) Owners or operators complying with the PM emission limit by using a PM CEMS must calibrate, maintain, operate, and record the output of the system for PM emissions discharged to the atmosphere as specified in §60.45c(c). The CEMS specified in paragraph §60.45c(c) shall be operated and data recorded during all periods of operation of the affected facility except for CEMS breakdowns and repairs. Data is recorded during calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments.
- (e) Owners and operators of an affected facility that is subject to an opacity standard in §60.43c(c) and that does not use post-combustion technology (except a wet scrubber) for reducing PM, SO<sub>2</sub>, or carbon monoxide (CO) emissions, burns only gaseous fuels or fuel oils that contain less than or equal to 0.5 weight percent sulfur, and is operated such that emissions of CO discharged to the atmosphere from the affected facility are maintained at levels less than or equal to 0.15 lb/MMBtu on a boiler operating day average basis is not required to operate a COMS. Owners and operators of affected facilities electing to comply with this paragraph must demonstrate compliance according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (e)(1) through (4) of this section; or
- (1) You must monitor CO emissions using a CEMS according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (e)(1)(i) through (iv) of this section.
- (i) The CO CEMS must be installed, certified, maintained, and operated according to the provisions in §60.58b(i)(3) of subpart Eb of this part.
- (ii) Each 1-hour CO emissions average is calculated using the data points generated by the CO CEMS expressed in parts per million by volume corrected to 3 percent oxygen (dry basis).
- (iii) At a minimum, valid 1-hour CO emissions averages must be obtained for at least 90 percent of the operating hours on a 30-day rolling average basis. The 1-hour averages are calculated using the data points required in §60.13(h)(2).
- (iv) Quarterly accuracy determinations and daily calibration drift tests for the CO CEMS must be performed in accordance with procedure 1 in appendix F of this part.

Page 16 of 19

T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(2) You must calculate the 1-hour average CO emissions levels for each steam generating unit operating day by multiplying the average hourly CO output concentration measured by the CO CEMS times the corresponding average hourly flue gas flow rate and divided by the corresponding average hourly heat input to the affected source. The 24-hour average CO emission level is determined by calculating the arithmetic average of the hourly CO emission levels computed for each steam generating unit operating day.

- (3) You must evaluate the preceding 24-hour average CO emission level each steam generating unit operating day excluding periods of affected source startup, shutdown, or malfunction. If the 24-hour average CO emission level is greater than 0.15 lb/MMBtu, you must initiate investigation of the relevant equipment and control systems within 24 hours of the first discovery of the high emission incident and, take the appropriate corrective action as soon as practicable to adjust control settings or repair equipment to reduce the 24-hour average CO emission level to 0.15 lb/MMBtu or less.
- (4) You must record the CO measurements and calculations performed according to paragraph (e) of this section and any corrective actions taken. The record of corrective action taken must include the date and time during which the 24-hour average CO emission level was greater than 0.15 lb/MMBtu, and the date, time, and description of the corrective action.
- (f) Owners and operators of an affected facility that is subject to an opacity standard in §60.43c(c) and that uses a bag leak detection system to monitor the performance of a fabric filter (baghouse) according to the most recent requirements in section §60.48Da of this part is not required to operate a COMS.
- (g) Owners and operators of an affected facility that is subject to an opacity standard in §60.43c(c) and that burns only gaseous fuels or fuel oils that contain less than or equal to 0.5 weight percent sulfur and operates according to a written site-specific monitoring plan approved by the permitting authority is not required to operate a COMS. This monitoring plan must include procedures and criteria for establishing and monitoring specific parameters for the affected facility indicative of compliance with the opacity standard.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5091, Jan. 28, 2009]

## § 60.48c Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

- (a) The owner or operator of each affected facility shall submit notification of the date of construction or reconstruction and actual startup, as provided by §60.7 of this part. This notification shall include:
- (1) The design heat input capacity of the affected facility and identification of fuels to be combusted in the affected facility.
- (2) If applicable, a copy of any federally enforceable requirement that limits the annual capacity factor for any fuel or mixture of fuels under §60.42c, or §60.43c.
- (3) The annual capacity factor at which the owner or operator anticipates operating the affected facility based on all fuels fired and based on each individual fuel fired.
- (4) Notification if an emerging technology will be used for controlling SO₂emissions. The Administrator will examine the description of the control device and will determine whether the technology qualifies as an emerging technology. In making this determination, the Administrator may require the owner or operator of the affected facility to submit additional information concerning the control device. The affected facility is subject to the provisions of §60.42c(a) or (b)(1), unless and until this determination is made by the Administrator.
- (b) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to the SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits of §60.42c, or the PM or opacity limits of §60.43c, shall submit to the Administrator the performance test data from the initial and any subsequent performance tests and, if applicable, the performance evaluation of the CEMS and/or COMS using the applicable performance specifications in appendix B of this part.
- (c) In addition to the applicable requirements in §60.7, the owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the opacity limits in §60.43c(c) shall submit excess emission reports for any excess emissions from the affected facility

Page 17 of 19 T059-29471-00018

that occur during the reporting period and maintain records according to the requirements specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (3) of this section, as applicable to the visible emissions monitoring method used.

- (1) For each performance test conducted using Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part, the owner or operator shall keep the records including the information specified in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (iii) of this section.
- (i) Dates and time intervals of all opacity observation periods;
- (ii) Name, affiliation, and copy of current visible emission reading certification for each visible emission observer participating in the performance test; and
- (iii) Copies of all visible emission observer opacity field data sheets;
- (2) For each performance test conducted using Method 22 of appendix A–4 of this part, the owner or operator shall keep the records including the information specified in paragraphs (c)(2)(i) through (iv) of this section.
- (i) Dates and time intervals of all visible emissions observation periods;
- (ii) Name and affiliation for each visible emission observer participating in the performance test;
- (iii) Copies of all visible emission observer opacity field data sheets; and
- (iv) Documentation of any adjustments made and the time the adjustments were completed to the affected facility operation by the owner or operator to demonstrate compliance with the applicable monitoring requirements.
- (3) For each digital opacity compliance system, the owner or operator shall maintain records and submit reports according to the requirements specified in the site-specific monitoring plan approved by the Administrator
- (d) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to the SO₂ emission limits, fuel oil sulfur limits, or percent reduction requirements under §60.42c shall submit reports to the Administrator.
- (e) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to the SO<sub>2</sub>emission limits, fuel oil sulfur limits, or percent reduction requirements under §60.42c shall keep records and submit reports as required under paragraph (d) of this section, including the following information, as applicable.
- (1) Calendar dates covered in the reporting period.
- (2) Each 30-day average SO₂emission rate (ng/J or lb/MMBtu), or 30-day average sulfur content (weight percent), calculated during the reporting period, ending with the last 30-day period; reasons for any noncompliance with the emission standards; and a description of corrective actions taken.
- (3) Each 30-day average percent of potential SO₂emission rate calculated during the reporting period, ending with the last 30-day period; reasons for any noncompliance with the emission standards; and a description of the corrective actions taken.
- (4) Identification of any steam generating unit operating days for which  $SO_2$  or diluent ( $O_2$  or  $CO_2$ ) data have not been obtained by an approved method for at least 75 percent of the operating hours; justification for not obtaining sufficient data; and a description of corrective actions taken.
- (5) Identification of any times when emissions data have been excluded from the calculation of average emission rates; justification for excluding data; and a description of corrective actions taken if data have been excluded for periods other than those during which coal or oil were not combusted in the steam generating unit.
- (6) Identification of the F factor used in calculations, method of determination, and type of fuel combusted.

Page 18 of 19

T059-29471-00018

- (7) Identification of whether averages have been obtained based on CEMS rather than manual sampling methods.
- (8) If a CEMS is used, identification of any times when the pollutant concentration exceeded the full span of the CEMS.
- (9) If a CEMS is used, description of any modifications to the CEMS that could affect the ability of the CEMS to comply with Performance Specifications 2 or 3 of appendix B of this part.
- (10) If a CEMS is used, results of daily CEMS drift tests and quarterly accuracy assessments as required under appendix F, Procedure 1 of this part.
- (11) If fuel supplier certification is used to demonstrate compliance, records of fuel supplier certification as described under paragraph (f)(1), (2), (3), or (4) of this section, as applicable. In addition to records of fuel supplier certifications, the report shall include a certified statement signed by the owner or operator of the affected facility that the records of fuel supplier certifications submitted represent all of the fuel combusted during the reporting period.
- (f) Fuel supplier certification shall include the following information:
- (1) For distillate oil:
- (i) The name of the oil supplier;
- (ii) A statement from the oil supplier that the oil complies with the specifications under the definition of distillate oil in §60.41c; and
- (iii) The sulfur content or maximum sulfur content of the oil.
- (2) For residual oil:
- (i) The name of the oil supplier;
- (ii) The location of the oil when the sample was drawn for analysis to determine the sulfur content of the oil, specifically including whether the oil was sampled as delivered to the affected facility, or whether the sample was drawn from oil in storage at the oil supplier's or oil refiner's facility, or other location;
- (iii) The sulfur content of the oil from which the shipment came (or of the shipment itself); and
- (iv) The method used to determine the sulfur content of the oil.
- (3) For coal:
- (i) The name of the coal supplier;
- (ii) The location of the coal when the sample was collected for analysis to determine the properties of the coal, specifically including whether the coal was sampled as delivered to the affected facility or whether the sample was collected from coal in storage at the mine, at a coal preparation plant, at a coal supplier's facility, or at another location. The certification shall include the name of the coal mine (and coal seam), coal storage facility, or coal preparation plant (where the sample was collected);
- (iii) The results of the analysis of the coal from which the shipment came (or of the shipment itself) including the sulfur content, moisture content, ash content, and heat content; and
- (iv) The methods used to determine the properties of the coal.
- (4) For other fuels:

Page 19 of 19

T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

- (i) The name of the supplier of the fuel;
- (ii) The potential sulfur emissions rate or maximum potential sulfur emissions rate of the fuel in ng/J heat input; and
- (iii) The method used to determine the potential sulfur emissions rate of the fuel.
- (g)(1) Except as provided under paragraphs (g)(2) and (g)(3) of this section, the owner or operator of each affected facility shall record and maintain records of the amount of each fuel combusted during each operating day.
- (2) As an alternative to meeting the requirements of paragraph (g)(1) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts only natural gas, wood, fuels using fuel certification in  $\S60.48c(f)$  to demonstrate compliance with the  $SO_2$ standard, fuels not subject to an emissions standard (excluding opacity), or a mixture of these fuels may elect to record and maintain records of the amount of each fuel combusted during each calendar month.
- (3) As an alternative to meeting the requirements of paragraph (g)(1) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility or multiple affected facilities located on a contiguous property unit where the only fuels combusted in any steam generating unit (including steam generating units not subject to this subpart) at that property are natural gas, wood, distillate oil meeting the most current requirements in §60.42C to use fuel certification to demonstrate compliance with the SO<sub>2</sub>standard, and/or fuels, excluding coal and residual oil, not subject to an emissions standard (excluding opacity) may elect to record and maintain records of the total amount of each steam generating unit fuel delivered to that property during each calendar month.
- (h) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to a federally enforceable requirement limiting the annual capacity factor for any fuel or mixture of fuels under §60.42c or §60.43c shall calculate the annual capacity factor individually for each fuel combusted. The annual capacity factor is determined on a 12-month rolling average basis with a new annual capacity factor calculated at the end of the calendar month.
- (i) All records required under this section shall be maintained by the owner or operator of the affected facility for a period of two years following the date of such record.
- (j) The reporting period for the reports required under this subpart is each six-month period. All reports shall be submitted to the Administrator and shall be postmarked by the 30th day following the end of the reporting period.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5091, Jan. 28, 2009]

# **Indiana Department of Environmental Management**

Office of Air Quality

Technical Support Document (TSD) for a Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal

### **Source Background and Description**

Source Name: Avery Dennison

Source Location: 870 West Anderson Blvd., Greenfield, Indiana 46140

County: Hancock SIC Code: 2672

Permit Renewal No.: T059-29471-00018
Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

The Office of Air Quality (OAQ) has reviewed the operating permit renewal application from Avery Dennison relating to the operation of a stationary pressure sensitive paper coating and laminating operation. On July 19, 2010, Avery Dennison submitted an application to the OAQ requesting to renew its operating permit. Avery Dennison was issued its first Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal, T059-18136-00018, on April 21, 2006.

# **Permitted Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment**

The source consists of the following permitted emission units:

- (a) One (1) pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-1, constructed in October 1994, with a maximum capacity of nine hundred ninety-three (993) billion square inches per year, exhausting to two (2) stacks (C-1 and D-1), consisting of the following equipment:
  - (1) One (1) flow coating operation and one (1) roll coating operation; and
  - (2) Two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens, with a total maximum heat input capacity of 48.7 MMBtu/hr.

Under NSPS Subpart RR, the coating line is considered an existing affected source. Under NESHAP Subpart JJJJ, the coating line is considered an existing affected source.

- (b) Two (2) natural gas-fired boilers, identified as B-01 and B-02, constructed in October 1994, with a maximum heat input capacity of 10.205 MMBtu/hr each, exhausting to two (2) stacks (B-01 and B-02).
  - Under NSPS Subpart Dc, the two (2) natural gas-fired boilers, identified as B-01 and B-02, are considered affected facilities.
- (c) One (1) pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-2, constructed in 2000 and modified in 2004, with a maximum capacity of 1,500 billion square inches per year, exhausting to three (3) stacks (E-1, F-1, and G-1), consisting of the following equipment:
  - (1) Two (2) flow coating operations, one (1) roll coating operation, and one (1) curtain coating operation;
  - (2) Two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens with a total maximum heat input capacity of 67.86 MMBtu/hr; and
  - (3) One (1) natural gas-fired drying oven, with a maximum heat input capacity of 4.0 MMBtu/hr.

Avery Dennison Page 2 of 12 Greenfield, Indiana TSD for T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

Under NSPS Subpart RR, the coating line is considered an existing affected source. Under NESHAP Subpart JJJJ, the coating line is considered an existing affected source.

(d) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, identified as B-03, constructed in June 2000, with a maximum heat input capacity of 10.205 MMBtu/hr, exhausting to one (1) stack (B-03).

Under NSPS Subpart Dc, the natural gas-fired boiler, identified as B-03, is considered an affected facility.

## **Insignificant Activities**

The source also consists of the following insignificant activities:

- (a) Six (6) natural gas-fired unit heaters, constructed in 1994, with a maximum heat input capacity of 0.13 MMBtu/hr each.
- (b) Five (5) natural gas-fired roof top heating/cooling units, constructed in 1994, with maximum heat input capacities of 0.163, 0.317, 0.317, 0.278, and 0.400 MMBtu/hr, respectively.
- (c) Twelve (12) natural gas-fired door heaters, constructed in 1994, with a maximum heat input capacity of 0.87 MMBtu/ hr each.
- (d) Seven (7) natural gas-fired rotation heating units, constructed in 1994, with a maximum heat input capacity of 0.40 MMBtu /hr each.
- (e) Equipment powered by internal combustion engines of capacity equal to or less than 500,000 Btu/hr, except where total capacity of equipment operated by one (1) stationary source exceeds 2,000,000 Btu/hr.
- (f) Combustion source flame safety purging on startup.
- (g) Vessels storing lubricating oils, hydraulic oils, machining oils, and machining fluids.
- (h) Application of oils, greases, lubricants or other nonvolatile materials applied as temporary protective coatings.
- (i) Machining where an aqueous coolant continuously floods the machining interface.
- (i) Cleaners and solvents characterized as follows:
  - (1) having a vapor pressure equal to or less than 2 kPa, 15 mmHg, or 0.3 psi measured at 38 degrees C (100 degrees F) or
  - (2) having a vapor pressure equal to or less than 0.7 kPa, 5 mmHg, or 0.1 psi measured at 20 degrees C (68 degrees F)

the use of which for all cleaners and solvents combined does not exceed 145 gallons per twelve (12) months.

- (k) The following equipment relating to manufacturing activities not resulting in the emission of HAP; brazing equipment, cutting torches, soldering equipment, welding equipment.
- (I) Closed loop heating and cooling systems.
- (m) Infrared cure equipment.
- (n) Any operation using aqueous solutions containing less than 1% by weight of VOC excluding HAP.

Avery Dennison Page 3 of 12 Greenfield, Indiana TSD for T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(o) Water based adhesives that are less than or equal to 5% by volume of VOC excluding HAP.

- (p) Forced and induced draft cooling tower system not regulated under a NESHAP.
- (q) Heat exchanger cleaning and repair.
- (r) Process vessel degreasing and cleaning to prepare for internal repairs.
- (s) Purging of gas lines and vessels that is related to routine maintenance and repair of buildings, structures, or vehicles at the source where air emissions from those activities would not be associated with any production process.
- (t) Equipment used to collect any material that might be released during a malfunction, process upset, or spill cleanup, including catch tanks, temporary liquid separators, tanks, and fluid handling equipment.
- (u) Blowdown for any of the following: sight glass, boiler, compressors, pumps, and cooling tower.
- (v) Stationary fire pumps.
- (w) A laboratory as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(20)(C).
- (x) Silicone batching.
- (y) Five (5) water-based emulsion adhesive storage tanks with maximum storage capacity of 16,400 gallons each.
- (z) One (1) emulsion wastewater storage tank with maximum storage capacity of 7,000 gallons.
- (aa) Nine (9) water-based emulsion adhesive storage tanks with maximum storage capacity of 13,000 gallons each.
- (bb) One (1) 8,000-gallon wastewater tank.
- (cc) Two (2) corona treaters, 80 KW capacity, each.
- (dd) One (1) 400-ton capacity cooling tower.

## **Existing Approvals**

Since the issuance of Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal T059-18136-00018 on April 21, 2006, the source has constructed or has been operating under the following additional approvals:

(a) Administrative Amendment No. 059-28178-00018, issued on July 8, 2009.

All terms and conditions of previous permits issued pursuant to permitting programs approved into the State Implementation Plan have been either incorporated as originally stated, revised, or deleted by this permit. All previous registrations and permits are superseded by this permit.

The following terms and conditions from previous approvals have been revised in this Part 70 permit renewal:

(a) PSD Minor Limit

The PSD minor limit in Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal T059-18136-00018 was updated. VOC emissions (0.4 tons/yr) from the natural gas-fired units were not included in the calculation

Avery Dennison Page 4 of 12 Greenfield, Indiana TSD for T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

of the PSD minor limit. Therefore, the PSD minor limit is revised to 246.1 tons VOC per twelve (12) consecutive month period in this permit renewal.

The following terms and conditions from previous approvals have been determined no longer applicable; and, therefore, were not incorporated into this Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal:

(a) The requirements of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters, (40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD) are not included for the three (3) natural gas-fired boilers (identified as B-01, B-02, and B-03), two (2) natural gas-fired drying ovens for GF-1, and the three (3) natural gas-fired drying ovens for GF-2.

Reason not incorporated: On June 8, 2007, the United States Court of appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (in NRDC v. EPA, no. 04-1386) vacated in its entirety the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters, 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD. Additionally, since the state rule at 326 IAC 20-95 incorporated the requirements of the NESHAP 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD by reference, the requirements of 326 IAC 20-95 are no longer effective. Therefore, the requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD and 326 IAC 20-95 have been removed from the permit.

## **Emission Calculations**

See Appendix A of this document for detailed emission calculations.

### **County Attainment Status**

The source is located in Hancock County.

Pollutant	Designation				
SO <sub>2</sub>	Better than national standards.				
CO	Unclassifiable or attainment effective November 15, 1990.				
O <sub>3</sub>	Attainment effective October 19, 2007, for the 8-hour ozone standard. <sup>1</sup>				
PM <sub>10</sub>	Unclassifiable effective November 15, 1990.				
NO <sub>2</sub>	Cannot be classified or better than national standards.				
Pb	Pb Not designated.				
<sup>1</sup> Unclassifiable or attainment effective October 18, 2000, for the 1-hour ozone standard which was revoked					
effective June 15, 2005.					
Unclassifiable	or attainment effective April 5, 2005, for PM <sub>a</sub>				

## (a) Ozone Standards

Volatile organic compounds (VOC) and Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) are regulated under the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the purposes of attaining and maintaining the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone. Therefore, VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are considered when evaluating the rule applicability relating to ozone. Hancock County has been designated as attainment or unclassifiable for ozone. Therefore, VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2.

## (b) $PM_{2.5}$

Hancock County has been classified as attainment for  $PM_{2.5}$ . On May 8, 2008, U.S. EPA promulgated the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) for  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions. These rules became effective on July 15, 2008. Indiana has three (3) years from the publication of these rules to revise its PSD rules, 326 IAC 2-2, to include those requirements. The May 8, 2008 rule revisions require IDEM to regulate  $PM_{10}$  emissions as a surrogate for  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions until 326 IAC 2-2 is revised.

Page 5 of 12 TSD for T059-29471-00018

Greenfield, Indiana Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

Avery Dennison

### (c) Other Criteria Pollutants

Hancock County has been classified as attainment or unclassifiable in Indiana for all other criteria pollutants. Therefore, these emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2.

## **Fugitive Emissions**

Since this type of operation is not one (1) of the twenty-eight (28) listed source categories under 326 IAC 2-2 or 326 IAC 2-7, and there is no applicable New Source Performance Standard that was in effect on August 7, 1980, fugitive emissions are not counted toward the determination of PSD and Part 70 Permit applicability.

## **Unrestricted Potential Emissions**

This table reflects the unrestricted potential emissions of the source.

Unrestricted Potential Emissions			
Pollutant	Tons/year		
PM	1.4		
PM <sub>10</sub>	5.4		
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	5.4		
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.3		
VOC	476.98		
CO	61.4		
NO <sub>x</sub>	73.1		

HAPs	tons/year
vinyl acetate	353.27
formaldehyde	5.17
methanol	3.30
hexane	1.06
Total	362.8

- (a) The potential to emit (as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(29)) of VOC is greater than one hundred (100) tons per year. Therefore, the source is subject to the provisions of 326 IAC 2-7 and will be issued a Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal.
- (b) The potential to emit (as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(29)) of any single HAP is greater than ten (10) tons per year and the potential to emit (as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(29)) of a combination of HAPs is greater than twenty-five (25) tons per year. Therefore, the source is subject to the provisions of 326 IAC 2-7.

## **Part 70 Permit Conditions**

This source is subject to the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7, because the source met the following:

(a) Emission limitations and standards, including those operational requirements and limitations that assure compliance with all applicable requirements at the time of issuance of Part 70 permits.

Avery Dennison Greenfield, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(b) Monitoring and related record keeping requirements which assume that all reasonable information is provided to evaluate continuous compliance with the applicable requirements.

## **Potential to Emit After Issuance**

The table below summarizes the potential to emit, reflecting all limits, of the emission units. Any control equipment is considered federally enforceable only after issuance of this Part 70 permit renewal, and only to the extent that the effect of the control equipment is made practically enforceable in the permit.

	Potential To Emit of the Entire Source After Issuance of Renewal (tons/year)								
Process/ Emission Unit	PM	PM <sub>10</sub> *	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>X</sub>	VOC	СО	Total HAPs	Worst Single HAP
GF-1 coating operation	-	-	-	-	-	less	-	(1)	182.3
GF-2 coating operation	-	-	-	-	-	than 246.1	-	186.6 <sup>(1)</sup>	(vinyl acetate)
GF-1 drying ovens	0.4	1.6	1.6	0.1	21.3	1.2	17.9	0.40	0.38 (hexane)
GF-2 drying ovens	0.6	2.4	2.4	0.2	31.5	1.7	26.4	0.59	0.56 (hexane)
Boiler B-01	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.0	4.5	0.2	3.8	negl	negl
Boiler B-02	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.0	4.5	0.2	3.8	negl	negl
Boiler B-03	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.0	4.5	0.2	3.8	negl	negl
Insignificant natural gas- fired units	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.0	6.8	0.4	5.7	0.13	0.12 (hexane)
Total PTE of Entire Source	1.4	5.4	5.4	0.3	73.1	<250	61.4	>25	>10
Title V Major Source Thresholds	NA	100	100	100	100	100	100	25	10
PSD Major Source Thresholds	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	NA	NA

negl. = negligible

- (1) Limiting potential VOC emissions on GF-1 and GF-2 to less than 246.1 tons per year will proportionately limit potential HAP emissions.
  - (a) This existing stationary source is not major for PSD because the emissions of each regulated pollutant are less than two hundred fifty (<250) tons per year, and it is not in one (1) of the twenty-eight (28) listed source categories.

## **Federal Rule Applicability**

- (a) Pursuant to 40 CFR 64.2, Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) is applicable to each existing pollutant-specific emission unit that meets the following criteria:
  - (1) has a potential to emit before controls equal to or greater than the major source threshold for the pollutant involved;
  - (2) is subject to an emission limitation or standard for that pollutant; and

<sup>&</sup>quot;-" = the process/emission unit has no emissions of the designated pollutant

<sup>\*</sup>Under the Part 70 Permit program (40 CFR 70), particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM<sub>10</sub>), not particulate matter (PM), is considered as a "regulated air pollutant".

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(3) uses a control device, as defined in 40 CFR 64.1, to comply with that emission limitation or standard.

The following table is used to identify the applicability of each of the criteria, under 40 CFR 64.1, to each existing emission unit and specified pollutant subject to CAM:

Emission Unit / Pollutant	Control Device Used	Emission Limitation (Y/N)	Uncontrolled PTE (tons/year)	Controlled PTE (tons/year)	Major Source Threshold (tons/year)	CAM Applicable (Y/N)	Large Unit (Y/N)
GF-1 coating line/VOC	none	Υ	190.4	-	100	Ν	N
GF-2 coating line/VOC	none	Y	286.6	-	100	N	N

Based on this evaluation, the requirements of 40 CFR Part 64 (CAM) are not applicable to any of the existing units as part of this Part 70 permit renewal. There is no control device for the coating line VOC emissions; therefore, the requirements of CAM are not applicable to the coating lines for VOC.

- (b) The requirements of the New Source Performance Standard for Polymeric Coating of Supporting Substrates Facilities (40 CFR 60.740, Subpart VVV) are not included in the permit for the pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2. This rule applies to each coating operation and any onsite coating mix preparation equipment used to prepare coatings for the polymeric coating of supporting substrates. According to the rule, the definition of polymeric coating of supporting substrates is a "web coating process that applies elastomers, polymers, or prepolymers to a supporting web other than paper, plastic film, metallic foil, or metal coil". Avery Dennison's coating operation coats paper; therefore, it does not perform polymeric coating of supporting substrates.
- (c) The requirements of the New Source Performance Standard for Volatile Organic Liquid Storage (40 CFR 60.110b, Subpart Kb) are not included in the permit for the fourteen (14) water-based emulsion adhesive storage tanks, one (1) emulsion wastewater storage tank, and one (1) wastewater storage tank. Each storage tank has a capacity that is less than seventy-five (75) cubic meters and was installed after July 23, 1984.
- (d) The pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2, are subject to the New Source Performance Standard for Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations (40 CFR 60.440, Subpart RR), which is incorporated by reference as 326 IAC 12. Each coating operation was constructed after the December 30, 1980 applicability date.

The pressure sensitive paper coating operation is subject to the following portions of Subpart RR:

- (1) 40 CFR 60.440
- (2) 40 CFR 60.441
- (3) 40 CFR 60.442(a)(1)
- (4) 40 CFR 60.443(a)(1)-(3)
- (5) 40 CFR 60.443(f), (j)
- (6) 40 CFR 60.444(a)
- (7) 40 CFR 60.445(a), (d), (h)
- (8) 40 CFR 60.446(a), (c)
- (9) 40 CFR 60.447(a)-(d)

The provisions of 40 CFR 60 Subpart A – General Provisions, which are incorporated as 326 IAC 12-1-1, apply to the facility described in this section except when otherwise specified in 40 CFR 60, Subpart RR.

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

(e) The three (3) natural gas-fired boilers, B-01, B-02, and B-03, each rated at 10.205 MMBtu/hr heat input, are subject to the New Source Performance Standard for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units (40 CFR 60.40(c), Subpart Dc), which is incorporated by reference as 326 IAC 12. Each boiler was constructed after June 9, 1989, and each has a maximum heat input capacity that is less than one hundred (100) MMBtu/hr and greater than ten (10) MMBtu/hr.

The boilers B-01, B-02, and B-03 are subject to the following portions of Subpart Dc:

- (1) 40 CFR 60.40c
- (2) 40 CFR 60.41c
- (3) 40 CFR 60.48c(g), (i), (j)

The provisions of 40 CFR 60 Subpart A – General Provisions, which are incorporated as 326 IAC 12-1-1, apply to the facility described in this section except when otherwise specified in 40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc.

- (f) The requirements of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Printing, Coating, and Dyeing of Fabrics and Other Textiles (40 CFR 63.4280, Subpart OOOO) are not included in the permit for the pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2. This source only coats paper, and is subject to 40 CFR 63.3280, Subpart JJJJ.
- (g) The two (2) pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2, are subject to the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Paper and Other Web Coating (40 CFR 63.3280, Subpart JJJJ), incorporated by reference as 326 IAC 20-65. Each pressure sensitive paper coating operation is a web coating line that is operated at an existing major source of HAP. The collection of all web coating lines at a facility is the affected source. Construction of GF-1 and GF-2 commenced prior to September 13, 2000. (Construction to add GF-2 began in June 2000. The line began operating in October 2000.) Therefore, the affected source is considered an existing affected source.

The affected source is subject to the following portions of Subpart JJJJ:

- (1) 40 CFR 63.3290
- (2) 40 CFR 63.3300, except for (a) through (f)
- (3) 40 CFR 63.3310
- (4) 40 CFR 63.3320(a)
- (5) 40 CFR 63.3320(b)(2)-(3)
- (6) 40 CFR 63.3320(c)
- (7) 40 CFR 63.3330(a)
- (8) 40 CFR 63.3340
- (9) 40 CFR 63.3360(a)(1)
- (10) 40 CFR 63.3360(c)(1)(i)-(iii)
- (11) 40 CFR 63.3360(c)(2)-(4)
- (12) 40 CFR 63.3360(d)(1)-(3)
- (13) 40 CFR 63.3370(a)(1)(i)-(ii)
- (14) 40 CFR 63.3370(a)(2)(i)-(iv)
- (15) 40 CFR 63.3370(a)(3)
- (16) 40 CFR 63.3370(b)(2)
- (17) 40 CFR 63.3370(c)(1)(i)-(ii)
- (18) 40 CFR 63.3370(c)(2)(i)-(ii)
- (19) 40 CFR 63.3370(c)(3)-(4)
- (20) 40 CFR 63.3370(c)(5)(i)-(ii)
- (21) 40 CFR 63.3370(d)
- (22) 40 CFR 63.3370(I)(1)-(5)
- (23) 40 CFR 63.3370(n)(4)

Avery Dennison Page 9 of 12 Greenfield, Indiana TSD for T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

```
40 CFR 63.3370(n)(5)(i)-(iv)
(24)
(25)
        40 CFR 63.3370(n)(6)(i)-(iv)
(26)
        40 CFR 63.3400(a)
(27)
        40 CFR 63.3400(b)(1), (3)-(4)
        40 CFR 63.3400(c)(1)(i)-(v)
(28)
(29)
        40 CFR 63.3400(c)(2)(i)-(iv)
(30)
        40 CFR 63.3400(c)(2)(v)(A)-(C)
(31)
        40 CFR 63.3400(c)(2)(vi)(A)-(J)
        40 CFR 63.3400(e)
(32)
(33)
        40 CFR 63.3400(g)(1)-(2)
(34)
        40 CFR 63.3410(a)(1)(iii)-(iv), (vi)
(35)
        40 CFR 63.3410(b)
(36)
        40 CFR 63.3420
(37)
        Table 1
```

The provisions of 40 CFR 63 Subpart A – General Provisions, which are incorporated as 326 IAC 20-1-1, apply to the facility described in this section except when otherwise specified in 40 CFR 63.3280, Subpart JJJJ.

## State Rule Applicability - Entire Source

## 326 IAC 1-5-2 (Emergency Reduction Plans)

The source submitted an Emergency Reduction Plan (ERP) on December 10, 1996. The ERP has been verified to fulfill the requirements of 326 IAC 1-5-2 (Emergency Reduction Plans).

## 326 IAC 2-2 (Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Requirements)

This source was constructed after August 1977. This type of operation is not one (1) of the twenty-eight (28) listed source categories under 326 IAC 2-2, and has potential VOC emissions greater than 250 tons per year. The source has requested a limit to stay a minor source for PSD. Therefore, the source shall comply with the following limit: the total usage of VOC, including coatings, dilution solvents, and cleaning solvents shall be less than 246.1 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period with compliance determined at the end of each month. This usage limit is required to limit the total source potential to emit VOC to less than 250 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period. Compliance with this limit makes 326 IAC 2-2 (Prevention of Significant Deterioration PSD Requirements) not applicable.

## 326 IAC 2-3 (Emission Offset)

This source is located in Hancock County. Effective October 19, 2007, Hancock County was redesignated as attainment or unclassifiable for ozone. Therefore, VOC and  $NO_X$  emissions are no longer subject to review pursuant to Emission Offset, 326 IAC 2-3.

### 326 IAC 2-4.1 (Major Sources of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAP))

- (a) The pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-1, was installed before July 27, 1997. Therefore, 326 IAC 2-4.1 does not apply.
- (b) The pressure sensitive paper coating operation, identified as GF-2, was installed after July 27, 1997 and will emit greater than ten (10) tons per year of a single HAP or twenty-five (25) tons per year of a combination of HAP. However, GF-2 is subject to 40 CFR 63, Subpart JJJJ. Therefore, 326 IAC 2-4.1 will not apply.

## 326 IAC 2-6 (Emission Reporting)

This source, not located in Lake, Porter, or LaPorte County, is subject to 326 IAC 2-6 (Emission Reporting) because it is required to have an operating permit pursuant to 326 IAC 2-7 (Part 70). The potential to emit of VOC and  $PM_{10}$  is less than 250 tons per year; and the potential to emit of CO,  $NO_X$ , and  $SO_2$  is less than 2,500 tons per year. Therefore, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-6-3(a)(2), triennial reporting is required. An emission statement shall be submitted in accordance with the compliance schedule in 326 IAC 2-6-3 by July 1, 2011, and every three (3) years thereafter. The emission statement shall contain, at a minimum, the information specified in 326 IAC 2-6-4.

Avery Dennison Page 10 of 12 Greenfield, Indiana TSD for T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

326 IAC 5-1 (Opacity Limitations)

This source is subject to the opacity limitations specified in 326 IAC 5-1-2(1)

326 IAC 6.5 (PM Limitations Except Lake County)

This source is not subject to 326 IAC 6.5 because it is not located in one of the following counties: Clark, Dearborn, Dubois, Howard, Marion, St. Joseph, Vanderburgh, Vigo or Wayne.

## State Rule Applicability - Individual Facilities

326 IAC 6-2-4 (Particulate Matter Emission Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating)

- (a) Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-2-4 (Particulate Matter Emission Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating), the particulate matter emissions from each 10.205 MMBtu/hr boiler, identified as B-01 and B-02, shall be limited to 0.498 pounds per MMBtu/hr heat input. 326 IAC 6-2-4 applies to boilers B-01 and B-02 because these units were constructed after September 21, 1983.
- (b) Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-2-4 (Particulate Matter Emission Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating), the particulate matter emissions from boiler B-03, having a heat input capacity of 10.205 MMBtu/hr per hour heat input, shall be limited to 0.448 pounds per MMBtu heat input. 326 IAC 6-2-4 applies to boiler B-03 because it was constructed after September 21, 1983.

These limitations are based on the following equation:

$$Pt = 1.09 / Q^{0.26}$$

- Pt = Pounds of particulate matter emitted per MMBtu heat input (lb/MMBtu).
- Q = Total source maximum operating capacity rating in MMBtu/hr heat input. As each new indirect heating facility is added to a plant Q will increase. As a result, the emission limitation for each progressively newer facility will be more stringent until the total plant capacity reaches 10,000 MMBtu/hr.

Boilers B-01, B-02, and B-03 are able to comply with 326 IAC 6-2-4, because each boiler has potential particulate matter emissions of 0.007 pounds per MMBtu heat input when burning natural gas. Boilers B-01, B-02, and B-03 are only capable of burning natural gas fuel.

326 IAC 6-3-2 (Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes)

- (a) Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-1(a)(5) and (6), the pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2, are exempt from the requirements of 326 IAC 6-3-2 because each uses flow coating and roll coating to surface coat.
- (b) The welding activities at the source are not subject to 326 IAC 6-3-2 because the welding operations consume less than 625 pounds of rod or wire per day and are exempt from this rule.

326 IAC 7-1 (Sulfur Dioxide Emission Limitations)

Boilers B-01, B-02, and B-03 are not subject to 326 IAC 7-1, because each has a potential to emit of less than twenty-five (25) tons per year and less than ten (10) tons per hour for sulfur dioxide.

326 IAC 8-2-5 (Paper Coating Operations)

The pressure sensitive paper coating operations, identified as GF-1 and GF-2 are subject to 326 IAC 8-2-5 because each line was constructed after January 1, 1980, and each line has potential emissions of twenty-five (25) tons or greater per year of VOC.

(a) Pursuant to 326 IAC 8-2-5, no owner or operator of a coating line may cause, allow or permit the discharge into the atmosphere of any VOC in excess of 2.9 pounds per gallon, excluding water, delivered to the coating applicator from a pressure sensitive tape/labels coating line.

Avery Dennison Page 11 of 12 Greenfield, Indiana TSD for T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

326 IAC 8-5-5 (Graphic Arts Operation)

Pursuant to 326 IAC 8-5-5(b), the source does not perform packaging rotogravure, printing rotogravure, or flexographic printing. Therefore, 326 IAC 8-5-5 (Graphic Arts Operation) does not apply.

326 IAC 8-9 (Volatile Organic Liquid Storage Vessels)

The fourteen (14) water-based emulsion adhesive and one (1) emulsion wastewater storage tanks are not subject to the requirements of 326 IAC 8-9 because this source is not located in any of the listed counties in this rule.

326 IAC 8-16 (Offset Lithographic Printing and Letterpress Printing)

The source is not located in either Lake or Porter County. Therefore, 326 IAC 8-16 (Offset Lithographic Printing and Letterpress Printing) does not apply.

326 IAC 8-22 (Miscellaneous Industrial Adhesives)

The source is not located in either Lake or Porter County. Therefore, 326 IAC 8-22 (Miscellaneous Industrial Adhesives) does not apply.

## **Compliance Determination and Monitoring Requirements**

Permits issued under 326 IAC 2-7 are required to ensure that sources can demonstrate compliance with all applicable state and federal rules on a continuous basis. All state and federal rules contain compliance provisions; however, these provisions do not always fulfill the requirement for a continuous demonstration. When this occurs, IDEM, OAQ, in conjunction with the source, must develop specific conditions to satisfy 326 IAC 2-7-5. As a result, Compliance Determination Requirements are included in the permit. The Compliance Determination Requirements in Section D of the permit are those conditions that are found directly within state and federal rules and the violation of which serves as grounds for enforcement action.

If the Compliance Determination Requirements are not sufficient to demonstrate continuous compliance, they will be supplemented with Compliance Monitoring Requirements, also in Section D of the permit. Unlike Compliance Determination Requirements, failure to meet Compliance Monitoring conditions would serve as a trigger for corrective actions and not grounds for enforcement action. However, a violation in relation to a compliance monitoring condition will arise through a source's failure to take the appropriate corrective actions within a specific time period.

There are no compliance monitoring requirements applicable to this source. Applicable compliance determination requirements are included in the permit without reproduction herein.

#### Recommendation

The staff recommends to the Commissioner that the Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal be approved. This recommendation is based on the following facts and conditions:

Unless otherwise stated, information used in this review was derived from the application and additional information submitted by the applicant.

An application for the purposes of this review was received on July 19, 2010.

## Conclusion

The operation of this stationary pressure sensitive paper coating and laminating operation shall be subject to the conditions of the attached Part 70 Operating Permit Renewal No. T059-29471-00018.

Avery Dennison Page 12 of 12 Greenfield, Indiana TSD for T059-29471-00018

Permit Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

## **IDEM Contact**

- (a) Questions regarding this proposed permit can be directed to Donald McQuigg at the Indiana Department Environmental Management, Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch, 100 North Senate Avenue, MC 61-53 IGCN 1003, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251 or by telephone at (317) 234-4240 or toll free at 1-800-451-6027 extension 4-4240.
- (b) A copy of the findings is available on the Internet at: <a href="http://www.in.gov/ai/appfiles/idem-caats/">http://www.in.gov/ai/appfiles/idem-caats/</a>.
- (c) For additional information about air permits and how the public and interested parties can participate, refer to the IDEM's Guide for Citizen Participation and Permit Guide on the Internet at: www.idem.in.gov.

## Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Potential VOC Emissions From Coating Operations EU GF-1

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

THROUGHPUT	
EU GF-1	MMin^2/YEAR
Coating 1	993000
Coating 2	993000
Silicone Coater 3	993000

L	INK VOCS					
		Maximum Coverage	Weight % Volatiles*	Flash Off %	Throughput	Emissions
	EU GF-1	'(lbs/MMin^2)			(MMin^2/Year)	(TONS/YEAR)
Г						
	Coating 1	71	0.50000%	100.00%	993000	176.26
	Coating 2	25.5	0.00034%	100.00%	993000	0.04
	Silicone Coater 3	2.84	1.00000%	100.00%	993000	14.10

1	Total VOC Emissions =	190.36	Ton/yr

<sup>\*</sup>VOC (Tons/Year) = Maximum Coverage pounds per MMin^2 \* Weight % volatiles (weight % of water & organics - weight % of water = weight % organics) \* Flash off \* Throughput \* 1 Ton per 2000 pounds

#### METHODOLOGY

Throughput = Maximum line speed feet per minute \* Convert feet to inches \* Maximum print width inches \* 60 minutes per hour \* 8760 hours per year = MMin^2 per Year VOC = Maximum Coverage pounds per MMin^2 \* Weight percentage volatiles (water minus organics) \* Flash off \* Throughput \* Tons per 2000 pounds = Tons per Year NOTE: HEAT SET OFFSET PRINTING HAS AN ASSUMED FLASH OFF OF 80%. OTHER TYPES OF PRINTERS HAVE A FLASH OFF OF 100%. (Source -OAQPS Draft Guidance, "Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emisions from Offset Lithographic Printing (9/93) )

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{**}}$  There are two coating stations--use two worst-case coatings.

## Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Potential VOC Emissions From Coating Operations EU GF-2

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

THROUGHPUT			
Press ID**	MAXIMUM LINE SPEED (FEET/MIN)	MAXIMUM PRINT WIDTH (INCHES)	MMin^2/YEAR
Waterbased Coating 1	3000	79	1494806
Waterbased Coating 2	3000	79	1494806
Silicone Coater 3	3000	79	1494806

INK VOCS					
Ink Name	Maximum Coverage	Weight % Volatiles*	Flash Off %	Throughput	Emissions
Press ID	'(lbs/MMin^2)			(MMin^2/Year)	(TONS/YEAR)
Waterbased Coating 1	71	0.50000%	100.00%	1494806	265.33
Waterbased Coating 2	25.5	0.00034%	100.00%	1494806	0.06
Silicone Coater 3	2.84	1.00000%	100.00%	1494806	21.23

1		
	Total VOC Emissions =	286.62 Ton/yr

<sup>\*</sup>VOC (Tons/Year) = Maximum Coverage pounds per MMin^2 \* Weight % volatiles (weight % of water & organics - weight % of water = weight % organics) \* Flash off \* Throughput \* 1 Ton per 2000 pounds \*\*There are two coating stations—use two worst-case coatings.

#### METHODOLOGY

Throughput = Maximum line speed feet per minute \* Convert feet to inches \* Maximum print width inches \* 60 minutes per hour \* 8760 hours per year = MMin^2 per Year VOC = Maximum Coverage pounds per MMin^2 \* Weight percentage volatiles (water minus organics) \* Flash off \* Throughput \* Tons per 2000 pounds = Tons per Year NOTE: HEAT SET OFFSET PRINTING HAS AN ASSUMED FLASH OFF OF 80%. OTHER TYPES OF PRINTERS HAVE A FLASH OFF OF 100%. (Source -OAQPS Draft Guidance, "Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emisions from Offset Lithographic Printing (9/93) )

## Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Potential HAP Emissions From Coating Operation EU GF-1

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

THROUGHPUT	
Press ID**	MMin^2/YEAR
Coating 1	993000
Coating 2	993000
Silicone Coater 3	993000

INK HAP					
	Maximum Coverage	Weight % HAP*	Flash Off %	Throughput	Emissions
Press ID: HAP	'(lbs/MMin^2)			(MMin^2/Year)	(TONS/YEAR)
Coating 1: Vinyl Acetate	71	0.40000%	100.00%	993000	141.01
Coating 2: Formaldehyde	25.5	0.01630%	100.00%	993000	2.06
Coating 2: Methanol	25.5	0.01040%	100.00%	993000	1.32
Silicone Coater 3	2.84	0.00000%	100.00%	993000	0.00

Total HAP Emissions =	144.39 Ton/yr

### METHODOLOGY

Throughput = Maximum line speed feet per minute \* Convert feet to inches \* Maximum print width inches \* 60 minutes per hour \* 8760 hours per year = MMin^2 per Year HAP = Maximum Coverage pounds per MMin^2 \* Weight percentage volatiles (water minus organics) \* Flash off \* Throughput \* Tons per 2000 pounds = Tons per Year NOTE: HEAT SET OFFSET PRINTING HAS AN ASSUMED FLASH OFF OF 80%. OTHER TYPES OF PRINTERS HAVE A FLASH OFF OF 100%. (Source -OAQPS Draft Guidance, "Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emisions from Offset Lithographic Printing (9/93) )

<sup>\*</sup>HAP (Tons/Year) = Maximum Coverage pounds per MMin^2 \* Weight % HAP \* Flash off \* Throughput \* 1 Ton per 2000 pounds

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{**}}\xspace$  There are two coating stations--use two worst-case coatins.

## Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Potential HAP Emissions From Coating Operation EU GF-2

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

THROUGHPUT			
Press ID**	MAXIMUM LINE SPEED (FEET/MIN)	MAXIMUM PRINT WIDTH (INCHES)	MMin^2/YEAR
Coating 1	3000	79	1494806
Coating 2	3000	79	1494806
Silicone Coater 3	3000	79	1494806

INK HAP					
	Maximum Coverage	Weight % HAP*	Flash Off %	Throughput	Emissions
Press ID: HAP	'(lbs/MMin^2)			(MMin^2/Year)	(TONS/YEAR)
Coating 1: Vinyl Acetate	71	0.40000%	100.00%	1494806	212.26
Coating 2: Formaldehyde	25.5	0.01630%	100.00%	1494806	3.11
Coating 2: Methanol	25.5	0.01040%	100.00%	1494806	1.98
Silicone Coater 3	2.84	0.00000%	100.00%	1494806	0.00

Total HAP Emissions =	217.35 Ton/yr

### METHODOLOGY

Throughput = Maxium line speed feet per minute \* Convert feet to inches \* Maximum print width inches \* 60 minutes per hour \* 8760 hours per year = MMin^2 per Year VOC = Maximum Coverage pounds per MMin^2 \* Weight percentage volatiles (water minus organics) \* Flash off \* Throughput \* Tons per 2000 pounds = Tons per Year NOTE: HEAT SET OFFSET PRINTING HAS AN ASSUMED FLASH OFF OF 80%. OTHER TYPES OF PRINTERS HAVE A FLASH OFF OF 100%. (Source -OAQPS Draft Guidance, "Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emisions from Offset Lithographic Printing (9/93))

<sup>\*</sup>HAP (Tons/Year) = Maximum Coverage pounds per MMin^2 \* Weight % HAP \* Flash off \* Throughput \* 1 Ton per 2000 pounds

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{**}}\xspace$  There are two coating stations--use two worst-case coatings.

## Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 Small Industrial Boiler B-01

**Company Name: Avery Dennison** 

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

Heat Input Capacity Potential Throughput MMBtu/hr MMCF/yr

10.2

	Pollutant						
Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	PM* 1.9	PM10* 7.6	SO2 0.6	NOx 100.0 **see below	VOC 5.5	CO 84.0	
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.1	0.3	0.0	4.5	0.2	3.8	

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is filterable and condensable PM10 combined.

## Methodology

All emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF = 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) x 8,760 hrs/yr x 1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03 (SUPPLEMENT D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

See page 6 for HAP emissions calculations.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NOx: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NOx Burner = 50, Low NOx Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

# Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 Small Industrial Boiler B-01 HAP Emissions

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

	HAPs - Organics						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Benzene Dichlorobenzene F 2.1E-03 1.2E-03				Toluene 3.4E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	9.391E-05	5.366E-05	3.354E-03	8.050E-02	1.520E-04		

	HAP - Metals						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Lead 5.0E-04	Cadmium 1.1E-03	Chromium 1.4E-03	Manganese 3.8E-04	Nickel 2.1E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	2.236E-05	4.919E-05	6.261E-05	1.699E-05	9.391E-05		

Methodology is the same as page 5.

The five highest organic and metal HAPs emission factors are provided above. Additional HAP emission factors are available in AP-42, Chapter 1.4.

## Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 Small Industrial Boiler B-02

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

Heat Input Capacity Potential Throughput MMBtu/hr MMCF/yr

10.2 89.4

	Pollutant						
Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	PM* 1.9	PM10* 7.6	SO2 0.6	NOx 100.0 **see below	VOC 5.5	CO 84.0	
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.1	0.3	0.0	4.5	0.2	3.8	

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is filterable and condensable PM10 combined.

## Methodology

All emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF = 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) x 8,760 hrs/yr x 1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03 (SUPPLEMENT D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

See page 8 for HAP emissions calculations.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NOx: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NOx Burner = 50, Low NOx Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

# Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 Small Industrial Boiler B-02 HAP Emissions

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

	HAPs - Organics							
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Benzene Dichlorobenzene F 2.1E-03 1.2E-03		Formaldehyde 7.5E-02	Hexane 1.8E+00	Toluene 3.4E-03			
Potential Emission in tons/yr	9.387E-05	5.364E-05	3.352E-03	8.046E-02	1.520E-04			

	HAP - Metals						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Lead 5.0E-04	Cadmium 1.1E-03	Chromium 1.4E-03	Manganese 3.8E-04	Nickel 2.1E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	2.235E-05	4.917E-05	6.258E-05	1.699E-05	9.387E-05		

Methodology is the same as page 7.

The five highest organic and metal HAP emission factors are provided above. Additional HAP emission factors are available in AP-42, Chapter 1.4.

## Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 Small Industrial Boiler B-03

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

Heat Input Capacity Potential Throughput MMBtu/hr MMCF/yr

10.2

	Pollutant						
Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	PM* 1.9	PM10* 7.6	SO2 0.6	NOx 100.0 **see below	VOC 5.5	CO 84.0	
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.1	0.3	0.0	4.5	0.2	3.8	

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is filterable and condensable PM10 combined.

## Methodology

All emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF = 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) x 8,760 hrs/yr x 1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03 (SUPPLEMENT D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

See page 10 for HAP emissions calculations.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NOx: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NOx Burner = 50, Low NOx Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

# Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 Small Industrial Boiler B-03 HAP Emissions

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

	HAPs - Organics						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf			Formaldehyde 7.5E-02	Hexane 1.8E+00	Toluene 3.4E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	9.391E-05	5.366E-05	3.354E-03	8.050E-02	1.520E-04		

	HAP - Metals						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Lead 5.0E-04	Cadmium 1.1E-03	Chromium 1.4E-03	Manganese 3.8E-04	Nickel 2.1E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	2.236E-05	4.919E-05	6.261E-05	1.699E-05	9.391E-05		

Methodology is the same as page 9.

The five highest organic and metal HAPs emission factors are provided above. Additional HAP emission factors are available in AP-42, Chapter 1.4.

## Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 GF-1 Natural Gas Ovens

**Company Name: Avery Dennison** 

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

Heat Input Capacity Potential Throughput MMBtu/hr MMCF/yr

48.7 426.6

	Pollutant						
Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	PM* 1.9	PM10* 7.6	SO2 0.6	NOx 100.0 **see below	VOC 5.5	CO 84.0	
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.4	1.6	0.1	21.3	1.2	17.9	

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is filterable and condensable PM10 combined.

## Methodology

All emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF = 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) x 8,760 hrs/yr x 1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03 (SUPPLEMENT D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

See page 12 for HAP emissions calculations.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NOx: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NOx Burner = 50, Low NOx Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

# Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 GF-1 Natural Gas Ovens HAP Emissions

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

	HAPs - Organics						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Benzene 2.1E-03	Dichlorobenzene 1.2E-03	Formaldehyde 7.5E-02	Hexane 1.8E+00	Toluene 3.4E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	4.479E-04	2.560E-04	1.600E-02	3.840E-01	7.252E-04		

<u></u>	HAP - Metals						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Lead 5.0E-04	Cadmium 1.1E-03	Chromium 1.4E-03	Manganese 3.8E-04	Nickel 2.1E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	1.067E-04	2.346E-04	2.986E-04	8.106E-05	4.479E-04		

Methodology is the same as page 11.

The five highest organic and metal HAP emission factors are provided above. Additional HAP emission factors are available in AP-42, Chapter 1.4.

## **Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only** MM BTU/HR <100 **GF-2 Natural Gas Ovens**

**Company Name: Avery Dennison** 

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018 Reviewer: Donald McQuigg Date: August 15, 2010

**Heat Input Capacity** Potential Throughput MMBtu/hr

MMCF/yr

71.9 629.5

		Pollutant						
Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	PM* 1.9	PM10* 7.6	SO2 0.6	NOx 100.0 **see below	VOC 5.5	CO 84.0		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.6	2.4	0.2	31.5	1.7	26.4		

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is filterable and condensable PM10 combined.

## Methodology

All emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF = 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) x 8,760 hrs/yr x 1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03 (SUPPLEMENT D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

See page 14 for HAP emissions calculations.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NOx: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NOx Burner = 50, Low NOx Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

# Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 HAP Emissions GF-2 Natural Gas Ovens

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018 Reviewer: Donald McQuigg

Date: August 15, 2010

	HAPs - Organics						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Benzene 2.1E-03	Dichlorobenzene 1.2E-03	Formaldehyde 7.5E-02	Hexane 1.8E+00	Toluene 3.4E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	6.610E-04	3.777E-04	2.361E-02	5.665E-01	1.070E-03		

	HAP - Metals						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Lead 5.0E-04	Cadmium 1.1E-03	Chromium 1.4E-03	Manganese 3.8E-04	Nickel 2.1E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	1.574E-04	3.462E-04	4.406E-04	1.196E-04	6.610E-04		

Methodology is the same as page 13.

The five highest organic and metal HAP emission factors are provided above. Additional HAP emission factors are available in AP-42, Chapter 1.4.

## Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 Insignificant Combustion Units

**Company Name: Avery Dennison** 

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

Heat Input Capacity Potential Throughput MMBtu/hr MMCF/yr

15.5

	Pollutant						
Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	PM* 1.9	PM10* 7.6	SO2 0.6	NOx 100.0 **see below	VOC 5.5	CO 84.0	
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.1	0.5	0.0	6.8	0.4	5.7	

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is filterable and condensable PM10 combined.

## Methodology

All emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF = 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) x 8,760 hrs/yr x 1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03 (SUPPLEMENT D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

See page16 for HAP emissions calculations.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NOx: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NOx Burner = 50, Low NOx Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

# Appendix A: Emissions Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MM BTU/HR <100 Insignificant Combustion Units HAP Emissions

Company Name: Avery Dennison

Address City IN Zip: 870 West Anderson Blvd, Greenfield, Indiana 46140

Part 70 Permit No.: T059-29471-00018
Reviewer: Donald McQuigg
Date: August 15, 2010

	HAPs - Organics						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Benzene 2.1E-03	Dichlorobenzene 1.2E-03	Formaldehyde 7.5E-02	Hexane 1.8E+00	Toluene 3.4E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	1.426E-04	8.147E-05	5.092E-03	1.222E-01	2.308E-04		

	HAP - Metals						
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	Lead 5.0E-04	Cadmium 1.1E-03	Chromium 1.4E-03	Manganese 3.8E-04	Nickel 2.1E-03		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	3.395E-05	7.468E-05	9.505E-05	2.580E-05	1.426E-04		

Methodology is the same as page 15.

The five highest organic and metal HAP emission factors are provided above. Additional HAP emission factors are available in AP-42, Chapter 1.4.





Mitchell E. Daniels Jr. Governor

Thomas W. Easterly Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 (317) 232-8603 Toll Free (800) 451-6027 www.idem.IN.gov

#### SENT VIA U.S. MAIL: CONFIRMED DELIVERY AND SIGNATURE REQUESTED

TO: Deric Ostrum

Avery Dennison

870 W. Anderson Blvd Greenfield IN 46140

DATE: Jan. 24, 2011

FROM: Matt Stuckey, Branch Chief

Permits Branch Office of Air Quality

SUBJECT: Final Decision

Title V Renewal 059-29471-00018

Enclosed is the final decision and supporting materials for the air permit application referenced above. Please note that this packet contains the original, signed, permit documents.

The final decision is being sent to you because our records indicate that you are the contact person for this application. However, if you are not the appropriate person within your company to receive this document, please forward it to the correct person.

A copy of the final decision and supporting materials has also been sent via standard mail to:

Bob Rotella VP Supply Chain & Ops Avery Dennison

Holly Argiris ERM

OAQ Permits Branch Interested Parties List

If you have technical questions regarding the enclosed documents, please contact the Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch at (317) 233-0178, or toll-free at 1-800-451-6027 (ext. 3-0178), and ask to speak to the permit reviewer who prepared the permit. If you think you have received this document in error, please contact Joanne Smiddie-Brush of my staff at 1-800-451-6027 (ext 3-0185), or via e-mail at <a href="mailto:ibrush@idem.IN.gov">ibrush@idem.IN.gov</a>.

Final Applicant Cover letter.dot 11/30/07







We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr. Governor

Thomas W. Easterly Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 (317) 232-8603 Toll Free (800) 451-6027 www.idem.IN.gov

Jan. 24, 2011

TO: Hancock County Public Library

From: Matthew Stuckey, Branch Chief

> Permits Branch Office of Air Quality

Subject: Important Information for Display Regarding a Final Determination

> **Avery Dennison Applicant Name: Permit Number:** 059-29471-00018

You previously received information to make available to the public during the public comment period of a draft permit. Enclosed is a copy of the final decision and supporting materials for the same project. Please place the enclosed information along with the information you previously received. To ensure that your patrons have ample opportunity to review the enclosed permit, we ask that you retain this document for at least 60 days.

The applicant is responsible for placing a copy of the application in your library. If the permit application is not on file, or if you have any questions concerning this public review process, please contact Joanne Smiddie-Brush, OAQ Permits Administration Section at 1-800-451-6027, extension 3-0185.

> **Enclosures** Final Library.dot 11/30/07



## Mail Code 61-53

IDEM Staff	BMILLER 1/24/2	2011		
	Avery Dennison 059-29471-00018 (final)			AFFIX STAMP
Name and		Indiana Department of Environmental	Type of Mail:	HERE IF
address of		Management		USED AS
Sender		Office of Air Quality – Permits Branch	CERTIFICATE OF	CERTIFICATE
		100 N. Senate	MAILING ONLY	OF MAILING
		Indianapolis, IN 46204	MAILING GIVET	

Line	Article Number	Name, Address, Street and Post Office Address	Postage	Handing Charges	Act. Value (If Registered)	Insured Value	Due Send if COD	R.R. Fee	S.D. Fee	S.H. Fee	Rest. Del. Fee
											Remarks
1		Deric Ostrum Avery Dennison 870 W Anderson Blvd Greenfield IN 46140 (Source CA/	ATS) Via Co	onfirm Delive	ry						
2		Bob Rotella VP Supply Chain & Ops Avery Dennison 8080 Norton Pkwy Mentor OH 44077 (RO CAATS)									
3		Hancock County Commissioners 111 American Legion #219 Greenfield IN 46140 (Local Official)									
4		Hancock County Public Library 900 West McKenzie Greenfield IN 46140-1741 (Library)									
5		Hancock County Health Department 111 America Legion Greenfield IN 46140-2365 (Health Department)									
6		Greenfield City Council and Mayors Office 10 S. State St. Greenfield IN 46140 (Local Official)									
7		Holly Argiris Environmental Resources Management (ERM) 11350 N. Meridian, Ste 320 Carmel IN 46032 (Consultant)									
8		Timothy Scroggins 3171 W 1000 N Fortville IN 46040 (Affected Party)									
9		Mark Zeltwanger 26545 CR 52 Nappanee IN 46550 (Affected Party)									
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											

			The full declaration of value is required on all domestic and international registered mail. The maximum indemnity payable for the reconstruction of nonnegotiable documents under Express Mail document reconstructing insurance is \$50,000 per piece subject to a limit of \$50,000 per occurrence. The maximum indemnity payable on Express mil merchandise insurance is \$500. The maximum indemnity payable is \$25,000 for registered mail, sent with optional postal insurance. See <i>Domestic Mail Manual R900</i> , S913, and S921 for limitations of coverage on inured and COD mail. See <i>International Mail Manual</i> for limitations o coverage on international mail. Special handling charges apply only to Standard Mail (A) and Standard Mail (B) parcels.
--	--	--	--